Yahoo Group Archive: JT65HF

Yahoo Group summary: JT65 on HF

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2018. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2011-04-15 through 2018-02-09

Source WARC: jt65hf.HWtjFSr.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2018-09-15T04-56-28Z-8b2194

Messages included in this PDF: 808

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

1. Welcome!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-04-15 06:36:19 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 1 Message: 1

Hello,

i started this group because JT65-HF Google group has its limitations and JT65AHFClub group is ment for club topics. Feel free to discuss JT65 technical and operating subjects here.

73, Mart
ES2MA

2. PC hardware and decoding time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-04-21 06:38:37 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 2 Message: 2

What is your PC configuration and how much time it takes for it to decode in JT65-HF multidecode mode?

My PC is P4 3GHz 1GB RAM and Win7.
With base station setup it takes couple of seconds, almost never until beginning of next minute.
With SDR configuration (PowerSDR + JT65-HF via VAC) the CPU load before decoding is 30..50% and 100% when decoding. Almost never can decode before next cycle.

3. Re: [JT65HF] PC hardware and decoding time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-04-30 05:42:34 UTC
From: Tony Farrow
Topic: 2 Message: 3

Hi ?

I am using a 10inch Netbook (a humble Atom N455 processor, 1GByte Ram, Win7 Starter) connected to the radio via a SignaLink USB, and running JT65-HF, Ham Radio Deluxe and HRD Logbook simultaneously (often with Firefox browser running too).

I am impressed that it seems to work with the multicoder most of the time. However when the band is choked with stations then I sometimes disable the multicoder, especially when in a QSO.

Cheers

Tony VK2AJF

Sydney.

On Thu, Apr 21, 2011 at 4:38 PM, mardikas15 <mardikas15@...> wrote:

>
>
> What is your PC configuration and how much time it takes for it to decode
> in JT65-HF multidecode mode?
>
> My PC is P4 3GHz 1GB RAM and Win7.
> With base station setup it takes couple of seconds, almost never until
> beginning of next minute.
> With SDR configuration (PowerSDR + JT65-HF via VAC) the CPU load before
> decoding is 30..50% and 100% when decoding. Almost never can decode before
> next cycle.
>
>
>

4. Re: [JT65HF] PC hardware and decoding time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-04-30 05:49:11 UTC
From: Tony Farrow
Topic: 2 Message: 4

PS: You can check if there any programs running in thebackground that can be dropped.

On Sat, Apr 30, 2011 at 3:42 PM, Tony Farrow <tonyajf@...> wrote:

> Hi ?
>
>
>
> I am using a 10inch Netbook (a humble Atom N455 processor, 1GByte Ram, Win7
> Starter) connected to the radio via a SignaLink USB, and running JT65-HF,
> Ham Radio Deluxe and HRD Logbook simultaneously (often with Firefox browser
> running too).
>
>
> I am impressed that it seems to work with the multicoder most of the time.
> However when the band is choked with stations then I sometimes disable the
> multicoder, especially when in a QSO.
>
>
>
> Cheers
>
>
>
> Tony VK2AJF
>
> Sydney.
>
> On Thu, Apr 21, 2011 at 4:38 PM, mardikas15 <mardikas15@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> What is your PC configuration and how much time it takes for it to decode
>> in JT65-HF multidecode mode?
>>
>> My PC is P4 3GHz 1GB RAM and Win7.
>> With base station setup it takes couple of seconds, almost never until
>> beginning of next minute.
>> With SDR configuration (PowerSDR + JT65-HF via VAC) the CPU load before
>> decoding is 30..50% and 100% when decoding. Almost never can decode before
>> next cycle.
>>
>>
>>

>
>

5. Need to Change 10m Freq

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-03 12:35:58 UTC
From: kc2gmm@verizon.net
Topic: 5 Message: 5

There needs to be a change with the default 28.076 freq. Can't compete with the illegals from the South... and they are not likely going to go away. My recommendation would be 28.086

Mike KC2GMM

6. Re: Need to Change 10m Freq

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-09 08:43:34 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 5 Message: 6

Is it RTTY frequency then?

Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, kc2gmm@... wrote:
>
> There needs to be a change with the default 28.076 freq. Can't compete with the illegals from the South... and they are not likely going to go away. My recommendation would be 28.086
>
> Mike KC2GMM
>

7. Re: Need to Change 10m Freq

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-09 14:41:25 UTC
From: kc2gmm@verizon.net
Topic: 5 Message: 7

...good point. Probably is a RTTY freq.

Yet there needs to be a solution for 10m, as during the openings the freq is not really ava.

Mike

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mardikas15" <mardikas15@...> wrote:
>
> Is it RTTY frequency then?
>
> Mart
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, kc2gmm@ wrote:
> >
> > There needs to be a change with the default 28.076 freq. Can't compete with the illegals from the South... and they are not likely going to go away. My recommendation would be 28.086
> >
> > Mike KC2GMM
> >
>

8. Re: Need to Change 10m Freq

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-09 15:48:34 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 5 Message: 8

When you say illegals from the south am I correct in thinking you mean Mexico? Aren't they everywhere on 10 meters? How would moving 10 KHz avoid them?

Tom - KØTW

> ...good point. Probably is a RTTY freq.
>
> Yet there needs to be a solution for 10m, as during the openings the freq is not really ava.
>
> Mike
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mardikas15" mardikas15@ wrote:
> >
> > Is it RTTY frequency then?
> >
> > Mart
> >
> > --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, kc2gmm@ wrote:
> > >
> > > There needs to be a change with the default 28.076 freq. Can't compete with the illegals from the South... and they are not likely going to go away. My recommendation would be 28.086
> > >
> > > Mike KC2GMM
> > >
> >
>

9. Re: Need to Change 10m Freq

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-12 12:13:39 UTC
From: kc2gmm@verizon.net
Topic: 5 Message: 9

It was just an observation. During the openings, I wasn't hearing the same interference on 086 that I was hearing on 076.

Mike

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Tom" <k0tw@...> wrote:
>
>
> When you say illegals from the south am I correct in thinking you mean
> Mexico? Aren't they everywhere on 10 meters? How would moving 10 KHz
> avoid them?
>
> Tom - KØTW
>
> > ...good point. Probably is a RTTY freq.
> >
> > Yet there needs to be a solution for 10m, as during the openings the
> freq is not really ava.
> >
> > Mike
> >
> > --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mardikas15" mardikas15@ wrote:
> > >
> > > Is it RTTY frequency then?
> > >
> > > Mart
> > >
> > > --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, kc2gmm@ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > There needs to be a change with the default 28.076 freq. Can't
> compete with the illegals from the South... and they are not likely
> going to go away. My recommendation would be 28.086
> > > >
> > > > Mike KC2GMM
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

10. Software

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-17 11:32:20 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 10 Message: 10

Is there other software for JT65A than JT65HF, WSJT, Multipsk?

Mart

11. Re: [JT65HF] Software

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-17 23:36:07 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 10 Message: 11

No.

Andy K3UK

On Tue, May 17, 2011 at 7:32 AM, mardikas15 <martrah@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>
> Is there other software for JT65A than JT65HF, WSJT, Multipsk?
>
> Mart
>
>
>

12. psk reporter

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-19 17:28:13 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 12 Message: 12

Hi My 1st post here thanks for letting me join,

Was wondering if any other members use the psk reporter site?

I tend to leave a FT897d on 14.076 reporting when im not playing radio,

My JT65hf setup is:

FT897d 15 Watts out
Hy-Gain LP1010 Log periodic 30-10 meters
Joe - W6CQZ 's great software

Steve M0MVB

13. Re: psk reporter

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-19 21:01:35 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 12 Message: 13

Me rarely.

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Steve" <m0mvb@...> wrote:
>
> Hi My 1st post here thanks for letting me join,
>
> Was wondering if any other members use the psk reporter site?
>
> I tend to leave a FT897d on 14.076 reporting when im not playing radio,
>
> My JT65hf setup is:
>
> FT897d 15 Watts out
> Hy-Gain LP1010 Log periodic 30-10 meters
> Joe - W6CQZ 's great software
>
> Steve M0MVB
>

14. JT65hf will not start

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-24 12:41:59 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 14 Message: 14

Hi Group,
I've been running JT65hf V1.0.7 without any problems for several weeks
then this morning when i start the program i get a error message box "PA Error: Invalid number of channels could not setup for stereo input. program will exit"

There have been no hardware changes the setup is Yaesu FT-897d, HRD controling, audio via signalink usb, I've checked the signalink and it is set to 1 channel 16 bit 44100Hz CD quality
Any ideas?

15. Re: JT65hf will not start

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-25 06:31:05 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 14 Message: 15

Still have the problem? JT65-HF Google Group has several posts with similar problems with Signalink interface.

One message from there with solution:

Dave N0RQ

I had been using a simple sound card interface (Donner, works fine) with my TS-480, but wanted to allow normal computer sounds to go to the speakers and have seperate sound card for digital interface, so I got a SignaLink USB.

After installing it, I fired up 1.0.7, changed the setup to point to the SignaLink (USB Audio CODEC), and then it started faililng with the
pa error invalid number of channels could not setup for stereo input message on my WinXP machine.

I was messing around with it, and went to Control Panel / Sounds and Audio Devices , clicked the AUDIO tab, made sure the regular sound card was selected for normal sounds (SoundMax in my case), and then saw at the bottom of the AUDIO tab that "Use only default devices" was checked. I unchecked it, went back to JT65-HF, re-did the SETUP change to point to USB Audio CODEC (the SignaLink), and then everything was fine. I'm not sure, but I think that unchecking "Use only default devices" fixed the problem, at least for me.

I don't know if that helps anyone else, but it did the trick for me.

73, Dave N0RQ

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Steve" <m0mvb@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Group,
> I've been running JT65hf V1.0.7 without any problems for several weeks
> then this morning when i start the program i get a error message box "PA Error: Invalid number of channels could not setup for stereo input. program will exit"
>
> There have been no hardware changes the setup is Yaesu FT-897d, HRD controling, audio via signalink usb, I've checked the signalink and it is set to 1 channel 16 bit 44100Hz CD quality
> Any ideas?
>

16. Re: JT65hf will not start

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-25 18:10:34 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 14 Message: 16

I ran into this problem before and fixed it by changing my Signalink setup to 2-channel in the Windows control panel.

Gary, wf0gmn

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Steve" <m0mvb@...> wrote:
>
> Hi Group,
> I've been running JT65hf V1.0.7 without any problems for several weeks
> then this morning when i start the program i get a error message box "PA Error: Invalid number of channels could not setup for stereo input. program will exit"
>
> There have been no hardware changes the setup is Yaesu FT-897d, HRD controling, audio via signalink usb, I've checked the signalink and it is set to 1 channel 16 bit 44100Hz CD quality
> Any ideas?
>

17. Re: JT65hf will not start

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-05-27 19:03:21 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 14 Message: 17

Thanks Gary, I've put the signalink back to a stereo input and its working, strange as i had to swap it to a single channel for it to work in the first place, Anyway all ok again
Thanks
Steve
m0mvb

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Gary" <wf0gmn@...> wrote:
>
> I ran into this problem before and fixed it by changing my Signalink setup to 2-channel in the Windows control panel.
>
> Gary, wf0gmn
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Steve" <m0mvb@> wrote:
> >
> > Hi Group,
> > I've been running JT65hf V1.0.7 without any problems for several weeks
> > then this morning when i start the program i get a error message box "PA Error: Invalid number of channels could not setup for stereo input. program will exit"
> >
> > There have been no hardware changes the setup is Yaesu FT-897d, HRD controling, audio via signalink usb, I've checked the signalink and it is set to 1 channel 16 bit 44100Hz CD quality
> > Any ideas?
> >
>

18. Can't get JT65A on 20 M to Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-06-17 18:29:32 UTC
From: sparks_ro_123
Topic: 18 Message: 18

I'm new to this and it's probably something I'm doing incorrectly.
I have an Icom 7600 with a USInterface Navigator, and a PC running Vista that I use with HRD with no problem.

I've downloaded and installed the latest WSJT software.
The problem is that when I attempt to decode JT65A sigs on 20 meters, it doesn't work. I get the waterfall and other displays indicating that the signal is being received, but no decoding of the messages. I've tried various receiver modes such as CW, USB, LSB, USB-D, etc. but no luck.

Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks,
Alan, W2MV

19. Re: Can't get JT65A on 20 M to Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-06-18 00:23:36 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 18 Message: 19

Alan, you said that you've installed the latest WSJT software. Does that mean that you are not running the JT65-HF software (http://sourceforge.net/projects/jt65-hf/files/ <http://sourceforge.net/projects/jt65-hf/files/>)? W1JT developed the original WSJT software for VHF/UHF weak signal work and the algorithms were later used by W6CQZ to develop the JT65-HF software package. If you're using the wrong package that could be the problem.

That said, on 20M you should have your VFO set to 14.076 MHz and mode set to USB.

Tom - KØTW

> I'm new to this and it's probably something I'm doing incorrectly.
> I have an Icom 7600 with a USInterface Navigator, and a PC running Vista that I use with HRD with no problem.
>
> I've downloaded and installed the latest WSJT software.
> The problem is that when I attempt to decode JT65A sigs on 20 meters, it doesn't work. I get the waterfall and other displays indicating that the signal is being received, but no decoding of the messages. I've tried various receiver modes such as CW, USB, LSB, USB-D, etc. but no luck.
>
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Alan, W2MV
>

20. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Can't get JT65A on 20 M to Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-06-18 00:55:29 UTC
From: Alan Burg
Topic: 18 Message: 20

I'm using WSJT9, which includes the JT65A mode.
Is that not the right software to use for HF?

On Fri, Jun 17, 2011 at 8:23 PM, Tom <k0tw@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Alan, you said that you've installed the latest WSJT software. Does that
> mean that you are not running the JT65-HF software (
> http://sourceforge.net/projects/jt65-hf/files/)? W1JT developed the
> original WSJT software for VHF/UHF weak signal work and the algorithms were
> later used by W6CQZ to develop the JT65-HF software package. If you're using
> the wrong package that could be the problem.
>
> That said, on 20M you should have your VFO set to 14.076 MHz and mode set
> to USB.
>
> Tom - KØTW
>
> > I'm new to this and it's probably something I'm doing incorrectly.
> > I have an Icom 7600 with a USInterface Navigator, and a PC running Vista
> that I use with HRD with no problem.
> >
> > I've downloaded and installed the latest WSJT software.
> > The problem is that when I attempt to decode JT65A sigs on 20 meters, it
> doesn't work. I get the waterfall and other displays indicating that the
> signal is being received, but no decoding of the messages. I've tried
> various receiver modes such as CW, USB, LSB, USB-D, etc. but no luck.
> >
> > Any help would be appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Alan, W2MV
> >
>
>
>

21. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Can't get JT65A on 20 M to Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-06-18 02:44:14 UTC
From: Andy obrien
Topic: 18 Message: 21

Read my guide on WSJT decoding (Google K3Uk Bozo's Guide) od Google JT65-HF
by Joe Large and download that. You will find the latter to have a much
easier learning curve.
Andy K3UK

On Fri, Jun 17, 2011 at 8:55 PM, Alan Burg <aburg1106@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I'm using WSJT9, which includes the JT65A mode.
> Is that not the right software to use for HF?
>
>
> On Fri, Jun 17, 2011 at 8:23 PM, Tom <k0tw@...> wrote:
>
>> **
>>
>>
>> Alan, you said that you've installed the latest WSJT software. Does that
>> mean that you are not running the JT65-HF software (
>> http://sourceforge.net/projects/jt65-hf/files/)? W1JT developed the
>> original WSJT software for VHF/UHF weak signal work and the algorithms were
>> later used by W6CQZ to develop the JT65-HF software package. If you're using
>> the wrong package that could be the problem.
>>
>> That said, on 20M you should have your VFO set to 14.076 MHz and mode set
>> to USB.
>>
>> Tom - KØTW
>>
>> > I'm new to this and it's probably something I'm doing incorrectly.
>> > I have an Icom 7600 with a USInterface Navigator, and a PC running Vista
>> that I use with HRD with no problem.
>> >
>> > I've downloaded and installed the latest WSJT software.
>> > The problem is that when I attempt to decode JT65A sigs on 20 meters, it
>> doesn't work. I get the waterfall and other displays indicating that the
>> signal is being received, but no decoding of the messages. I've tried
>> various receiver modes such as CW, USB, LSB, USB-D, etc. but no luck.
>> >
>> > Any help would be appreciated.
>> >
>> > Thanks,
>> > Alan, W2MV

>> >
>>
>>
>
>

22. Re: Can't get JT65A on 20 M to Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-06-18 02:47:30 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 18 Message: 22

No, that's the software designed for VHF and up. If you have access to April 2011 issue of QST magazine look on page 45. There's a very informative article about what's needed for HF operation. Or go to this web page for all the info:

http://jt65-hf.sourceforge.net/ <http://jt65-hf.sourceforge.net/>

Tom - KØTW

> I'm using WSJT9, which includes the JT65A mode.
> Is that not the right software to use for HF?
>
> On Fri, Jun 17, 2011 at 8:23 PM, Tom k0tw@... wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > Alan, you said that you've installed the latest WSJT software. Does that
> > mean that you are not running the JT65-HF software (
> > http://sourceforge.net/projects/jt65-hf/files/)? W1JT developed the
> > original WSJT software for VHF/UHF weak signal work and the algorithms were
> > later used by W6CQZ to develop the JT65-HF software package. If you're using
> > the wrong package that could be the problem.
> >
> > That said, on 20M you should have your VFO set to 14.076 MHz and mode set
> > to USB.
> >
> > Tom - KØTW
> >
> > > I'm new to this and it's probably something I'm doing incorrectly.
> > > I have an Icom 7600 with a USInterface Navigator, and a PC running Vista
> > that I use with HRD with no problem.
> > >
> > > I've downloaded and installed the latest WSJT software.
> > > The problem is that when I attempt to decode JT65A sigs on 20 meters, it
> > doesn't work. I get the waterfall and other displays indicating that the
> > signal is being received, but no decoding of the messages. I've tried
> > various receiver modes such as CW, USB, LSB, USB-D, etc. but no

luck.
> > >
> > > Any help would be appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Alan, W2MV
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

23. Re: Can't get JT65A on 20 M to Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-06-18 06:43:51 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 18 Message: 23

Hi,

i have WSJT7, now downloaded also WSJT9 and tried it with JT65A.
It decodes ok.
I guess you have something wrong with equipment setup. Tune rig to 14.076, use USB mode, sync PC clock with time server, choose JT65A in WSJT9, check decoding bandwith on waterfall (full ore freeze to smaller 100Hz on particular signal). You should get decodes.

JT65-HF is very good software too, give it a try.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "sparks_ro_123" <aburg1106@...> wrote:
>
> I'm new to this and it's probably something I'm doing incorrectly.
> I have an Icom 7600 with a USInterface Navigator, and a PC running Vista that I use with HRD with no problem.
>
> I've downloaded and installed the latest WSJT software.
> The problem is that when I attempt to decode JT65A sigs on 20 meters, it doesn't work. I get the waterfall and other displays indicating that the signal is being received, but no decoding of the messages. I've tried various receiver modes such as CW, USB, LSB, USB-D, etc. but no luck.
>
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Alan, W2MV
>

24. Club Meeting JT65hf Wed July 6

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-07-05 17:07:04 UTC
From: kc2gmm@verizon.net
Topic: 24 Message: 24

I will be demonstrating JT65hf on 10m during our Radio Club Meeting on Wed July 6 @ 7:30pm - 8:45pm EST. If anyone has the time to chime in, we would appreciate it.

Mike KC2GMM

25. Re: Can't get JT65A on 20 M to Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-07-05 19:52:10 UTC
From: sparks_ro_123
Topic: 18 Message: 25

Thanks to everyone who provided advice.
As soon as I installed the correct decoding software, JT-65 on 20 meters starting working right away.

Alan

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "sparks_ro_123" <aburg1106@...> wrote:
>
> I'm new to this and it's probably something I'm doing incorrectly.
> I have an Icom 7600 with a USInterface Navigator, and a PC running Vista that I use with HRD with no problem.
>
> I've downloaded and installed the latest WSJT software.
> The problem is that when I attempt to decode JT65A sigs on 20 meters, it doesn't work. I get the waterfall and other displays indicating that the signal is being received, but no decoding of the messages. I've tried various receiver modes such as CW, USB, LSB, USB-D, etc. but no luck.
>
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Alan, W2MV
>

26. JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-07-12 13:03:32 UTC
From: petedewitt@att.net
Topic: 26 Message: 26

KF4PDH here thanks for letting me join in. I am having problems in configuring the above three to work together. Would it just be better to run JT65-HF and TS-2000 alone?
73's
Pete

27. Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-07-15 06:34:43 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 26 Message: 27

Hi, Pete

What for do you use HRD?

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@...m, "petedewitt@..." <petedewitt@...> wrote:
>
> KF4PDH here thanks for letting me join in. I am having problems in configuring the above three to work together. Would it just be better to run JT65-HF and TS-2000 alone?
> 73's
> Pete
>

28. RE: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-07-15 16:22:09 UTC
From: Peter R. DeWitt
Topic: 26 Message: 28

Mart,

I just have used HRD for a long time mainly for waterfall and spotter. That is why I asked if it would be better to use JT65-HF alone but still have config problems.

73, pete

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of mardikas15
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 2:35 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000

Hi, Pete

What for do you use HRD?

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com> , "petedewitt@..." <petedewitt@...> wrote:
>
> KF4PDH here thanks for letting me join in. I am having problems in configuring the above three to work together. Would it just be better to run JT65-HF and TS-2000 alone?
> 73's
> Pete
>

29. Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-07-16 06:20:41 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 26 Message: 29

Hi, Pete

i guess you should not use DM780 in HRD. It takes some CPU and you actually dont need it. But if you want to use then it should not be problem to use same audio devicec in Windows for JT65-HF and DM780. Only PTT com ports should be different i think. Best solution is to use only HRD station control window and Logbook and not to use station control in JT65-HF. I would do it this way.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Peter R. DeWitt" <petedewitt@...> wrote:
>
> Mart,
>
> I just have used HRD for a long time mainly for waterfall and spotter. That
> is why I asked if it would be better to use JT65-HF alone but still have
> config problems.
>
> 73, pete
>
>
>
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
> mardikas15
> Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 2:35 AM
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000
>
>
>
>
>
> Hi, Pete
>
> What for do you use HRD?
>
> 73, Mart
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com> ,
> "petedewitt@" <petedewitt@> wrote:
> >
> > KF4PDH here thanks for letting me join in. I am having problems in
> configuring the above three to work together. Would it just be better to run
> JT65-HF and TS-2000 alone?
> > 73's
> > Pete

> >
>

30. RE: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-07-16 17:06:59 UTC
From: Peter R. DeWitt
Topic: 26 Message: 30

Mart,

I found out by reading HRD & 780 readme that HRD will not, by serial cable, send audio to transceiver TS-2000. I am going to external rig control and that should solve my problem all the way around. Thank you for your replies.

73 pete

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of mardikas15
Sent: Saturday, July 16, 2011 2:21 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000

Hi, Pete

i guess you should not use DM780 in HRD. It takes some CPU and you actually dont need it. But if you want to use then it should not be problem to use same audio devicec in Windows for JT65-HF and DM780. Only PTT com ports should be different i think. Best solution is to use only HRD station control window and Logbook and not to use station control in JT65-HF. I would do it this way.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com> , "Peter R. DeWitt" <petedewitt@...> wrote:
>
> Mart,
>
> I just have used HRD for a long time mainly for waterfall and spotter. That
> is why I asked if it would be better to use JT65-HF alone but still have
> config problems.
>
> 73, pete
>
>
>
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com> [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com>] On Behalf Of
> mardikas15
> Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 2:35 AM
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF, HRD AND KENWOOD TS-2000
>

>
>
>
>
> Hi, Pete
>
> What for do you use HRD?
>
> 73, Mart
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com>
<mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com> ,
> "petedewitt@" <petedewitt@> wrote:
> >
> > KF4PDH here thanks for letting me join in. I am having problems in
> configuring the above three to work together. Would it just be better to
run
> JT65-HF and TS-2000 alone?
> > 73's
> > Pete
> >
>

31. Text Color Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-09-28 21:06:57 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 31 Message: 31

I have JT65-HF installed on two laptop computers. On both I have the default color settings. In the decoded text box background colors are green for CQ, red for my call, otherwise silver. The text is black on both computers.

Here's my problem: On first computer when I single or double click the left mouse button on a line of text that text color remains black. But on the second computer when I do the same the text for that line turns white, which is hard to read. There is no change to background color on either computer when I do this. .

Am I missing a setting somewhere in JT65-HF that determines the selected text color? Or maybe I have some default text color setting that's external to JT65-HF software that is causing this problem?

Thanks for any help.

Tom - KØTW

32. Oldest ham operating JT65-HF?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-09-29 20:12:24 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 32 Message: 32

Earlier today I worked W2RXG, Bob, near Wildwood, FL on 15M JT65-HF. After the QSO I exchanged emails with him. Bob is 91 years old and I'm guessing he's the oldest person actively operating JT65-HF these days. Does anyone know of an older JT65-HF op?

Tom - KØTW

33. Shift QRG to match DF ?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-10-10 19:24:07 UTC
From: bill_w2kkj
Topic: 33 Message: 33

The JT65-HF setup manual mentions that "Shift QRG to match DF if TxDF matching enabled" will become available with JT65-HF 1.0.8. I am running JT65-HF 1.0.8.2 with HRG version 5. Does this version of JT65-HF have the shifting of QRG to match DF enabled? If so, where do I enable "TxDF matching?"
Many thanks,
- Bill W2KKJ

34. Calling station not turning red.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-11-04 17:44:43 UTC
From: kq4na
Topic: 34 Message: 34

I'm new to jt65hf and need some help. I call a station that is calling CQ and I usually connect with them and they send my report but it does not turn red when they answer me. I click on the report to me and send their report but it seems they do not hear me now. What am I doing wrong. The colors in the setup are all ok. Thanks for your help.

73, Jim

35. Impossible démarrage JT 65 HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-11-30 08:27:49 UTC
From: rogerf4eit
Topic: 35 Message: 35

Hello,

Configuration: Icom 756 Pro 2 interface microHAM USB3
MAC OS Leopard with 5 Parallel Deskop to control Windows XP Pro.

From then some days I can not open JT HF 65, I the following message:
<in file:/lc/documents and setting/Roger x.....Roger:C06543
AEA/local/setting/application JT data station 65 HF: 1xml'line a possible 1714 INVALID
CARACTER>
What can I do

thank you

36. Was this my experience in the Twilight Zone?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-06 17:54:03 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 36 Message: 36

Last Sunday I was operating JT65 HF during 2 separate sessions. Toward the end of the 1st session, I hooked up with an op on 40M and due to conditions we weren't able to get past my sig report to him. I was awaiting his RRR. I tried a couple of times to repeat my signal report to him to no avail. The last time I sent a 'QSB' message and I did not hear or see his stream on the waterfall.

Four hours later I came back on, and this time I was on 20M. The HJT65 HF software finished booting up at the 40 second mark of a qso already in progress, and I placed the decoder on a real loud and bright stream. The message decoded. It was from the op that I was unable to complete the qso with 4 hours earlier. I got really excited and curious about this phenomenon. It was his RRR message to me.

In a state of wonderment, I re-sent his callsign and the new signal report that popped up. With the decoder still in place, the returen stream stopped at the 47 second spot and what did I decode? It was a different op sending a sig report to another op, not the guy I thought I was working.

This was truly a Twilight Zone event. I neither drink nor take drugs, but that's what happened. This must have a logical explanation to what I experienced.

WHAT DID I EXPERIENCE?

37. How do I fix ...?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-13 00:23:11 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 37 Message: 37

I recently had to uninstall and reinstall JT65 HF 1.07 software because I had some issues with USB connections on this hand me down Vista OS machine. Upon reinstalling, I get the message: :"PA Error: Invalid number of channels. Could not set up stereo input. Program will exit." I can't select the input and output because the "program has to exit." My computer has high def soundcard, so I have to use a Skype Headset V5.1 soundcard audio adapter to convert to mono. I am able to to work JT65 on WSJT software with this setup, though. How do I fix this?

38. Receive OK, transmit never decoded

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-18 13:35:53 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 38 Message: 38

I'm running:
XP Pro 1.5GHz, 2GB RAM
PowerSDR 1.19.3.15
JT65-HF
with a new Softrock Ensemble RXTX SDR transceiver. (Quite a kit!)

Anyway, I can decode incoming signals very easily. My transmitted signal hasn't been picked up on any reverse beacons.

What works:
JT65 both ways with my hardware rig
PSK, RTTY with the sdr

Other stuff that doesn't work:
Decode incoming signals with WSPR with the sdr.

Possible causes:
1) Latency in PowerSDR. There's a 1 or 2 second delay in the audio stream. I tried deliberately setting the clock off by a few seconds, no improvement.

2) Settings in the Virtual Audio Cable. I fooled with the sample rate and bits per sample. No improvement.

Any ideas? (Please don't mention syncing the PC clock. That part's solid.)

--
Al Gerheim, K1QN

Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

39. Re: Receive OK, transmit never decoded

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-18 19:45:44 UTC
From: alpg49
Topic: 38 Message: 39

Ringback test:

I routed the transmit audio from one instance of JT65-HF to PSDR, and from there to another instance of JT65-HF. I got all the audio levels right, the display looked nice in the "receive" window. It was time late by about 2 seconds, which isn't much in this mode.

No decodes in several tries. So the problem is in the Virtual Audio Cable and/or PowerSDR.

Any ideas?

Does anyone have this mode working on XP pro? I'd like to compare "Setup" dialog screen shots.

--- In JT65HF@...m, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> I'm running:
> XP Pro 1.5GHz, 2GB RAM
> PowerSDR 1.19.3.15
> JT65-HF
> with a new Softrock Ensemble RXTX SDR transceiver. (Quite a kit!)
>
> Anyway, I can decode incoming signals very easily. My transmitted
> signal hasn't been picked up on any reverse beacons.
>
> What works:
> JT65 both ways with my hardware rig
> PSK, RTTY with the sdr
>
> Other stuff that doesn't work:
> Decode incoming signals with WSPR with the sdr.
>
> Possible causes:
> 1) Latency in PowerSDR. There's a 1 or 2 second delay in the audio
> stream. I tried deliberately setting the clock off by a few seconds,
> no improvement.
>
> 2) Settings in the Virtual Audio Cable. I fooled with the sample rate
> and bits per sample. No improvement.
>
> Any ideas? (Please don't mention syncing the PC clock. That part's solid.)
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim, K1QN
>

> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>

40. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Receive OK, transmit never decoded

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-19 20:33:06 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 38 Message: 40

Here's one for the diagnostic file:

I think the problem was MalwareBytes executable mbabservice.exe. I killed it, uninstalled malwarebytes (an otherwise worthwhile program), and now I'm golden on JT65. I'll try WSPR in a few minuntes...

I also killed Kaspersky AV, but it JT65 seems to work with Kaspersky on.

This is with a relatively slow machine: XP Pro, 1.5MHz, 2GB RAM.

On Sun, Dec 18, 2011 at 2:45 PM, alpg49 <alpg49@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Ringback test:
>
> I routed the transmit audio from one instance of JT65-HF to PSDR, and from
> there to another instance of JT65-HF. I got all the audio levels right, the
> display looked nice in the "receive" window. It was time late by about 2
> seconds, which isn't much in this mode.
>
> No decodes in several tries. So the problem is in the Virtual Audio Cable
> and/or PowerSDR.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Does anyone have this mode working on XP pro? I'd like to compare "Setup"
> dialog screen shots.
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > I'm running:
> > XP Pro 1.5GHz, 2GB RAM
> > PowerSDR 1.19.3.15
> > JT65-HF
> > with a new Softrock Ensemble RXTX SDR transceiver. (Quite a kit!)
> >
> > Anyway, I can decode incoming signals very easily. My transmitted
> > signal hasn't been picked up on any reverse beacons.
> >
> > What works:
> > JT65 both ways with my hardware rig
> > PSK, RTTY with the sdr
> >
> > Other stuff that doesn't work:

> > Decode incoming signals with WSPR with the sdr.
> >
> > Possible causes:
> > 1) Latency in PowerSDR. There's a 1 or 2 second delay in the audio
> > stream. I tried deliberately setting the clock off by a few seconds,
> > no improvement.
> >
> > 2) Settings in the Virtual Audio Cable. I fooled with the sample rate
> > and bits per sample. No improvement.
> >
> > Any ideas? (Please don't mention syncing the PC clock. That part's
> solid.)
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim, K1QN
> >
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

41. Re: Receive OK, transmit never decoded

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-21 19:12:31 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 38 Message: 41

Hi,

If PSK transmit is ok with virtual audio cable then JT65 should too.
I have made many JT65 QSOs with my SDR station (put away at the moment). My PC is 3GHz and CPU was still quite loaded with PowerSDR JT65HF combo. I think 2s delay can be also much but yes i've seen also decodes with worse sync. Maybe trx switching delay "eats" some seconds of audio? Listen to your signal in PC and same time with your desktop radio.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> I'm running:
> XP Pro 1.5GHz, 2GB RAM
> PowerSDR 1.19.3.15
> JT65-HF
> with a new Softrock Ensemble RXTX SDR transceiver. (Quite a kit!)
>
> Anyway, I can decode incoming signals very easily. My transmitted
> signal hasn't been picked up on any reverse beacons.
>
> What works:
> JT65 both ways with my hardware rig
> PSK, RTTY with the sdr
>
> Other stuff that doesn't work:
> Decode incoming signals with WSPR with the sdr.
>
> Possible causes:
> 1) Latency in PowerSDR. There's a 1 or 2 second delay in the audio
> stream. I tried deliberately setting the clock off by a few seconds,
> no improvement.
>
> 2) Settings in the Virtual Audio Cable. I fooled with the sample rate
> and bits per sample. No improvement.
>
> Any ideas? (Please don't mention syncing the PC clock. That part's solid.)
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim, K1QN
>
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>

42. Re: How do I fix ...?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-21 19:17:32 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 37 Message: 42

Can you check in Vista sound control panel that your soundcards inputs and outouts are default devices.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mefazamy" <FAZAMY@...> wrote:
>
> I recently had to uninstall and reinstall JT65 HF 1.07 software because I had some issues with USB connections on this hand me down Vista OS machine. Upon reinstalling, I get the message: :"PA Error: Invalid number of channels. Could not set up stereo input. Program will exit." I can't select the input and output because the "program has to exit." My computer has high def soundcard, so I have to use a Skype Headset V5.1 soundcard audio adapter to convert to mono. I am able to to work JT65 on WSJT software with this setup, though. How do I fix this?
>

43. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Receive OK, transmit never decoded

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2011-12-21 23:43:05 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 38 Message: 43

I'm pretty sure it has to do with CPU overloading of some sort. It works with my faster PC's with virtually the same line-up. Also, I cured it on one PC by killing malwarebytes' exe, which was a CPU/RAM hog.

It's pretty subtle!

On Wed, Dec 21, 2011 at 2:12 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Hi,
>
> If PSK transmit is ok with virtual audio cable then JT65 should too.
> I have made many JT65 QSOs with my SDR station (put away at the moment).
> My PC is 3GHz and CPU was still quite loaded with PowerSDR JT65HF combo. I
> think 2s delay can be also much but yes i've seen also decodes with worse
> sync. Maybe trx switching delay "eats" some seconds of audio? Listen to
> your signal in PC and same time with your desktop radio.
>
> 73, Mart
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > I'm running:
> > XP Pro 1.5GHz, 2GB RAM
> > PowerSDR 1.19.3.15
> > JT65-HF
> > with a new Softrock Ensemble RXTX SDR transceiver. (Quite a kit!)
> >
> > Anyway, I can decode incoming signals very easily. My transmitted
> > signal hasn't been picked up on any reverse beacons.
> >
> > What works:
> > JT65 both ways with my hardware rig
> > PSK, RTTY with the sdr
> >
> > Other stuff that doesn't work:
> > Decode incoming signals with WSPR with the sdr.
> >
> > Possible causes:
> > 1) Latency in PowerSDR. There's a 1 or 2 second delay in the audio
> > stream. I tried deliberately setting the clock off by a few seconds,
> > no improvement.
> >

> > 2) Settings in the Virtual Audio Cable. I fooled with the sample rate
> > and bits per sample. No improvement.
> >
> > Any ideas? (Please don't mention syncing the PC clock. That part's
> solid.)
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim, K1QN
> >
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

44. Happy new year 2012!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-01 08:15:23 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 44 Message: 44

Happy new year! I hope it brings you many nice JT65 QSOs. See you in the air!

73!

Mart
ES2MA

45. Re: How do I fix ...?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-02 07:11:49 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 37 Message: 45

Hi,

did you fix your problem?
I had yesterday same problem while messing with soundcard drivers.
I found little utility from JT65HF folder named something like jt65fix.
It let you reset or delete configuration data. I did reset and problem was gone.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mefazamy" <FAZAMY@...> wrote:
>
> I recently had to uninstall and reinstall JT65 HF 1.07 software because I had some issues with USB connections on this hand me down Vista OS machine. Upon reinstalling, I get the message: :"PA Error: Invalid number of channels. Could not set up stereo input. Program will exit." I can't select the input and output because the "program has to exit." My computer has high def soundcard, so I have to use a Skype Headset V5.1 soundcard audio adapter to convert to mono. I am able to to work JT65 on WSJT software with this setup, though. How do I fix this?
>

46. Re: [JT65HF] Re: How do I fix ...?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-02 18:22:21 UTC
From: fazamy@aol.com
Topic: 37 Message: 46

Thanks Mart for you inquiry. I have not gotten this program to run. I downloaded v 1.051. I can key the rig, but I get a blank screen. I went through the manual, and I compared the list located in the manual's Configuration Searched illustration with the list in my Configuration Searched and all items match EXCEPT for "Searched registry for com ports" although I checked the appropriate com port in my station set up.

I know I have some irreversible registry issues that cannot be fixed with some of this registry correction software that I have used on my hand me down computer.

I suspect that the registry issues are such that some ham software won't work on this computer.

-----Original Message-----
From: mardikas15 <martrah@gmail.com>
To: JT65HF <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Mon, Jan 2, 2012 2:11 am
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: How do I fix ...?

Hi,
did you fix your problem?
had yesterday same problem while messing with soundcard drivers.
found little utility from JT65HF folder named something like jt65fix.
t let you reset or delete configuration data. I did reset and problem was gone.
73, Mart
--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mefazamy" <FAZAMY@...> wrote:

I recently had to uninstall and reinstall JT65 HF 1.07 software because I had
ome issues with USB connections on this hand me down Vista OS machine. Upon
einstalling, I get the message: :"PA Error: Invalid number of channels. Could
ot set up stereo input. Program will exit." I can't select the input and output
ecause the "program has to exit." My computer has high def soundcard, so I have
o use a Skype Headset V5.1 soundcard audio adapter to convert to mono. I am
ble to to work JT65 on WSJT software with this setup, though. How do I fix
his?

Yahoo! Groups Links
Individual Email | Traditional
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

47. CPU SELECTION

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-03 22:47:21 UTC
From: lmeeny
Topic: 47 Message: 47

Hello,

Does the compiler used for JT65HF optimize for AMD or Intel processors?

thanks

W2GHD

48. Re: CPU SELECTION

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-07 22:05:18 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 47 Message: 48

Try http://groups.google.com/group/jt65-hf

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "lmeeny" <emachak@...> wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> Does the compiler used for JT65HF optimize for AMD or Intel processors?
>
> thanks
>
> W2GHD
>

49. PA Error: Invalid # of channels ... .

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-21 00:57:45 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 49 Message: 49

My latest attempt to getting JT65HF to work has been to uninstall other versions. Today, I uninstalled 1.06, and installed 1.07 to no avail. My OS is Vista. I see possible solutions for the SignalLink Interface, however I have a Rigblaster Plug and Play. I use a Skype headest usb soundcard adapter so I can get digimodes in the first place.

Because the program exits, I am unable to see if the icon appears in the Volume Mixer (Vista OS.

Any suggestion to fix this error?

50. Re:PA Error: Invalid # of channels ... .

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-21 16:30:17 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 49 Message: 50

Do you hav jt65repair.exe fail in program folder? At least v1.09 does have. Get it from
http://sourceforge.net/projects/jt65-hf/files/jt65repair.exe/download
Run this file and see if it helps.

Also i've read that people have to change their USB Audio device (Signalink for example) in Vista audio control panel to mono device or stereo device.

See thread in this group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/JT65HF/message/14

Also http://groups.google.com/group/jt65-hf

Rudy Benner
Right click on the speaker icon on your task bar, select recording devices, select the Microphone which is associated with the Signalink. Change the properties to single channel.

And finally try using latest JT65-HF
http://sourceforge.net/projects/jt65-hf/

Let us know!
73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mefazamy" <FAZAMY@...> wrote:
>
> My latest attempt to getting JT65HF to work has been to uninstall other versions. Today, I uninstalled 1.06, and installed 1.07 to no avail. My OS is Vista. I see possible solutions for the SignalLink Interface, however I have a Rigblaster Plug and Play. I use a Skype headest usb soundcard adapter so I can get digimodes in the first place.
>
> Because the program exits, I am unable to see if the icon appears in the Volume Mixer (Vista OS.
>
> Any suggestion to fix this error?
>

51. "Cannot compute TX message"

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-29 23:05:20 UTC
From: ku4a
Topic: 51 Message: 51

I've had JT65-HF and JT-Alert up and running for a couple weeks and both work pretty well.

A question, though: I saw a CQ call of the form:

CQ DX XX1XX (where XX1XX was a DX station callsign).

When I double clicked on the line in the decode table, I got the message "Cannot compute TX msg". I assume that is because of the "DX" in the text.

Is there any way around this?

KU4A

52. Waterfall Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-30 00:12:47 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 52 Message: 52

I see some signals on the waterfall are blurry and wide. Is this because they are hitting the ALC to hard. It looks like the clean neat specks on other signals are adjusted properly. Or is that just because the signal is weaker?

Thanks, Dan

53. Re: Waterfall Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-30 02:22:12 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 52 Message: 53

Dan, thanks for asking that question because I've wondered the same. I always watch my ALC and cut it back until I see no ALC and then, a little more, until I see a slight downward power out fluctuation (on my power meter) during transmit. Is that the right way to do it?

Tom - KØTW

> I see some signals on the waterfall are blurry and wide. Is this because they are hitting the ALC to hard. It looks like the clean neat specks on other signals are adjusted properly. Or is that just because the signal is weaker?
>
> Thanks, Dan
>

54. Re: Waterfall Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-30 13:46:42 UTC
From: Dan
Topic: 52 Message: 54

Hi Tom,
that is my method as well. It works fine on the other digital modes that way.I keep an eye on the power meter to make sure it flickers a bit.

Dan KM6CQ

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Tom" <k0tw@...> wrote:
>
>
> Dan, thanks for asking that question because I've wondered the same. I
> always watch my ALC and cut it back until I see no ALC and then, a
> little more, until I see a slight downward power out fluctuation (on my
> power meter) during transmit. Is that the right way to do it?
>
> Tom - KØTW
>
>
> > I see some signals on the waterfall are blurry and wide. Is this
> because they are hitting the ALC to hard. It looks like the clean neat
> specks on other signals are adjusted properly. Or is that just because
> the signal is weaker?
> >
> > Thanks, Dan
> >
>

55. Re: [JT65HF] "Cannot compute TX message"

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-01-30 15:31:02 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 51 Message: 55

I haven't been able to find one. The work-around is to type the callsign and report into the appropriate boxes (on the right about 2/3 of the way down). Type fast. You have somewhat less than 12 seconds to get 'er done - either that or wait for the next iteration.

On Sun, Jan 29, 2012 at 6:05 PM, ku4a <ku4a@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I've had JT65-HF and JT-Alert up and running for a couple weeks and both
> work pretty well.
>
> A question, though: I saw a CQ call of the form:
>
> CQ DX XX1XX (where XX1XX was a DX station callsign).
>
> When I double clicked on the line in the decode table, I got the message
> "Cannot compute TX msg". I assume that is because of the "DX" in the text.
>
> Is there any way around this?
>
> KU4A
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

56. Re: Waterfall Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-01 08:40:01 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 52 Message: 56

If signal is very strong and your soundcard is not very good then also anomalies can occur.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Dan" <km6cq@...> wrote:
>
> I see some signals on the waterfall are blurry and wide. Is this because they are hitting the ALC to hard. It looks like the clean neat specks on other signals are adjusted properly. Or is that just because the signal is weaker?
>
> Thanks, Dan
>

57. Decode problems?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-01 15:45:15 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 57 Message: 57

Can someone explain to me why version 1.0.9 sometimes will have many clear signals showing on the waterfall, and not be able to decode any of them? Sometimes it works great, sometimes not at all (usually when I'm chasing a QSO I really need.)

My laptop now has 2 G of memory, and there are no other processes running to use up compute power.

Confusing...

73,
Steve
W1KF

58. Re: [JT65HF] Decode problems?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-01 15:56:24 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 57 Message: 58

Hard to tell...

I use softrock sdr's with PowerSDR at times, and, at times, the audio will drop out for 100msec or so, over and over. The waterfall looks OK, but it won't decode.

Sometimes, it identifies so many signal candidates it doesn't finish in time. (I'm running about 1.5MHz and 1.6GB, XP Pro (If it works, don't fix it!))

The work-around for slow processing is to disable "Enable Multi", and isolate on signals that "look good". Usually, if a signal starts out on an unoccupied freq, it'll be a CQ. If a freq is constantly used, it's probably a QSO in progress.

Also, use Task Manager to identify background processes that might be burning CPU or memory: Open Office Quickstart, Norton AV, etc...

(You probably know this, but...) Make sure the signal level is OK. If you click the "Smooth" option, the display will look great even when the signal is out of range.

On Wed, Feb 1, 2012 at 10:45 AM, w1kilofoxtrot <w1kf@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Can someone explain to me why version 1.0.9 sometimes will have many clear
> signals showing on the waterfall, and not be able to decode any of them?
> Sometimes it works great, sometimes not at all (usually when I'm chasing a
> QSO I really need.)
>
> My laptop now has 2 G of memory, and there are no other processes running
> to use up compute power.
>
> Confusing...
>
> 73,
> Steve
> W1KF
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet

http://www.petfinder.com

59. Re: Decode problems?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-01 16:56:56 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 57 Message: 59

Thanks for the tips. I started looking at the Raw Decodes, and the program is seeing all of the signals that I can see on the waterfall, sometimes even more. But the ones it selects to -finish- the decode don't seem to make sense. Sometimes softer signals decode when louder ones do not. Sometimes a small number of very clear, distinct signals don't decode at all. Changing the size of the bins, by altering the bandwidth, doesn't seem to matter either.

Very weird. Was working much better a week or so ago, and there have been no other changes to the system.

73,
Steve
W1KF

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> Hard to tell...
>
> I use softrock sdr's with PowerSDR at times, and, at times, the audio will
> drop out for 100msec or so, over and over. The waterfall looks OK, but it
> won't decode.
>
> Sometimes, it identifies so many signal candidates it doesn't finish in
> time. (I'm running about 1.5MHz and 1.6GB, XP Pro (If it works, don't fix
> it!))
>
> The work-around for slow processing is to disable "Enable Multi", and
> isolate on signals that "look good". Usually, if a signal starts out on an
> unoccupied freq, it'll be a CQ. If a freq is constantly used, it's
> probably a QSO in progress.
>
> Also, use Task Manager to identify background processes that might be
> burning CPU or memory: Open Office Quickstart, Norton AV, etc...
>
> (You probably know this, but...) Make sure the signal level is OK. If you
> click the "Smooth" option, the display will look great even when the signal
> is out of range.
>
>
> On Wed, Feb 1, 2012 at 10:45 AM, w1kilofoxtrot <w1kf@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > Can someone explain to me why version 1.0.9 sometimes will have many clear
> > signals showing on the waterfall, and not be able to decode any of them?

> > Sometimes it works great, sometimes not at all (usually when I'm chasing a
> > QSO I really need.)
> >
> > My laptop now has 2 G of memory, and there are no other processes running
> > to use up compute power.
> >
> > Confusing...
> >
> > 73,
> > Steve
> > W1KF
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>

60. Re: Decode problems?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-02 14:23:08 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 57 Message: 60

Follow-up: I used the configuration repair utility, and it seems to have come back to life. Will advise if anything new develops...

73,
Steve
W1KF

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "w1kilofoxtrot" <w1kf@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the tips. I started looking at the Raw Decodes, and the program is seeing all of the signals that I can see on the waterfall, sometimes even more. But the ones it selects to -finish- the decode don't seem to make sense. Sometimes softer signals decode when louder ones do not. Sometimes a small number of very clear, distinct signals don't decode at all. Changing the size of the bins, by altering the bandwidth, doesn't seem to matter either.
>
> Very weird. Was working much better a week or so ago, and there have been no other changes to the system.
>
> 73,
> Steve
> W1KF
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@> wrote:
> >
> > Hard to tell...
> >
> > I use softrock sdr's with PowerSDR at times, and, at times, the audio will
> > drop out for 100msec or so, over and over. The waterfall looks OK, but it
> > won't decode.
> >
> > Sometimes, it identifies so many signal candidates it doesn't finish in
> > time. (I'm running about 1.5MHz and 1.6GB, XP Pro (If it works, don't fix
> > it!))
> >
> > The work-around for slow processing is to disable "Enable Multi", and
> > isolate on signals that "look good". Usually, if a signal starts out on an
> > unoccupied freq, it'll be a CQ. If a freq is constantly used, it's
> > probably a QSO in progress.
> >
> > Also, use Task Manager to identify background processes that might be
> > burning CPU or memory: Open Office Quickstart, Norton AV, etc...
> >
> > (You probably know this, but...) Make sure the signal level is OK. If you
> > click the "Smooth" option, the display will look great even when the signal

> > is out of range.
> >
> >
> > On Wed, Feb 1, 2012 at 10:45 AM, w1kilofoxtrot <w1kf@> wrote:
> >
> > > **
> > >
> > >
> > > Can someone explain to me why version 1.0.9 sometimes will have many clear
> > > signals showing on the waterfall, and not be able to decode any of them?
> > > Sometimes it works great, sometimes not at all (usually when I'm chasing a
> > > QSO I really need.)
> > >
> > > My laptop now has 2 G of memory, and there are no other processes running
> > > to use up compute power.
> > >
> > > Confusing...
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Steve
> > > W1KF
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>

61. TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-23 12:36:38 UTC
From: Howard
Topic: 61 Message: 61

Hi all
I am new to the group and also JT65
I am having a problem with TX
My set up is as follows
Kenwood TS 480SAT
Connections are Radio Shack Serial to UBS data connection to computer for Rig Control. Data port (6 pin din) audio connection to a creative X fi to go pro USB sound card. .
Operating system is Vista
I start HRD v5.1 with DM 780 and Logbook. I can PTT with HRD . No problems with other Digi modes.Using JT65 HF
Receive is fine Decode is fine. Rig goes in TX but no output. Checked all settings. Proper input and output devices are selected.
Any help would be appreciated
Howard
KC2TYZ

62. new friend on forum

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-23 20:14:15 UTC
From: Antonio YY2ACA C.
Topic: 62 Message: 62

hello guys my name is Tony my ham radio call is yy2acatoday i run my software hf jt65 with my new radio but it just dont want to Tx ...what i am doing wrong ?the new equipment is yaesu ft-950with cat control cable rs232 to usb conected into my laptop and the audio cables too...from my laptop to the miniplug on back of the radio rtty -pkt will preciate any helptony 73s

63. Re: new friend on forum

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-23 21:31:33 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 62 Message: 63

Hi Tony,

Have you tried any other digimode software to switch TX on?

I am not sure if you can use same com port for CAT and PTT. You probably use different softwares for logging and JT65. I use different com ports for cat and PTT connection. Havent tried ptt through cat, probably JT65 wont support it.

Can your radio switch to tx with audio present in input (VOX alike?)

Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Antonio YY2ACA C. <yy2aca@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> hello guys my name is Tony my ham radio call is yy2acatoday i run my software hf jt65 with my new radio but it just dont want to Tx ...what i am doing wrong ?the new equipment is yaesu ft-950with cat control cable rs232 to usb conected into my laptop and the audio cables too...from my laptop to the miniplug on back of the radio rtty -pkt will preciate any helptony 73s
>

64. Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-23 21:37:12 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 61 Message: 64

Hi Howard,

Maybe you should also try jt65repair.exe utility in JT65-HF folder.

Then maybe try to plug soundcard to another USB port and start JT65-HF again. It detects sound devices again.

Just thoughts..

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Howard" <KC2TYZ@...> wrote:
>
> Hi all
> I am new to the group and also JT65
> I am having a problem with TX
> My set up is as follows
> Kenwood TS 480SAT
> Connections are Radio Shack Serial to UBS data connection to computer for Rig Control. Data port (6 pin din) audio connection to a creative X fi to go pro USB sound card. .
> Operating system is Vista
> I start HRD v5.1 with DM 780 and Logbook. I can PTT with HRD . No problems with other Digi modes.Using JT65 HF
> Receive is fine Decode is fine. Rig goes in TX but no output. Checked all settings. Proper input and output devices are selected.
> Any help would be appreciated
> Howard
> KC2TYZ
>

65. RE: [JT65HF] Re: new friend on forum

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-23 22:30:11 UTC
From: Antonio YY2ACA C.
Topic: 62 Message: 65

Hi mart and all friends,,,,yes i am also using mixw it works okyes i think is something with hfjt65 i think it dont allow to ptt just by itself i think it needs a program like ham radio deluxe to do the ptt for it,,,i dont like HRD i am not used to it....i use long time ago mixw ...i am trying now to make it work with mixw or find another jt65 stand alone softwarethanks for your help friendtony yy2aca 73s
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: martrah@...
Date: Thu, 23 Feb 2012 21:31:33 +0000
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: new friend on forum

Hi Tony,

Have you tried any other digimode software to switch TX on?

I am not sure if you can use same com port for CAT and PTT. You probably use different softwares for logging and JT65. I use different com ports for cat and PTT connection. Havent tried ptt through cat, probably JT65 wont support it.

Can your radio switch to tx with audio present in input (VOX alike?)

Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Antonio YY2ACA C. <yy2aca@...> wrote:

>

>

>

>

>

> hello guys my name is Tony my ham radio call is yy2acatoday i run my software hf jt65 with my new radio but it just dont want to Tx ...what i am doing wrong ?the new equipment is yaesu ft-950with cat control cable rs232 to usb conected into my laptop and the audio cables too...from my laptop to the miniplug on back of the radio rtty -pkt will preciate any helptony 73s

>

66. Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-25 21:53:52 UTC
From: Howard
Topic: 61 Message: 66

Hi Mart.
Thanks for the reply.
I have tried different usb ports and checked my settings on JT65, HRD and the computer. HRD keys the rig with no output. I can go directly to DM 780 and send psk or any other digi mode with just a minor adjustment to input audio. I also tried the Kenwood rig control with digipan. Also keys rig and no output regardless of settings. Also tried using the onboard soundcard and the same result. I am receiving fine and would really like to TX. I am about ready to give up on JT65

Howard

67. RE: [JT65HF] Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-26 05:13:26 UTC
From: Mike Gregory
Topic: 61 Message: 67

Howard; Check your sound card to see if it is muted.
73 Mike (K0MC)

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: KC2TYZ@...
Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2012 21:53:52 +0000
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: TX Problem TS 480

Hi Mart.
Thanks for the reply.
I have tried different usb ports and checked my settings on JT65, HRD and the computer. HRD keys the rig with no output. I can go directly to DM 780 and send psk or any other digi mode with just a minor adjustment to input audio. I also tried the Kenwood rig control with digipan. Also keys rig and no output regardless of settings. Also tried using the onboard soundcard and the same result. I am receiving fine and would really like to TX. I am about ready to give up on JT65

Howard

68. Re: [JT65HF] Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-02-26 11:23:49 UTC
From: Howard R. Minnichbach
Topic: 61 Message: 68

As I think I stated I can go right to DM780 and transmit on PSK, RTTY
ect. The sound card is not muted and I checked the sound mixer and JT65
is not muted.
I think I have checked all of the obvious stuff.
Howard
KC2TYZ
>
>

69. PA Error: Invalid # of channels ... .

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-01 13:15:11 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 49 Message: 69

I have not been able to run JT65HF software as you may recall from my previous posts.

The error message: PA error: Invalid number of channels. Could not setup for stereo input. Program will exit.

My setup: RigBlaster Plug and Play
Skype 3d Sound Card Audio Adapter
Vista OS
JT65 v. 1.07

1. Could the soundcard in my computer be faulty? (It is a hand me down computer.)
2. Would a SL-USB interface solve my problem?
3. Why am I able to use WSJT with this setup, and not JT65HF?

I thank you in advance for your knowledge, opinions, insights, information. This group is an excellent source for the aforementioned qualities.

Evan

70. JT65 and FLEX 3000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-04 17:40:50 UTC
From: wb5aaa
Topic: 70 Message: 70

anyone here using the Flex 3000 with JT65

for some reason I don't think it is decoding as well as it should
I am sure I have something not set correct

I can transmit and receive just I think it should decode better...

any help would be great
thanks

71. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 and FLEX 3000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-04 17:44:14 UTC
From: Erik Jakobsen
Topic: 70 Message: 71

Have you checked flexradiowiki.com ?

> anyone here using the Flex 3000 with JT65
>
> for some reason I don't think it is decoding as well as it should
> I am sure I have something not set correct
>
> I can transmit and receive just I think it should decode better...
>
> any help would be great
> thanks
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

72. RE: [JT65HF] JT65 and FLEX 3000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-04 17:52:01 UTC
From: jim
Topic: 70 Message: 72

from the shack of
WB5AAA
73 de JIM

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Erik Jakobsen
Sent: Sunday, March 04, 2012 11:44 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Cc: wb5aaa
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65 and FLEX 3000

Have you checked flexradiowiki.com ?

> anyone here using the Flex 3000 with JT65
>
> for some reason I don't think it is decoding as well as it should
> I am sure I have something not set correct
>
> I can transmit and receive just I think it should decode better...
>
> any help would be great
> thanks
>
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

73. Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-05 20:10:28 UTC
From: Kantus
Topic: 61 Message: 73

Hello,

i have the same problem (TS-480). With HRD and DM780 => no problems. With HRD and JT65HF => TRX begin to send, but no NF on TS-480.
The soundcard generate the NF-output without problems.

I found this old thread: http://forums.hrdsoftwarellc.com/archive/index.php/t-5012.html

It this possible: JT65HF use the wrong TX-command?

SNIP BEGIN
The CAT command for TX is of the form: TXp1; where the p1 parameter is as follows:

0 = Normal SEND transmission using audio on the MIC input
1 = Transmission using audio input on PIN 1 on the Data connector
2 = Transmit in TUNE mode

The HRD suite needs to use the Parameter set to 1 when controlling via CAT for digital sound card modes. If you put your audio in on the DATA connector, this is the only CAT TX command that will take the audio from the DATA jack. The alternative is using the clumsy Serial port PTT control; or putting the audio in on the MIC connector. This might be OK if you use a rig blaster, or other interface that connects to MIC. But the TS-480 with its optically isolated audio in/out on the DATA connecter doesn't need an interface. It can be directly connected to the sound card.
SNIP END

I use the data connector (optically isolated audio in/out on the DATA connector).

Regards

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Howard R. Minnichbach" <KC2TYZ@...> wrote:
>
> As I think I stated I can go right to DM780 and transmit on PSK, RTTY
> ect. The sound card is not muted and I checked the sound mixer and JT65
> is not muted.
> I think I have checked all of the obvious stuff.
> Howard
> KC2TYZ
> >
> >
>

74. Skeds?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-07 00:16:31 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 74 Message: 74

Is there an established method for getting, or responding to, a request for a JT65 sked? I've got two states I'd like to get for WAS, but have not had luck for some time now.

There seems to be an EME solution, but not on HF; at least that I can find. Am I Google challenged?

73,
Steve
W1KF

PS: SD and WV...

75. Re: [JT65HF] Skeds?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-07 00:32:17 UTC
From: David Westbrook
Topic: 74 Message: 75

K3UK Sked Site (http://www.obriensweb.com/sked/index.php?board=lotw) and hamspots (can lookup a state to see who's been active on what band-modes) are very good resources ..

There's a couple SD & WV regulars on k3uk .. you can set an alert for when a certain state checks in, which is nice for getting their call, and then sending them a PM (private message).

--david
KJ4IZW

On Tue, Mar 6, 2012 at 7:16 PM, w1kilofoxtrot <w1kf@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Is there an established method for getting, or responding to, a request
> for a JT65 sked? I've got two states I'd like to get for WAS, but have not
> had luck for some time now.
>
> There seems to be an EME solution, but not on HF; at least that I can
> find. Am I Google challenged?
>
> 73,
> Steve
> W1KF
>
> PS: SD and WV...
>
>
>

76. Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-08 20:05:36 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 61 Message: 76

Can you activate VOX and configure VOX to use Data input of TS-480?

Mart

77. Re: [JT65HF] Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-09 03:15:56 UTC
From: Howard R. Minnichbach
Topic: 61 Message: 77

On 3/8/2012 3:05 PM, mardikas15 wrote:
>
>
> Can you activate VOX and configure VOX to use Data input of TS-480?
>
> Mart
>
>
>
> No virus found in this message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com <http://www.avg.com>
> Version: 2012.0.1913 / Virus Database: 2114/4858 - Release Date: 03/08/12
>
What I do not understand is why I can TX on all digi modes using DM 708
but cannot get my TS 480 to tx on JT 65. I have tried setting it up with
the ARP 480 rig control software from Kenwood also to no avail. It is
hard for me to think that no one is using a TS480 for JT65 that can
steer me in the right direction. I am about to say the heck with JT65.

Howard

78. Links added

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-11 07:30:17 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 78 Message: 78

Put some useful links to Links section.

79. Re: JT65 and FLEX 3000

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-11 10:59:43 UTC
From: kd6hq
Topic: 70 Message: 79

Hello James

My name is Don and I'm new to the group.
I'm also using a F3K and if you are talking about seeing signals that
do not get decoded then rest easy because I see the same thing.
Sometimes they are weak signals and sometimes strong. For whatever
reason sometimes the signal will not sink up. From what I have read
the most common problem seems to be clock accuracy. Perhaps it is
your computer clock, perhaps it is the transmitting station. But if
clock is off by a second or more then there is a good chance the signals will not sync. But
I have to say it's sure nice to be able to see those signals anyway.... I really enjoy the
pan adapter display and have altered mine with color. Look at QRZ.com if you are
interested.

73's and GL

Don, kd6hq

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "wb5aaa" <wb5aaa@...> wrote:
>
> anyone here using the Flex 3000 with JT65
>
> for some reason I don't think it is decoding as well as it should
> I am sure I have something not set correct
>
> I can transmit and receive just I think it should decode better...
>
> any help would be great
> thanks
>

80. Bandwidth settings?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-15 14:21:01 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 80 Message: 80

I wonder if someone could enlighten me, by post or reference, what the consequences, benefits, and disadvantages are of setting a wider, or narrower, bandwidth for receive? I have not been able to collect empirical evidence that it makes much difference, but it must, or they wouldn't be adjustable, right?

The manual suggests changing from the default value, but I can't find an explanation why one would want to do that.

73,
Steve
W1KF

81. Re: Bandwidth settings?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-15 14:57:50 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 80 Message: 81

Never mind. I found a reference to the Google groups page, and it is described there in sufficient detail.

73!

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "w1kilofoxtrot" <w1kf@...> wrote:
>
> I wonder if someone could enlighten me, by post or reference, what the consequences, benefits, and disadvantages are of setting a wider, or narrower, bandwidth for receive? I have not been able to collect empirical evidence that it makes much difference, but it must, or they wouldn't be adjustable, right?
>
> The manual suggests changing from the default value, but I can't find an explanation why one would want to do that.
>
> 73,
> Steve
> W1KF
>

82. tx df =rx df

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-26 14:55:11 UTC
From: wb4kjv
Topic: 82 Message: 82

I am confused. Do I uncheck the tx df = rx df box while calling CQ and leave it unchecked and leave checked when anserwing CQ?
Tnx

83. Re: tx df =rx df

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-28 02:59:27 UTC
From: callsign.w0psk
Topic: 82 Message: 83

I leave it checked - occasionally I will un-check (if it already isn't) the "Enable Multi" box and that's just so I can see some others that are transmitting a CQ or other.

73
Don

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "wb4kjv" <wb4kjv@...> wrote:
>
> I am confused. Do I uncheck the tx df = rx df box while calling CQ and leave it unchecked and leave checked when anserwing CQ?
> Tnx
>

84. Re: [JT65HF] Re: tx df =rx df

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-28 12:11:42 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 82 Message: 84

This box allows split operation. I normally use 20Hz rx window. Sometimes, after I call CQ, a station will come back outside the 20Hz window. In that case, I uncheck the TX DF = RX DF box and right click on the other stations frequency. This shifts the receive window (the green bracket) over to where he is transmitting. The red frame stays in the same place, indicating that I'm transmitting on the same frequency.

(A lot of people do this on PSK and JT65, and it's annoying!!!) If I don't uncheck the box, then the QSO will tend to "walk" right up or down the band as we keep shifting to the other's apparent frequency.

The absence of true split operation on PSK and JT65 is a shame because it removes any possibility of a good pile-up.

On Tue, Mar 27, 2012 at 10:59 PM, callsign.w0psk <callsign.w0psk@...>wrote:

> **
>
>
> I leave it checked - occasionally I will un-check (if it already isn't)
> the "Enable Multi" box and that's just so I can see some others that are
> transmitting a CQ or other.
>
> 73
> Don
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "wb4kjv" <wb4kjv@...> wrote:
> >
> > I am confused. Do I uncheck the tx df = rx df box while calling CQ and
> leave it unchecked and leave checked when anserwing CQ?
> > Tnx
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

85. Re: tx df =rx df

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-28 19:43:58 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 82 Message: 85

What do you mean with true split?

Mart

>
> The absence of true split operation on PSK and JT65 is a shame because it
> removes any possibility of a good pile-up.

86. Re: [JT65HF] Re: tx df =rx df

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-28 20:40:57 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 82 Message: 86

Very common with other modes. The DX station transmits on one frequency
and receives another. This way, the many, many stations trying to work him
don't interfere with his transmissions, only each other's transmissions.
:-)

On Wed, Mar 28, 2012 at 3:43 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> What do you mean with true split?
>
> Mart
>
>
> >
> > The absence of true split operation on PSK and JT65 is a shame because it
> > removes any possibility of a good pile-up.
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

87. Re: tx df =rx df

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-29 06:24:31 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 82 Message: 87

Yes i know that :) but you said that jt65 programs lack of true split. Can you explain me again that. I've made several times jt65 split QSOs if other side is answering even several hundreds hz away like on DF if i call cq on -300 for example. I've seen even jt65 pile-up spreaded up from calling frequency. But all this happens inside JT65 software spectrum window and transceiver QRG remains same.

Mart

PS. and i am totally agree that sometimes you must turn off tx=rx to avoid "walking" along spectrum window. I use it always if i am the one who calls CQ.

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> Very common with other modes. The DX station transmits on one frequency
> and receives another. This way, the many, many stations trying to work him
> don't interfere with his transmissions, only each other's transmissions.
> :-)
>
>
>
> On Wed, Mar 28, 2012 at 3:43 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > What do you mean with true split?
> >
> > Mart
> >
> >
> > >
> > > The absence of true split operation on PSK and JT65 is a shame because it
> > > removes any possibility of a good pile-up.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>

88. Re: [JT65HF] Re: tx df =rx df

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-03-29 12:08:34 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 82 Message: 88

Actually JT65-HF can work split, but I've never seen it in use. I hope the practice spreads!

PSK31 or 63 would make a great pile-up mode, but no one uses it that way.

On Thu, Mar 29, 2012 at 2:24 AM, mardikas15 <martrah@gmail.com> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Yes i know that :) but you said that jt65 programs lack of true split. Can
> you explain me again that. I've made several times jt65 split QSOs if other
> side is answering even several hundreds hz away like on DF if i call cq on
> -300 for example. I've seen even jt65 pile-up spreaded up from calling
> frequency. But all this happens inside JT65 software spectrum window and
> transceiver QRG remains same.
>
> Mart
>
> PS. and i am totally agree that sometimes you must turn off tx=rx to avoid
> "walking" along spectrum window. I use it always if i am the one who calls
> CQ.
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > Very common with other modes. The DX station transmits on one frequency
> > and receives another. This way, the many, many stations trying to work
> him
> > don't interfere with his transmissions, only each other's transmissions.
> > :-)
> >
> >
> >
> > On Wed, Mar 28, 2012 at 3:43 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:
> >
> > > **
>
> > >
> > >
> > > What do you mean with true split?
> > >
> > > Mart
> > >
> > >
> > > >

> > > > The absence of true split operation on PSK and JT65 is a shame
> because it
> > > > removes any possibility of a good pile-up.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

89. slanted lines on waterfall

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-02 13:49:19 UTC
From: wb4kjv
Topic: 89 Message: 89

What does this mean? I always know if I'm going to have a sucessful QSO by this if they are straight the QSO will be sucessful if slanted probably not. They can change in an instant sometimes in the time it takes from me answering a CQ and slanting before getting a response. I hope it is just band conditions and not me drifting.
Tnx Butch wb4kjv

90. Reverse Beacon setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 12:39:23 UTC
From: va3rjs
Topic: 90 Message: 90

Hi Everyone

First time here and only started using JT65-hf yesterday.

I am running the latest version I pulled from Sourceforge and it installed and came up just fine and started receiving messages right away.

My question is related to the Reverse Beacon function.....is there something special that needs to be done to get the message exchange working?

I have put my call in the RB & PSKR tab of the setup screen and checked the boxs on the main screen to enable RB and PSKR.

Is there s companion application that is required to support the communications to the Reverse Beacon Servers?

Also I noticed that the Count for RB ir a RED 0, while the PSKR has a black 0.

Thanks
Bob
VA3RJS

91. Re: [JT65HF] Reverse Beacon setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 13:01:52 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 90 Message: 91

Just look at the RB and PSKR tab in setup. Enter your callsign and antenna type. Then click the boxes for RB and PSKR. If you're connected to the internet, the rest should be transparent. I don't know why the colors of the 2 counts are different.

See you on the air!

On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 8:39 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Hi Everyone
>
> First time here and only started using JT65-hf yesterday.
>
> I am running the latest version I pulled from Sourceforge and it installed
> and came up just fine and started receiving messages right away.
>
> My question is related to the Reverse Beacon function.....is there
> something special that needs to be done to get the message exchange working?
>
> I have put my call in the RB & PSKR tab of the setup screen and checked
> the boxs on the main screen to enable RB and PSKR.
>
> Is there s companion application that is required to support the
> communications to the Reverse Beacon Servers?
>
> Also I noticed that the Count for RB ir a RED 0, while the PSKR has a
> black 0.
>
> Thanks
> Bob
> VA3RJS
>
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

92. Re: TX Problem TS 480

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 13:17:51 UTC
From: Kantus
Topic: 61 Message: 92

Hi, sorry for late response:

Yes, it works with VOX.

Regards

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mardikas15" <martrah@...> wrote:
>
>
> Can you activate VOX and configure VOX to use Data input of TS-480?
>
> Mart
>

93. Re: Reverse Beacon setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 13:38:15 UTC
From: va3rjs
Topic: 90 Message: 93

OK Thanks

Done all that and I definitely have Internet connectivity but the counters just don't increment.

Not a big deal though, I will come back to it once I can complete a QSO.

Regards
VA3rjs

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> Just look at the RB and PSKR tab in setup. Enter your callsign and antenna
> type. Then click the boxes for RB and PSKR. If you're connected to the
> internet, the rest should be transparent. I don't know why the colors of
> the 2 counts are different.
>
> See you on the air!
>
>
> On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 8:39 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > Hi Everyone
> >
> > First time here and only started using JT65-hf yesterday.
> >
> > I am running the latest version I pulled from Sourceforge and it installed
> > and came up just fine and started receiving messages right away.
> >
> > My question is related to the Reverse Beacon function.....is there
> > something special that needs to be done to get the message exchange working?
> >
> > I have put my call in the RB & PSKR tab of the setup screen and checked
> > the boxs on the main screen to enable RB and PSKR.
> >
> > Is there s companion application that is required to support the
> > communications to the Reverse Beacon Servers?
> >
> > Also I noticed that the Count for RB ir a RED 0, while the PSKR has a
> > black 0.
> >
> > Thanks
> > Bob

> > VA3RJS
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>

94. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Reverse Beacon setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 13:43:42 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 90 Message: 94

If you're receiving and decoding signals, they should be posted on
http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html and/or pskreporter.info/pskmap.html.

... and if you're transmitting signals, too! (I run QRP and often get
signal reports *only *via the RB and PSKR sites.)

On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 9:38 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> OK Thanks
>
> Done all that and I definitely have Internet connectivity but the counters
> just don't increment.
>
> Not a big deal though, I will come back to it once I can complete a QSO.
>
> Regards
> VA3rjs
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > Just look at the RB and PSKR tab in setup. Enter your callsign and
> antenna
> > type. Then click the boxes for RB and PSKR. If you're connected to the
> > internet, the rest should be transparent. I don't know why the colors of
> > the 2 counts are different.
> >
> > See you on the air!
> >
> >
> > On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 8:39 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:
> >
> > > **
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Everyone
> > >
> > > First time here and only started using JT65-hf yesterday.
> > >
> > > I am running the latest version I pulled from Sourceforge and it
> installed
> > > and came up just fine and started receiving messages right away.

> > >
> > > My question is related to the Reverse Beacon function.....is there
> > > something special that needs to be done to get the message exchange
> working?
> > >
> > > I have put my call in the RB & PSKR tab of the setup screen and checked
> > > the boxs on the main screen to enable RB and PSKR.
> > >
> > > Is there s companion application that is required to support the
> > > communications to the Reverse Beacon Servers?
> > >
> > > Also I noticed that the Count for RB ir a RED 0, while the PSKR has a
> > > black 0.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > > Bob
> > > VA3RJS
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

95. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Reverse Beacon setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 14:11:30 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 90 Message: 95

Just noticed the top bar of the window is showing RD as enabled but not logged in.

Is there a file that needs to have my Username and Password so that JT65 can log into the RD Server?

Bob

From: Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2012 9:43:42 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Reverse Beacon setup

If you're receiving and decoding signals, they should be posted on http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html and/or pskreporter.info/pskmap.html.

... and if you're transmitting signals, too! (I run QRP and often get signal reports only via the RB and PSKR sites.)

On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 9:38 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:

>
>OK Thanks
>
>Done all that and I definitely have Internet connectivity but the counters just don't increment.
>
>Not a big deal though, I will come back to it once I can complete a QSO.
>
>Regards
>VA3rjs
>
>
>--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
>>
>> Just look at the RB and PSKR tab in setup. Enter your callsign and antenna
>> type. Then click the boxes for RB and PSKR. If you're connected to the
>> internet, the rest should be transparent. I don't know why the colors of
>> the 2 counts are different.
>>
>> See you on the air!
>>
>>
>
> On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 8:39 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:
>>
>> > **

>
>> >
>> >
>> > Hi Everyone
>> >
>> > First time here and only started using JT65-hf yesterday.
>> >
>> > I am running the latest version I pulled from Sourceforge and it installed
>> > and came up just fine and started receiving messages right away.
>> >
>> > My question is related to the Reverse Beacon function.....is there
>> > something special that needs to be done to get the message exchange working?
>> >
>> > I have put my call in the RB & PSKR tab of the setup screen and checked
>> > the boxs on the main screen to enable RB and PSKR.
>> >
>> > Is there s companion application that is required to support the
>> > communications to the Reverse Beacon Servers?
>> >
>> > Also I noticed that the Count for RB ir a RED 0, while the PSKR has a
>> > black 0.
>> >
>> > Thanks
>> > Bob
>> > VA3RJS
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>
>> --
>> Al Gerheim
>> Adopt a Homeless Pet
>> http://www.petfinder.com
>>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

96. Re: Reverse Beacon setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 14:37:39 UTC
From: va3rjs
Topic: 90 Message: 96

Mystery Solved

Looks like you need to have the Dial QRG box filled in to post records to the reverse beacon sites.

Thanks.....catch you on the air!
Bob
va3rjs

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "va3rjs" <va3rjs@...> wrote:
>
> OK Thanks
>
> Done all that and I definitely have Internet connectivity but the counters just don't increment.
>
> Not a big deal though, I will come back to it once I can complete a QSO.
>
> Regards
> VA3rjs
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@> wrote:
> >
> > Just look at the RB and PSKR tab in setup. Enter your callsign and antenna
> > type. Then click the boxes for RB and PSKR. If you're connected to the
> > internet, the rest should be transparent. I don't know why the colors of
> > the 2 counts are different.
> >
> > See you on the air!
> >
> >
> > On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 8:39 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@> wrote:
> >
> > > **
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Everyone
> > >
> > > First time here and only started using JT65-hf yesterday.
> > >
> > > I am running the latest version I pulled from Sourceforge and it installed
> > > and came up just fine and started receiving messages right away.
> > >
> > > My question is related to the Reverse Beacon function.....is there
> > > something special that needs to be done to get the message exchange working?

> > >
> > > I have put my call in the RB & PSKR tab of the setup screen and checked
> > > the boxs on the main screen to enable RB and PSKR.
> > >
> > > Is there s companion application that is required to support the
> > > communications to the Reverse Beacon Servers?
> > >
> > > Also I noticed that the Count for RB ir a RED 0, while the PSKR has a
> > > black 0.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > > Bob
> > > VA3RJS
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>

97. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Reverse Beacon setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-19 20:01:35 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 90 Message: 97

I think you have to go to the rd page and register for an account. IIRC,
it'll see your callsign on the incoming reports and push them thru.

On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 10:11 AM, Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Just noticed the top bar of the window is showing RD as enabled but not
> logged in.
>
> Is there a file that needs to have my Username and Password so that JT65
> can log into the RD Server?
>
> Bob
>
> ---
> *From:* Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...>
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, April 19, 2012 9:43:42 AM
> *Subject:* Re: [JT65HF] Re: Reverse Beacon setup
>
>
>
> If you're receiving and decoding signals, they should be posted on
> http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html and/or pskreporter.info/pskmap.html.
>
>
> ... and if you're transmitting signals, too! (I run QRP and often get
> signal reports *only *via the RB and PSKR sites.)
>
>
>
> On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 9:38 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:
>
> **
>
> OK Thanks
>
> Done all that and I definitely have Internet connectivity but the counters
> just don't increment.
>
> Not a big deal though, I will come back to it once I can complete a QSO.
>
> Regards

> VA3rjs
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > Just look at the RB and PSKR tab in setup. Enter your callsign and
> antenna
> > type. Then click the boxes for RB and PSKR. If you're connected to the
> > internet, the rest should be transparent. I don't know why the colors of
> > the 2 counts are different.
> >
> > See you on the air!
> >
> >
> > On Thu, Apr 19, 2012 at 8:39 AM, va3rjs <va3rjs@...> wrote:
> >
> > > **
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Everyone
> > >
> > > First time here and only started using JT65-hf yesterday.
> > >
> > > I am running the latest version I pulled from Sourceforge and it
> installed
> > > and came up just fine and started receiving messages right away.
> > >
> > > My question is related to the Reverse Beacon function.....is there
> > > something special that needs to be done to get the message exchange
> working?
> > >
> > > I have put my call in the RB & PSKR tab of the setup screen and checked
> > > the boxs on the main screen to enable RB and PSKR.
> > >
> > > Is there s companion application that is required to support the
> > > communications to the Reverse Beacon Servers?
> > >
> > > Also I noticed that the Count for RB ir a RED 0, while the PSKR has a
> > > black 0.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > > Bob
> > > VA3RJS
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>
>
>
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

98. JT65Hf and PSK31 Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-24 23:26:07 UTC
From: John Netro-N9WVM
Topic: 98 Message: 98

I was wondering if some one could help me I was wanting to work some PSK 31 on 30 meter but for some reason my DM780 progarm won't decode psk31 on 30 meter, it will decode PSK63 and PSK125

and also the JT65 program won't decode on 30 meter and 15 meters

my rig is a TEN-TEC Omni VI and using a Rigblaster Nomic interface

Thanks
N9WVM, John

99. No PTT with JT65HF and US Navigator Interface to TS950SDX ?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-04-30 03:47:16 UTC
From: Cliff
Topic: 99 Message: 99

Hi,

I have No PTT with JT65HF and US Navigator Interface to TS950SDX ?

Works with Fldigi and others.

I am hoping someone has a solution, currently using VOX but prefer PTT,

Regards
Cliff VK2CCJ

100. Calling a STATION

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-01 03:01:57 UTC
From: n7lmv
Topic: 100 Message: 100

I'm not sure but I was wondering if I see a station that just finished
a QSO with someone, is it possable to call that station without waiting for that STATION to call CQ??? The reason I ask this is becase I never see the station call CQ but I see the station in other QSO's. I see both stations so I would think that it would be poss. Also I have had stations call me after I have been in a QSO. How is this done thanks Jerry.

101. Re: [JT65HF] Calling a STATION

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-01 03:31:01 UTC
From: David Westbrook
Topic: 100 Message: 101

Definitely!! And that's a great way to snag needed states or whatever. Immediately after their "73" message, you can TX to them (the standard "answer cq" HISCALL MYCALL MYGRID) ... BUT .....

1) Need to know if it is "their" offset (DF) or not ... If not, pick a different spot on the waterfall, and hopefully they are multi-decoding (which is common)

2) They might not have the standard "73", but "TU 5W DPL" or whatever w/o their callsign

===> The key here for both is watching what's happened on that offset (DF) already ... When the QSO is following the standard:
CQ CALLA GRIDA
CALLA CALLB GRIDB
CALLB CALLA -10
CALLA CALLB R-15
CALLB CALLA RRR
CALLA CALLB 73
CALLB CALLA 73
_____ [here's where a "CALLA CALLC GRIDC" can jump in"]
CQ CALLA GRIDA [or "CALLC CALLA -17" to start next QSO]

It easy to tell who's freq it is and when you can call your target ...

Now, to actually call the guy .... in JT65-HF, you need to put his call in the "TX Callsign" box ... can either type this, or dbl-click on a previous decode in the list. but note this changes offset, too; so if calling him elsewhere, be careful ... also sometime dbl-clicking can enable TX (depends what kind of msg you dbl-click on), so have to be careful there too.

--david
KJ4IZW

On Mon, Apr 30, 2012 at 11:01 PM, n7lmv <n7lmv@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I'm not sure but I was wondering if I see a station that just finished
> a QSO with someone, is it possable to call that station without waiting
> for that STATION to call CQ??? The reason I ask this is becase I never see
> the station call CQ but I see the station in other QSO's. I see both
> stations so I would think that it would be poss. Also I have had stations
> call me after I have been in a QSO. How is this done thanks Jerry.
>
>

>

102. Re: [JT65HF] Calling a STATION

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-01 11:35:51 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 100 Message: 102

Generally, if they say "73", or something that sounds like a sign-off, then I chime in. It very seldom works, however. Sometimes, the other station is transmitting his sign-off right on top of my "answer CQ".

Also, I'm running 1 watt, which means the potential for harmful interference is reduced (just don't let the ARRL or FCC hear me say that!).

(At 1 Watt, my signal can cover the distance, but it's almost always at the bottom of the stack, if there's a stack.)

On Mon, Apr 30, 2012 at 11:01 PM, n7lmv <n7lmv@hotmail.com> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I'm not sure but I was wondering if I see a station that just finished
> a QSO with someone, is it possable to call that station without waiting
> for that STATION to call CQ??? The reason I ask this is becase I never see
> the station call CQ but I see the station in other QSO's. I see both
> stations so I would think that it would be poss. Also I have had stations
> call me after I have been in a QSO. How is this done thanks Jerry.
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

103. Re: [JT65HF] Calling a STATION

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-01 11:58:53 UTC
From: David Westbrook
Topic: 100 Message: 103

That's the case where it's not their "frequency" (DF/offset), and you double with the other "73" ... In that case you just want to call on a different offset -- which will often work.

--david
KJ4IZW

On Tue, May 1, 2012 at 7:35 AM, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Generally, if they say "73", or something that sounds like a sign-off,
> then I chime in. It very seldom works, however. Sometimes, the other
> station is transmitting his sign-off right on top of my "answer CQ".
>
> Also, I'm running 1 watt, which means the potential for harmful
> interference is reduced (just don't let the ARRL or FCC hear me say that!).
>
>
> (At 1 Watt, my signal can cover the distance, but it's almost always at
> the bottom of the stack, if there's a stack.)
>
>
>
> On Mon, Apr 30, 2012 at 11:01 PM, n7lmv <n7lmv@...> wrote:
>
>> **
>>
>>
>> I'm not sure but I was wondering if I see a station that just finished
>> a QSO with someone, is it possable to call that station without waiting
>> for that STATION to call CQ??? The reason I ask this is becase I never see
>> the station call CQ but I see the station in other QSO's. I see both
>> stations so I would think that it would be poss. Also I have had stations
>> call me after I have been in a QSO. How is this done thanks Jerry.
>>
>>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>
>

>

104. Re: No PTT with JT65HF and US Navigator Interface to TS950SDX ? SOLVED

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-02 10:08:56 UTC
From: Cliff
Topic: 99 Message: 104

I reinstalled the navigator drivers.

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Cliff" <vk2ccj@...> wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> I have No PTT with JT65HF and US Navigator Interface to TS950SDX ?
>
> Works with Fldigi and others.
>
> I am hoping someone has a solution, currently using VOX but prefer PTT,
>
> Regards
> Cliff VK2CCJ
>

105. PA Error: Invalid # of channels . Could not setup for stereo input

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-18 15:39:54 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 105 Message: 105

I've posted several times to get a sensible solution to this problem. When I try to run JT65-HF I get "PA Error: Invalid # of channels. Could not setup for stereo input."

My setup:
1. RigBlster Plug & Play.
2. Windows Vista
3. Skype Headset USB sound card audio adapter.

Questions;
1. I thought that Skype headset would generate a mono input for JT65-HF to run. Why won't it? I can get Digipan, DM780 and WSJT to run.
2. Will SL-USB with the soundcard resolve the error?

All opinions will be considered.

106. Still working on my problem...

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-25 22:38:06 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 106 Message: 106

1. Does JT65-HF require an interface with a soundcard like Signal Link USB with soundcard or other similar products? Once upon a time when I had Windows 95 and XP I had no problem using JT65-HF v 1.05 with RigBlaster Plug&Play. Now I have windows Vista and I cannot run JT65-HF. I get 2 errors : invalid number of channels and invalid stream pointer. To me, these errors have something related to stereo outputs. Am I correct?

2. All the soundcard adapters I see on E-Bay and other sites have USB soundcards that kick out stereo audio. Is there any such device that I can plug into a USB port that can kick out mono sound and mono Mic input? Obviously, the RigBlaster plugs into the sound card adapter.

107. Re: Still working on my problem...

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-26 09:37:33 UTC
From: Lee (WS4BS)
Topic: 106 Message: 107

SignaLink is mono in and out.

108. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Still working on my problem...

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-26 20:29:43 UTC
From: fazamy@aol.com
Topic: 106 Message: 108

Tnx. I've got to buy one. Orignally a few years ago I planned to buy SL, but the product was on six weeks back order, I didn't feel like waiting, so I bought RigBlaster instead. RigBlaster worked on my XP computer, but that bit the dust
.

73,
Evan

-----Original Message-----
From: Lee (WS4BS) <ws4bs@...>
To: JT65HF <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sat, May 26, 2012 5:37 am
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Still working on my problem...

SignaLink is mono in and out.

109. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Still working on my problem...

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-05-27 15:03:11 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 106 Message: 109

I have a windows 7 laptop that I use for JT65-HF and I just use my cheep and dirty home brew interface.....a couple of audio transformers to isolate the mic and headphones jack from my radio data port, and an opto-coupler to isolate the RS-232 data lead which is used to drive the PTT.

I use a USB to RS-232 converter since the laptop does not have a native RS-232 port anymore (new laptop).

If anyone is interested I can see if I still have a schematic laying around.....but it is a pretty simple circuit.....probably less than $20 dollars worth of parts, and a little time with a soldering iron:)

VA3RJS

From: "fazamy@..." <FAZAMY@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, May 26, 2012 4:29:43 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Still working on my problem...

Tnx. I've got to buy one. Orignally a few years ago I planned to buy SL, but the product was on six weeks back order, I didn't feel like waiting, so I bought RigBlaster instead. RigBlaster worked on my XP computer, but that bit the dust
.

73,
Evan

-----Original Message-----
From: Lee (WS4BS) <ws4bs@...>
To: JT65HF <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sat, May 26, 2012 5:37 am
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Still working on my problem...

SignaLink is mono in and out.

110. looking For SD

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-03 16:47:30 UTC
From: wb5aaa
Topic: 110 Message: 110

I am looking for SD station
12m JT65 and uses LOTW

111. RE: [JT65HF] looking For SD

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-03 17:58:00 UTC
From: jim
Topic: 110 Message: 111

I got it

thank you K0JV

from the shack of
WB5AAA
73 de JIM

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb5aaa
Sent: Sunday, June 03, 2012 11:48 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] looking For SD

I am looking for SD station
12m JT65 and uses LOTW

112. WAS JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-04 01:51:29 UTC
From: vk1dw
Topic: 112 Message: 112

I am looking to work WY, TN, CT, NJ, ND, ME AND MI and confirm via LOTW. Usually on 14.076 from 0600 - 0700Z and 123- - 1300Z.

Dean
VK1DW

113. Re: [JT65HF] WAS JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-04 02:13:53 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 112 Message: 113

Try logging in to the LOTW page at http://www.obriensweb.com/sked . Many JT65A users there.

Andy K3UK

On Sun, Jun 3, 2012 at 9:51 PM, vk1dw <dgwalkington@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I am looking to work WY, TN, CT, NJ, ND, ME AND MI and confirm via LOTW.
> Usually on 14.076 from 0600 - 0700Z and 123- - 1300Z.
>
> Dean
> VK1DW
>
>
>

114. JT65 and Icom 7200.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-04 23:30:18 UTC
From: sc1911cwp
Topic: 114 Message: 114

I need some help with JT65 and the Icom 7200. I see that I have power out on SSB and CW, however I see nothing with JT65. I have made some contacts but I think I should be making more. E-mail nr4k@.... TNX

115. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 and Icom 7200.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-05 01:04:34 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 114 Message: 115

have you tried looking at the reverse beacons to see how well you are getting out?

Bob

_____
From: sc1911cwp <sc1911cwp@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, June 4, 2012 7:30:18 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 and Icom 7200.

I need some help with JT65 and the Icom 7200. I see that I have power out on SSB and CW, however I see nothing with JT65. I have made some contacts but I think I should be making more. E-mail nr4k@.... TNX

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

116. No PTT with Signal LInk USB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-03 21:53:23 UTC
From: Philip
Topic: 116 Message: 116

My setup is a signal link USB via the mic connection on my Yaesu 757GX II.
No problem with PTT when using Digipan, however with JT65 the signal link will not PTT.
I've setup the computer to use usb audio codec ap per instructions, set the tx & rx levels as per instructions.
As for the com port setup, I've left that blank as I am using a usb port. Yes I have experimented with entering a com port # but that didn't yield any results.
An additional question. The audio level bar graph on jt65, should I be seeing both the left & right channels registering levels? I am only seeing the left channel registering levels.
I'm not having any decode problems.
Thanks for any help anyone can give me.
73 de KB2DXC

117. RE: [JT65HF] WAS JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-06 12:47:50 UTC
From: Deane Walkington
Topic: 112 Message: 117

Thanks, Andy, will keep watching it.

Dean
VK1DW

118. Re: JT65 and Icom 7200.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-07 23:24:10 UTC
From: sc1911cwp
Topic: 114 Message: 118

No, how do I go about that? TNX

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@...> wrote:
>
> have you tried looking at the reverse beacons to see how well you are getting out?
>
> Bob
>
>
>
> _____
> From: sc1911cwp <sc1911cwp@...>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, June 4, 2012 7:30:18 PM
> Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 and Icom 7200.
>
> I need some help with JT65 and the Icom 7200. I see that I have power out on SSB and CW, however I see nothing with JT65. I have made some contacts but I think I should be making more. E-mail nr4k@... TNX
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>   http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

119. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 and Icom 7200.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-08 21:49:43 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 114 Message: 119

Reverse Beacon reports may be seen at;
http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php
and
http://jt65.w6cqz.org
and
http://hamspots.net/jt65/

http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html

_____
From: sc1911cwp <sc1911cwp@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2012 7:24:10 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 and Icom 7200.

No, how do I go about that? TNX

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@...> wrote:
>
> have you tried looking at the reverse beacons to see how well you are getting out?
>
> Bob
>
>
>
> _____
> From: sc1911cwp <sc1911cwp@...>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, June 4, 2012 7:30:18 PM
> Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 and Icom 7200.
>
> I need some help with JT65 and the Icom 7200. I see that I have power out on SSB and CW, however I see nothing with JT65. I have made some contacts but I think I should be making more. E-mail nr4k@... TNX
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>   http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

120. Re: JT65 and Icom 7200.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-09 15:51:52 UTC
From: mike.k0ter
Topic: 114 Message: 120

> > From: sc1911cwp <sc1911cwp@>
> > To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Monday, June 4, 2012 7:30:18 PM
> > Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 and Icom 7200.
> >
> > I need some help with JT65 and the Icom 7200. I see that I have power out on SSB and CW, however I see nothing with JT65. I have made some contacts but I think I should be making more. E-mail nr4k@ TNX

I've been using the IC-7200 on JT65-HF since about November last
year. I've had no problems.

Feel free to email me at k0ter@... and I'll be glad
to discuss your issues.

73, Mike, K0TER

121. What is this phenomenom called?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-10 23:07:40 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 121 Message: 121

I use WSJT 9 for JT65-HF. Sunday at 2236 WA6ALA in CM97 sent his 73 to complete our contact on 15M. Seeing/hearing nothing I go to 20M and set the cursor on a stream. At 2238, I "receive" a call from N6DHN from CM98 although I made no call. This is the 3rd time such an occurrence has happened. I don't smoke those funny cigarettes and I rarely imbibe alcohol. I was absolutely lucid. What is this phenomenom called? Why did this happen?

Evan
KB2AMY

122. Re: [JT65HF] What is this phenomenom called?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-11 00:12:41 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 121 Message: 122

Yes, it is fairly common with WSJT, first time it happened to my, rare Asian DX was calling me ! Usually you can tell it is a "false decode" because the gird square usually computes to nonsense.

Andy K3UK

On Sun, Jun 10, 2012 at 7:07 PM, mefazamy <FAZAMY@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I use WSJT 9 for JT65-HF. Sunday at 2236 WA6ALA in CM97 sent his 73 to
> complete our contact on 15M. Seeing/hearing nothing I go to 20M and set the
> cursor on a stream. At 2238, I "receive" a call from N6DHN from CM98
> although I made no call. This is the 3rd time such an
> occurrence has happened. I don't smoke those funny cigarettes and I rarely
> imbibe alcohol. I was absolutely lucid. What is this phenomenom called? Why
> did this happen?
>
> Evan
> KB2AMY
>
>
>

123. Re: [JT65HF] What is this phenomenom called?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-11 01:53:10 UTC
From: Ron
Topic: 121 Message: 123

I have seen this when I was operating on 40M and watching PSK Reporter I would see myself spotted on 20M or 15M and even see someone calling me there as well. Still seems strange to me.

Ron
W3PV

124. Re: What is this phenomenom called?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-13 10:36:44 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 121 Message: 124

Not sure if it is the same issue, but I will sometimes try calling a wanted state or DX if I've seen them on pskreporter. I usually try to pick someone who has a recent transmission reported, but they may not have calling CQ. If they are not there, I can look and see if my signal is reported at their QTH, or in the geographic area.

73,
Steve
W1KF

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mefazamy" <FAZAMY@...> wrote:
>
> I use WSJT 9 for JT65-HF. Sunday at 2236 WA6ALA in CM97 sent his 73 to complete our contact on 15M. Seeing/hearing nothing I go to 20M and set the cursor on a stream. At 2238, I "receive" a call from N6DHN from CM98 although I made no call. This is the 3rd time such an
> occurrence has happened. I don't smoke those funny cigarettes and I rarely imbibe alcohol. I was absolutely lucid. What is this phenomenom called? Why did this happen?
>
> Evan
> KB2AMY
>

125. JT65 and IC7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-14 10:36:55 UTC
From: wb4kjv
Topic: 125 Message: 125

Hello I have tried everything I can find to try to get jt65 to transmit on my 7200 to no avail. I'm using a direct usb connection to my radio. Silicon software is downloaded, mod is in u nothing works no transmit. Digipan will not transmit either. I did get WSPR transmitting by changing some parameters in the radio. Any help would be greatly appreciated in getting jt65 transmitting.

Tnx- Butch

126. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 and IC7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-14 14:52:26 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 125 Message: 126

I don't know that radio at all but I did google it and had a look at what ports are available.

Have you tried using the ACC port, specifically the pins associated with Packet?

I have an Ft-897 and I use the low speed packet (1200 baud) interface for all my HF digital modes, through a cheap and dirt homebrew interface.....actually more isolation between the PC and Radio than anything else. I use the raw RS232 control via a USB to serial converted, because I have a mixed mess of old and new PC HW that I use :)

If you are interested contact me off group and I will see if I can find my schematic.
va3rjs@...

Bob
VA3RJS

From: wb4kjv <wb4kjv@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2012 6:36:55 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 and IC7200

Hello I have tried everything I can find to try to get jt65 to transmit
on my 7200 to no avail. I'm using a direct usb connection to my radio.
Silicon software is downloaded, mod is in u nothing works no transmit.
Digipan will not transmit either. I did get WSPR transmitting by
changing some parameters in the radio. Any help would be greatly
appreciated in getting jt65 transmitting.

Tnx- Butch

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

127. Re: JT65 and IC7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-06-16 11:27:19 UTC
From: sc1911cwp
Topic: 125 Message: 127

Make sure you have the USB software properly installed. Reset your 7200 ,unless you don't want to, to start fresh. D-MOD and MOD turned to "U". DATA-On. PWR-10 watts, into a properly tuned ant. I use JT65 with HRD. The HRD actually keys the radio. Try it. I had no luck with anything else. I am not a computer geek. That's the best I can offer and it works here. Funny, I thought it wasn't working until someone told me to check the return path boards and I saw my call. BTW, with JT65 I do not see pwr out or ALC light up the meter, but I am making contacts. So is anyone can offer some more suggestions, send them. Bruce NR4K.

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "wb4kjv" <wb4kjv@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hello I have tried everything I can find to try to get jt65 to transmit
> on my 7200 to no avail. I'm using a direct usb connection to my radio.
> Silicon software is downloaded, mod is in u nothing works no transmit.
> Digipan will not transmit either. I did get WSPR transmitting by
> changing some parameters in the radio. Any help would be greatly
> appreciated in getting jt65 transmitting.
>
> Tnx- Butch
>

128. No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-08 18:56:37 UTC
From: wa9m6m
Topic: 128 Message: 128

Hello

I am using Ft950,SignaLink,JT65HF ver 1.0.7 ,Just started this weekend,I am getting no decodes.I am TXing and RXing,I think there are stations coming back to me,but no decode.
I have played with audio settings in interface,reset menu's 50 and 51 to levels other than default.I have tried usb mode and PKT-USB mode,no decode.Downloaded app for pc clock calibration to wwv.
My pc is XP 550mhz Celeron 256 meg ram,maybe it is too old for all the computations ?????

73 Bob WA9M

129. Re: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-08 22:04:42 UTC
From: fazamy@aol.com
Topic: 128 Message: 129

Bob,

I use WSJT software for JT65HF. I used to use JT65HF software when I had a monaural (not a stereo) soundcard on my computer. Each day I use that mode I set my clock using WWV or www.time.gov/.

Do you see the sigs on the screen or is it blank? If the screen is blank, your soundcard may not be working correctly.
Right now, I can't think of anything else. If I do, I'll email you.

Evan
KB2AMY

-----Original Message-----
From: wa9m6m <wa9m@...>
To: JT65HF <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wed, Aug 8, 2012 2:56 pm
Subject: [JT65HF] No Decode

Hello

I am using Ft950,SignaLink,JT65HF ver 1.0.7 ,Just started this weekend,I am getting no decodes.I am TXing and RXing,I think there are stations coming back to me,but no decode.
I have played with audio settings in interface,reset menu's 50 and 51 to levels other than default.I have tried usb mode and PKT-USB mode,no decode.Downloaded app for pc clock calibration to wwv.
My pc is XP 550mhz Celeron 256 meg ram,maybe it is too old for all the computations ?????

73 Bob WA9M

Yahoo! Groups Links

130. RE: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-09 12:43:32 UTC
From: Mike Gregory
Topic: 128 Message: 130

Hi Bob; Look at installing Dimension 4 V5.0. It works really well and puts icon on computer that shows the sync. I have had no problems since. The icon should be in the bottom too bar by your sound card icon. If you need to sync computer time just cllick on it unti it gets as close as it can. Hope this helps.
73 Mike K0MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: wa9m@...t
Date: Wed, 8 Aug 2012 18:56:37 +0000
Subject: [JT65HF] No Decode

Hello

I am using Ft950,SignaLink,JT65HF ver 1.0.7 ,Just started this weekend,I am getting no decodes.I am TXing and RXing,I think there are stations coming back to me,but no decode.
I have played with audio settings in interface,reset menu's 50 and 51 to levels other than default.I have tried usb mode and PKT-USB mode,no decode.Downloaded app for pc clock calibration to wwv.
My pc is XP 550mhz Celeron 256 meg ram,maybe it is too old for all the computations ?????

73 Bob WA9M

131. No Decode Solved

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-09 19:57:54 UTC
From: wa9m6m
Topic: 131 Message: 131

Problem solved! My pc was too old and slow.I tried a laptop w/XP 1g ram and 1.6ghz.Started decoding as soon as i ran program.I knew it might be memory and cpu speed because the DECODE AGAIN button was greyed out like it was inactive.Now I see it decoding after signal stops.

TNX to all

WA9M BOB cu on the bands!

132. New User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-10 21:10:27 UTC
From: k7qq
Topic: 132 Message: 132

I just got on JT65 and am a bit confused as to how to send the short 13 letter message.

I have the instruction .pdf but don't see it mentioned as to how to send a short msg.

Of course I'm OLD ??

Rex K7QQ

133. RE: [JT65HF] New User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-10 21:24:22 UTC
From: jeryl steinhardt
Topic: 132 Message: 133

Hi Rex

Welcome to JT65

Normally you would use the 13 letter message to say 73's or to give a thank you or to give a bit of info about your station
for example TU JERRY 73

10W VERT 73 TU 73 FRM MX.. Keep in mind the spaces count as part of the 13 letters. Make sure you type the info into the box and then put the dot to the left of the TX Text (13 Characters) Make sure the Enable TX is not high lighted or your message will not be sent.

So here is how it would work
Respond to the Call or CQ
Send report
Send RRR

and to send the 13 Characters put a dot in the TX Text (13 Characters)

and you should be done with your QSO!

Have FUN!! de Jerry N7LMV

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: k7qq@...
Date: Fri, 10 Aug 2012 21:10:27 +0000
Subject: [JT65HF] New User

I just got on JT65 and am a bit confused as to how to send the short 13 letter message.

I have the instruction .pdf but don't see it mentioned as to how to send a short msg.

Of course I'm OLD ??

Rex K7QQ

134. Re: New User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-11 01:44:59 UTC
From: Quack
Topic: 132 Message: 134

OK Jerry
Well I just got thru using JT65 for a few contacts and thought the little spot was necessary , I just put in OP REX WA along with the 73 message but wasn't sure it went out. I'm use to being able to see what i've sent and a bit faster turn around time ha ha.

I do mostly contest , CW and RTTY. I use to do SSB but too many clowns on 20 M ha ha

Tnx agn for the info

Rex K7QQ AKA: Quack

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, jeryl steinhardt <n7lmv@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hi Rex
>
> Welcome to JT65
>
> Normally you would use the 13 letter message to say 73's or to give a thank you or to give a bit of info about your station
> for example TU JERRY 73
>
> 10W VERT 73 TU 73 FRM MX.. Keep in mind the spaces count as part of the 13 letters. Make sure you type the info into the box and then put the dot to the left of the TX Text (13 Characters) Make sure the Enable TX is not high lighted or your message will not be sent.
>
> So here is how it would work
> Respond to the Call or CQ
> Send report
> Send RRR
>
> and to send the 13 Characters put a dot in the TX Text (13 Characters)
>
> and you should be done with your QSO!
>
> Have FUN!! de Jerry N7LMV
>
>
>
>
>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> From: k7qq@...
> Date: Fri, 10 Aug 2012 21:10:27 +0000
> Subject: [JT65HF] New User
>

>
>
>
>
> I just got on JT65 and am a bit confused as to how to send the short 13 letter message.
>
> I have the instruction .pdf but don't see it mentioned as to how to send a short msg.
>
> Of course I'm OLD ??
>
> Rex K7QQ
>

135. Pink semi circle on pskreporter.info

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-11 04:48:56 UTC
From: Sander Pool
Topic: 135 Message: 135

Hi,

I just started working with JT65-HF and I'm very impressed with its performance on my modest rig and antenna. I noticed a pink semi circle in the pskreporter.info reception map for my station. I've posted two different ones here:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/JT65HF/photos/album/1506204355/pic/list>

I looked through the legend and other text on the site but can't find what this pink semi circle means. I'm probably not using the right search terms.

Can anyone explain or point me to the page I need to (re)read? I realize there is a discussion for for the site on HRD but my activation hasn't gone through yet.

Thanks and 73,

Sander W1SOP

136. Re: [JT65HF] New User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-12 01:22:26 UTC
From: n5ztw@texasdata.net
Topic: 132 Message: 136

type message in the box and check the circle "TX Text (13 Characters)

On Friday 10/08/2012 at 4:10 pm, k7qq wrote:
>
>
>
>
> I just got on JT65 and am a bit confused as to how to send the short
> 13 letter message.
>
> I have the instruction .pdf but don't see it mentioned as to how to
> send a short msg.
>
> Of course I'm OLD ??
>
> Rex K7QQ
>
>
>
>

137. Re: [JT65HF] New User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-12 02:18:37 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 132 Message: 137

ok JUst type any msg that will constitue 13 letters and spaces and IF you include 73 put it last ??

I'm new as you just made my firsst Q yesterday

GL
Rex

----- Original Message -----
From: n5ztw@texasdata.net
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 12, 2012 1:22 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] New User

type message in the box and check the circle "TX Text (13 Characters)

On Friday 10/08/2012 at 4:10 pm, k7qq wrote:

I just got on JT65 and am a bit confused as to how to send the short 13 letter message.

I have the instruction .pdf but don't see it mentioned as to how to send a short msg.

Of course I'm OLD ??

Rex K7QQ

_____
Woman is 53 But Looks 25
Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/5027127e9fa8b127d18c4st02vuc

138. Re: [JT65HF] New User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-12 02:19:29 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 132 Message: 138

Therre is a small box just above to the left of Message box to check before you start your transmision

Rex

----- Original Message -----
From: n5ztw@...
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 12, 2012 1:22 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] New User

type message in the box and check the circle "TX Text (13 Characters)

On Friday 10/08/2012 at 4:10 pm, k7qq wrote:

I just got on JT65 and am a bit confused as to how to send the short 13 letter message.

I have the instruction .pdf but don't see it mentioned as to how to send a short msg.

Of course I'm OLD ??

Rex K7QQ

_____
Mom Lazy Way to Look Young
Doctor Reveals Way to Look Significantly Younger in 17 Seconds
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/502712b34809112b224bcst04vuc

139. Didn't Know

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-13 01:26:47 UTC
From: Quack
Topic: 139 Message: 139

This afternoon I was calling CQ and had UA0LFV come back to my CQ, he was about 100Hz low in freq I clicked on him and my TX freq moved down ,then I told him. So the next transmission was on Freq.

I sure was surprised that we made a good contact ?

Rex K7QQ

140. Re: [JT65HF] Re: New User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-17 10:54:59 UTC
From: Acarsd (nowo)
Topic: 132 Message: 140

Hi Rex (AKA: Quack)

I found a few of your first contacts in my JT65-HF log.

```
---------- 2012-08-10A JT65HF-LOG.CSV
"2012-08-10","02:01","14076","5","-19","-0.2","-46","K","R3DDL K7QQ CN87","65A"
"2012-08-10","02:05","14076","1","-20","-0.2","-46","K","R3DDL K7QQ 73","65A"
"2012-08-10","02:13","14076","3","-19","-0.4","-242","K","KL7YK K7QQ R-06","65A"
"2012-08-10","02:27","14076","2","-22","-0.4","57","K","EK1KE K7QQ R-12","65A"
"2012-08-10","02:29","14076","4","-21","-0.5","57","K","EK1KE K7QQ 73","65A"
```

Also sent you an SWL report for your QSo with R3DDL via eQSL.

Have fun with this mode.

73, Dick (PA2015)

----- Original Message -----
From: Quack
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, August 11, 2012 3:44 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: New User

OK Jerry
Well I just got thru using JT65 for a few contacts and thought the little spot was necessary , I just put in OP REX WA along with the 73 message but wasn't sure it went out. I'm use to being able to see what i've sent and a bit faster turn around time ha ha.

I do mostly contest , CW and RTTY. I use to do SSB but too many clowns on 20 M ha ha

Tnx agn for the info

Rex K7QQ AKA: Quack

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, jeryl steinhardt <n7lmv@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hi Rex
>
> Welcome to JT65
>
> Normally you would use the 13 letter message to say 73's or to give a thank you or to give a bit of info about your station
> for example TU JERRY 73
>
> 10W VERT 73 TU 73 FRM MX.. Keep in mind the spaces count as part of the 13 letters. Make sure you type the info into the box and then put the dot to the left of the TX Text (13 Characters) Make sure the Enable TX is not high lighted or your message will not be sent.

>
> So here is how it would work
> Respond to the Call or CQ
> Send report
> Send RRR
>
> and to send the 13 Characters put a dot in the TX Text (13 Characters)
>
> and you should be done with your QSO!
>
> Have FUN!! de Jerry N7LMV
>
>
>
>
>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> From: k7qq@...
> Date: Fri, 10 Aug 2012 21:10:27 +0000
> Subject: [JT65HF] New User
>
>
>
>
>
> I just got on JT65 and am a bit confused as to how to send the short 13 letter message.
>
> I have the instruction .pdf but don't see it mentioned as to how to send a short msg.
>
> Of course I'm OLD ??
>
> Rex K7QQ
>

141. LOG TIME

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-21 14:46:18 UTC
From: wa9m6m
Topic: 141 Message: 141

GM OM

I noticed last night for the first time that the log function logs in 24 hr time but not ZULU.My EQF logging program logs in zulu time,i have been transferring qso's from jt65 log to eqf manually.Will the time difference make my lotw qso's incorrect or does it overlook that as far as confirmation goes?

73 Bob WA9M

142. need help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-29 01:59:21 UTC
From: John K5JRW
Topic: 142 Message: 142

Hi to all

I am new to the group name is John K5JRW.
I have been on jt65hf for about 3 months,but over the last 2 days
it has stopped decoding. I have good strong signals on the waterfall.
It will go from receive to idle, for about a second then to decode and right back to idle.
The audio level is about 0 with no signal, and about 2-5 audio level with signals.My system has a cpu 2.1 ghz, 2 g of ram.
I tried to delete it and do a clean install, but it leaves old information. I need to find where it is leaving information in the registry. I need any help to resolve this problem.
Tnx in advance 73 John K5JRW

143. Re: [JT65HF] need help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-29 02:17:22 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 142 Message: 143

John

Just a thought , Make a new directory in C: C:\JT65 and try putting the new install there ??

No expert with this stuff.

Rex K7QQ

----- Original Message -----
From: John K5JRW
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 1:59 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] need help

Hi to all

I am new to the group name is John K5JRW.
I have been on jt65hf for about 3 months,but over the last 2 days
it has stopped decoding. I have good strong signals on the waterfall.
It will go from receive to idle, for about a second then to decode and right back to idle.
The audio level is about 0 with no signal, and about 2-5 audio level with signals.My system has a cpu 2.1 ghz, 2 g of ram.
I tried to delete it and do a clean install, but it leaves old information. I need to find where it is leaving information in the registry. I need any help to resolve this problem.
Tnx in advance 73 John K5JRW

_____
The Visa® Black Card™
Concierge and Unlimited Airport VIP Lounge Visits. Apply Now!
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/503d7baf924fa7bae28edst03vuc

144. Re: [JT65HF] need help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-29 02:53:14 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 142 Message: 144

Do you have a good time reference on your PC, as the documentation recommends?

Bob

_____
From: John K5JRW <jrrebel73@yahoo.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 9:59:21 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] need help

Hi to all

I am new to the group name is John K5JRW.
I have been on jt65hf for about 3 months,but over the last 2 days
it has stopped decoding. I have good strong signals on the waterfall.
It will go from receive to idle, for about a second then to decode and right back to idle.
The audio level is about 0 with no signal, and about 2-5 audio level with signals.My system has a cpu 2.1 ghz, 2 g of ram.
I tried to delete it and do a clean install, but it leaves old information. I need to find where it is leaving information in the registry. I need any help to resolve this problem.
Tnx in advance 73 John K5JRW

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

145. Re: [JT65HF] need help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-29 03:56:08 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 142 Message: 145

Bob's answer is better than mine,

My computer is running Windows XP Pro and the built in clock in the Internet time segment has a box to check for Auto Sync with and Internet time server.

Rex K7QQ

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Scanferla
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 2:53 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] need help

Do you have a good time reference on your PC, as the documentation recommends?

Bob

From: John K5JRW <jrrebel73@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 9:59:21 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] need help

Hi to all

I am new to the group name is John K5JRW.
I have been on jt65hf for about 3 months,but over the last 2 days
it has stopped decoding. I have good strong signals on the waterfall.
It will go from receive to idle, for about a second then to decode and right back to idle.
The audio level is about 0 with no signal, and about 2-5 audio level with signals.My system has a cpu 2.1 ghz, 2 g of ram.
I tried to delete it and do a clean install, but it leaves old information. I need to find where it is leaving information in the registry. I need any help to resolve this problem.
Tnx in advance 73 John K5JRW

Yahoo! Groups Links

_____
Woman is 53 But Looks 25
Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/503d92d613f6112d42708st01vuc

146. Re: [JT65HF] need help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-29 12:16:47 UTC
From: John Wilson
Topic: 142 Message: 146

Tnx for the help Bob

That was the problem Dimension 4 had stopped working had to change severs with it and it started back working.
Again Txt 73 John K5JRW

From: Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@yahoo.ca>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 9:53 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] need help

Do you have a good time reference on your PC, as the documentation recommends?

Bob

From: John K5JRW <jrrebel73@yahoo.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 9:59:21 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] need help

Hi to all

I am new to the group name is John K5JRW.
I have been on jt65hf for about 3 months,but over the last 2 days
it has
stopped decoding. I have good strong signals on the waterfall.
It will go from receive to idle, for about a second then to decode and right back to idle.
The audio level is about 0 with no signal, and about 2-5 audio level with signals.My system has a cpu 2.1 ghz, 2 g of ram.
I tried to delete it and do a clean install, but it leaves old information. I need to find where it is leaving information in the registry. I need any help to resolve this problem.
Tnx in advance 73 John K5JRW

Yahoo! Groups Links

147. Re: [JT65HF] need help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-29 12:20:05 UTC
From: John Wilson
Topic: 142 Message: 147

Tnx Rex

For responding it was the timing Dimension 4 had stopped responding had to change the server.
So now back working again.
73 John K5JW

_____
From: Rex Maner <k7qq@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 10:56 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] need help

Bob's answer is better than mine,

My computer is running Windows XP Pro and the
built in clock in the Internet time segment has a box to check for Auto Sync
with and Internet time server.

Rex K7QQ

----- Original Message -----
>From: Bob Scanferla
>To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 2:53 AM
>Subject: Re: [JT65HF] need help
>
>
>Do you have a good time reference on your PC, as the documentation recommends?
>
>Bob
>
>
>
>
>_____
> From: John K5JRW <jrrebel73@...>
>To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 9:59:21 PM
>Subject: [JT65HF] need help
>
>Hi to all
>
> I am new to the group
name is John K5JRW.
>I have been on jt65hf for about 3 months,but over the

last 2 days
>it has stopped decoding. I have good strong signals on the
waterfall.
>It will go from receive to idle, for about a second then to
decode and right back to idle. The audio level is about 0 with no signal, and
about 2-5 audio level with signals.My system has a cpu 2.1 ghz, 2 g of
ram.
>I tried to delete it and do a clean install, but it leaves old
information. I need to find where it is leaving information in the registry. I
need any help to resolve this problem.
>Tnx in advance 73 John K5JRW
>
>
>
>
>------------------------------------
>
>Yahoo! Groups
Links
>
>
Traditional
>
(Yahoo! ID required)
>
>   JT65HF-fullfeatured@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>Woman is 53 But Looks 25
>Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
>ConsumerLifestyleMag.com

148. Re: [JT65HF] need help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-29 17:52:37 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 142 Message: 148

No Problem glad I could help.....had the same thing happen to me shortly after I started using JT65 :)

B

From: John Wilson <jrrebel73@...>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2012 8:16:47 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] need help

Tnx for the help Bob

That was the problem Dimension 4 had stopped working had to change severs with it and it started back working.
Again Txt 73 John K5JRW

From: Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@...>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 9:53 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] need help

Do you have a good time reference on your PC, as the documentation recommends?

Bob

From: John K5JRW <jrrebel73@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 9:59:21 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] need help

Hi to all

I am new to the group name is John K5JRW.
I have been on jt65hf for about 3 months,but
over the last 2 days
it has
stopped decoding. I have good strong signals on the waterfall.
It will go from receive to idle, for about a second then to decode and right back to idle.
The audio level is about 0 with no signal, and about 2-5 audio level with signals.My system has a cpu 2.1 ghz, 2 g of ram.
I tried to delete it and do a clean install, but it leaves old information. I need to find where it is leaving information in the registry. I need any help to resolve this problem.
Tnx in advance 73 John K5JRW

Yahoo! Groups Links

149. Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-31 02:57:03 UTC
From: w6png
Topic: 149 Message: 149

Guys

I have really enjoyed the few times I have used hf-jt65.

I have the latest version (not the beta one) running on windows 7 on an Apple MacBook pro using signalink USB with my elecraft kx3.

I can receive for many minutes and each period yields decoded signals.

However once I transmit things go haywire and after transmitting once or twice decoding seems to end and despite seeing and hearing signals nothing is being displayed in my receive window. I know that my transmit signal is getting out as PSK reporter shows signl reports on me.

Any one have any suggestions how to debug this or work around as it is a bit frustrating!

Paul W6PNG

150. Re: [JT65HF] Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-31 03:49:48 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 149 Message: 150

You have to keep your internal clock syncronized with WWV or such.

Time must be accurate to copy, and be understood when sending.

My old XP machine does fine, I have the clock set so Internet time has a check to Automatically sync .

Rex K7QQ

----- Original Message -----
From: w6png
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:57 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Guys

I have really enjoyed the few times I have used hf-jt65.

I have the latest version (not the beta one) running on windows 7 on an Apple MacBook pro using signalink USB with my elecraft kx3.

I can receive for many minutes and each period yields decoded signals.

However once I transmit things go haywire and after transmitting once or twice decoding seems to end and despite seeing and hearing signals nothing is being displayed in my receive window. I know that my transmit signal is getting out as PSK reporter shows signl reports on me.

Any one have any suggestions how to debug this or work around as it is a bit frustrating!

Paul W6PNG

_____
Woman is 53 But Looks 25
Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/504034627dd8e345705c0st04duc

151. Re: Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-31 04:27:18 UTC
From: w6png
Topic: 149 Message: 151

Rex

Thanks and I'll pay attention to time accuracy. I use dimension 4 and had hoped that was doing its job.

Paul W6PNG

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Rex Maner" <k7qq@...> wrote:
>
> You have to keep your internal clock syncronized with WWV or such.
>
> Time must be accurate to copy, and be understood when sending.
>
> My old XP machine does fine, I have the clock set so Internet time has a check to Automatically sync .
>
> Rex K7QQ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: w6png
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:57 AM
> Subject: [JT65HF] Hf-jt65 goes deaf
>
>
>
> Guys
>
> I have really enjoyed the few times I have used hf-jt65.
>
> I have the latest version (not the beta one) running on windows 7 on an Apple MacBook pro using signalink USB with my elecraft kx3.
>
> I can receive for many minutes and each period yields decoded signals.
>
> However once I transmit things go haywire and after transmitting once or twice decoding seems to end and despite seeing and hearing signals nothing is being displayed in my receive window. I know that my transmit signal is getting out as PSK reporter shows signl reports on me.
>
> Any one have any suggestions how to debug this or work around as it is a bit frustrating!
>
> Paul W6PNG
>
>

>
>
> _____
> Woman is 53 But Looks 25
> Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
> http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/504034627dd8e345705c0st04duc
>

152. Re: Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-31 11:18:11 UTC
From: ku4a
Topic: 149 Message: 152

I was never able to get Dimension 4 to work on Windows 7. It "appears" to work but doesn't actually do anything. If you find out that your clock is drifting off, that might be the problem. Chronos works OK for me on Windows 7.

Also, it took me awhile to realize that I need to click on "restore defaults" after a QSO. I believe receive decode only occurs within the green brackets if they are present.

KU4A

153. Re: Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-31 11:40:48 UTC
From: w6png
Topic: 149 Message: 153

Thanks and I'll try chronos and also press restore defaults after a qso.

Paul W6PNG

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ku4a" <ku4a@...> wrote:
>
> I was never able to get Dimension 4 to work on Windows 7. It "appears" to work but doesn't actually do anything. If you find out that your clock is drifting off, that might be the problem. Chronos works OK for me on Windows 7.
>
> Also, it took me awhile to realize that I need to click on "restore defaults" after a QSO. I believe receive decode only occurs within the green brackets if they are present.
>
> KU4A
>

154. Re: Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-31 11:50:43 UTC
From: neil_zampella
Topic: 149 Message: 154

YOu may also want to check on the main JT65-HF support group on Google Groups !!
https://groups.google.com/forum/#!forum/jt65-hf

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "w6png" <w6png@...> wrote:
>
> Guys
>
> I have really enjoyed the few times I have used hf-jt65.
>
> I have the latest version (not the beta one) running on windows 7 on an Apple MacBook pro using signalink USB with my elecraft kx3.
>
> I can receive for many minutes and each period yields decoded signals.
>
> However once I transmit things go haywire and after transmitting once or twice decoding seems to end and despite seeing and hearing signals nothing is being displayed in my receive window. I know that my transmit signal is getting out as PSK reporter shows signl reports on me.
>
> Any one have any suggestions how to debug this or work around as it is a bit frustrating!
>
> Paul W6PNG
>

155. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-08-31 13:49:12 UTC
From: Sander Pool
Topic: 149 Message: 155

On my astronomy XP box I use a utility from NIST to set the clock. It's a bit clumsy in appearance but it works. For W7 I changed the time source to NIST and it updates fine. I generally force a time update before running JT65-HF to make sure it could talk to the server.

73,

Sander W1SOP

On 8/31/2012 7:18 AM, ku4a wrote:
> I was never able to get Dimension 4 to work on Windows 7.

156. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-01 14:12:25 UTC
From: John Wilson
Topic: 149 Message: 156

From: w6png <w6png@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2012 11:27 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Hf-jt65 goes deaf

Also was using D/4 but it had stopped responding to the server once I changed server's and did a sync. everything went back to working as should.
Hope this helps .
73 John K5JRW

Rex

Thanks and I'll pay attention to time accuracy. I use dimension 4 and had hoped that was doing its job.

Paul W6PNG

--- In mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com, "Rex Maner" <k7qq@...> wrote:
>
> You have to keep your internal clock syncronized with WWV or such.
>
> Time must be accurate to copy, and be understood when sending.
>
> My old XP machine does fine, I have the clock set so Internet time has a check to Automatically sync .
>
> Rex K7QQ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: w6png
> To: mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 2:57 AM
> Subject: [JT65HF] Hf-jt65 goes deaf
>
>
>
> Guys
>
> I have really enjoyed the few times I have used hf-jt65.
>
> I have the latest version (not the beta one) running on windows 7 on an Apple MacBook pro using signalink USB with my elecraft kx3.
>
> I can receive for many minutes and each period yields decoded signals.
>

> However once I transmit things go haywire and after transmitting once or twice decoding seems to end and despite seeing and hearing signals nothing is being displayed in my receive window. I know that my transmit signal is getting out as PSK reporter shows signl reports on me.
>
> Any one have any suggestions how to debug this or work around as it is a bit frustrating!
>
> Paul W6PNG
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Woman is 53 But Looks 25
> Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
> http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/504034627dd8e345705c0st04duc
>

Hi Paul

157. Call sign not accepted

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-06 18:13:54 UTC
From: ZS6AAG
Topic: 157 Message: 157

Hi, 73 to all

I have a swaziland callsign that i would like to use on JT65, it seems that the programme did not accept if the call sign has 2 number in it,in my case 3DA0MF
Any ideas how to resolve it?

Jean Louis HAYE, ZS6AAG, 3DA0MF, C91MSF

158. Waterfall goes black

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-11 20:15:27 UTC
From: Marion
Topic: 158 Message: 158

I am new to JT65-HF so may not be doing something right. Here is my problem:
I made 3 successful qso's with no problem but then tried to answer another cq and after I transmitted the waterfall went black and can not get the waterfall back. I am running a vista laptop. Any ideas?
Thank you.
Marion

159. Re: [JT65HF] Waterfall goes black

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-11 22:44:30 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 158 Message: 159

Marion

Not the expert here, I suspect that for some reason you have lost the Audio output from the Rx to the Input of your computer.

If I disable the Audio out from the Rx to computer I get the black screen your describing.

Rex K7QQ

----- Original Message -----
From: Marion
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 8:15 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Waterfall goes black

I am new to JT65-HF so may not be doing something right. Here is my problem:
I made 3 successful qso's with no problem but then tried to answer another cq and after I transmitted the waterfall went black and can not get the waterfall back. I am running a vista laptop. Any ideas?
Thank you.
Marion

_____
Woman is 53 But Looks 25
Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/504fbed7b97e43ecc32c8st04duc

160. Re: [JT65HF] Waterfall goes black

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-11 23:07:34 UTC
From: Marion Bell
Topic: 158 Message: 160

Thanks Rex I will check that out.
Marion KA9BYN

From: Rex Maner <k7qq@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 6:44 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Waterfall goes black

Marion

Not the expert here, I suspect that for some reason you have lost the Audio output from the Rx to the Input of your computer.

If I disable the Audio out from the Rx to computer I get the black screen your describing.

Rex K7QQ

----- Original Message -----
>From: Marion
>To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
>Sent: Tuesday, September 11, 2012 8:15 PM
>Subject: [JT65HF] Waterfall goes black
>
>
>I am new to JT65-HF so may not be doing something right. Here is my problem:
>I made 3 successful qso's with no problem but then tried to answer another cq and after I transmitted the waterfall went black and can not get the waterfall back. I am running a vista laptop. Any ideas?
>Thank you.
>Marion
>
>
>
>_____
>Woman is 53 But Looks 25
>Mom reveals 1 simple wrinkle trick that has angered doctors...
>ConsumerLifestyleMag.com

161. VHF-UHF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-17 17:29:04 UTC
From: wa9m6m
Topic: 161 Message: 161

I am wanting to try jt65 on 2 and 432.I was on 2m the other nite and worked into VA from hr in INDIANA.i would like to set up some skeds.

Bob WA9M EM79nc

162. Callsign problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-17 20:05:30 UTC
From: ZS6AAG
Topic: 162 Message: 162

Anybody had an idea how to resolve my callsign problem I have tried to enter 3DA0MF from Swaziland but it is not possible to use it no transmission, no problem to enter my RSA call ZS6AAG, any idea? 73 from Swaziland from 3DA0MF

163. Re: Callsign problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-17 20:44:38 UTC
From: arcticsapper7
Topic: 162 Message: 163

While I have not tried it myself for that purpose, I would think that JT-Macros would allow you to set up macros for the Swaziland callsign. It would be a little more "input intensive, as ou'd probably have to manually select the macro instead of running the Call CQ/Send Report/Send 73 buttons, but you could make it work.

The link is http://ham-apps.com/

If you do, please watch for my callsign!

73 es Good luck,
W0GLB Gordon

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ZS6AAG" <f5maw@...> wrote:
>
> Anybody had an idea how to resolve my callsign problem I have tried to enter 3DA0MF from Swaziland but it is not possible to use it no transmission, no problem to enter my RSA call ZS6AAG, any idea? 73 from Swaziland from 3DA0MF
>

164. Re: What is this phenomenom called?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-23 10:26:47 UTC
From: k9hde
Topic: 121 Message: 164

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Ron <cn2pv@...> wrote:
>
> I have seen this when I was operating on 40M and watching PSK
> Reporter I would see myself spotted on 20M or 15M and even see someone calling me there as well. Still seems strange to me.
> Ron
> W3PV
>
I believe the RB reports on another band can be attributed to the station hearing/decoding you on your current band but they have forgotten to change the band they have told JT65-HF they were operating on.

So the phenomena could be called "Failure to Pay Attention". 8-)

I have only operated on 40M yet there are all sorts of spots on the higher bands with my call posted on the RB.

Joe, K9HDE

165. Re: [JT65HF] Re: What is this phenomenom called?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-23 12:45:02 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 121 Message: 165

I've been guilty of this. I leave my JT65 software set to one band and shift the rig to another. Duhhhhh. Yes, failure to pay attention.

On Sun, Sep 23, 2012 at 6:26 AM, k9hde <k9hde@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Ron <cn2pv@...> wrote:
> >
> > I have seen this when I was operating on 40M and watching PSK
> > Reporter I would see myself spotted on 20M or 15M and even see someone
> calling me there as well. Still seems strange to me.
> > Ron
> > W3PV
> >
> I believe the RB reports on another band can be attributed to the station
> hearing/decoding you on your current band but they have forgotten to change
> the band they have told JT65-HF they were operating on.
>
> So the phenomena could be called "Failure to Pay Attention". 8-)
>
> I have only operated on 40M yet there are all sorts of spots on the higher
> bands with my call posted on the RB.
>
> Joe, K9HDE
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

166. Can't send reports to RBN

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-25 02:37:05 UTC
From: k8dio1
Topic: 166 Message: 166

I recently started using JT65-HF. I am not able to send to the RBN though. Next to the Enable RBN box, I see report numbers but no reports are actually sent to the RBN. In the "Diagnostics" tab, I get pages of "RB Login fails unknown error" messages. Any help would be appreciated.

73, Lloyd K8DIO

167. Re: [JT65HF] Can't send reports to RBN

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-26 11:21:13 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 166 Message: 167

You may need to register your call with the RBN...if memory serves there a two tick boxes on the Application, and I recall having to register with one of the Revers Beacon Sites.

I am installing on a new PC I will see what happens in my case.

B

From: k8dio1 <k8dio@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 10:37:05 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Can't send reports to RBN

I recently started using JT65-HF. I am not able to send to the RBN though. Next to the Enable RBN box, I see report numbers but no reports are actually sent to the RBN. In the "Diagnostics" tab, I get pages of "RB Login fails unknown error" messages. Any help would be appreciated.

73, Lloyd K8DIO

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

168. Re: [JT65HF] Can't send reports to RBN

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-26 11:48:23 UTC
From: k8dio@windstream.net
Topic: 166 Message: 168

Thanks Bob. I'll take a look at the site.

Lloyd K8DIO

On Wednesday, September 26, 2012 7:21 AM, Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@...> wrote:
>
>
>

You may need to register your call with the RBN...if memory serves there a two tick boxes on the Application, and I recall having to register with one of the Revers Beacon Sites.

>
I am installing on a new PC I will see what happens in my case.

>
B
>

>

From: k8dio1 <k8dio@...>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 10:37:05 PM
> Subject: [JT65HF] Can't send reports to RBN
>
> I recently started using JT65-HF. I am not able to send to the RBN though. Next to the Enable RBN box, I see report numbers but no reports are actually sent to the RBN. In the "Diagnostics" tab, I get pages of "RB Login fails unknown error" messages. Any help would be appreciated.
>
> 73, Lloyd K8DIO
>
>
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

169. Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-09-30 00:16:56 UTC
From: alpg49
Topic: 169 Message: 169

(Long story mercifully deleted)

I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44 card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)

Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns ratio?

Thanks!

... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in the rig to get a little more sensitivity...

Al Gerheim, K1QN

171. Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 18:27:20 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 169 Message: 171

Can you increase feedback resistors on TX op amps?

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> (Long story mercifully deleted)
>
> I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44 card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
>
> Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns ratio?
>
> Thanks!
>
> ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in the rig to get a little more sensitivity...
>
> Al Gerheim, K1QN
>

172. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 19:01:54 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 169 Message: 172

We may be headed that way, soon! I'd rather keep the softrock as is, and boost the audio "on the outside". If I could find a stereo audio isolation transformer pair with 2:1 turns ratio, I think I'd be home free!

On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 2:20 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Can you increase feedback resistors on second stage of TX opamps? if there
> is 10k try 15k or 20k for example.
>
> 73, Mart
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > (Long story mercifully deleted)
> >
> > I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a
> SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only
> get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but
> must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44
> card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
> >
> > Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns
> ratio?
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in the
> rig to get a little more sensitivity...
> >
> > Al Gerheim, K1QN
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

173. Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 19:06:40 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 169 Message: 173

Transformers may have quite narrow bandwith and wide ones cost a lot.

Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> We may be headed that way, soon! I'd rather keep the softrock as is, and
> boost the audio "on the outside". If I could find a stereo audio isolation
> transformer pair with 2:1 turns ratio, I think I'd be home free!
>
>
> On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 2:20 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > Can you increase feedback resistors on second stage of TX opamps? if there
> > is 10k try 15k or 20k for example.
> >
> > 73, Mart
> >
> >
> > --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@> wrote:
> > >
> > > (Long story mercifully deleted)
> > >
> > > I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a
> > SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only
> > get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but
> > must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44
> > card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
> > >
> > > Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns
> > ratio?
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > >
> > > ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in the
> > rig to get a little more sensitivity...
> > >
> > > Al Gerheim, K1QN
> > >
> >
> >
> >

```
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>
```

174. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 19:09:24 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 169 Message: 174

I only run digital modes with the softrocks, so 200-3kHz should be plenty.
Also - doesn't have to be particularly flat.

On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 3:06 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Transformers may have quite narrow bandwith and wide ones cost a lot.
>
> Mart
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > We may be headed that way, soon! I'd rather keep the softrock as is, and
> > boost the audio "on the outside". If I could find a stereo audio
> isolation
> > transformer pair with 2:1 turns ratio, I think I'd be home free!
> >
> >
> > On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 2:20 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:
> >
> > > **
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Can you increase feedback resistors on second stage of TX opamps? if
> there
> > > is 10k try 15k or 20k for example.
> > >
> > > 73, Mart
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > (Long story mercifully deleted)
> > > >
> > > > I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a
> > > SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can
> only
> > > get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes,
> but
> > > must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the
> Delta44

> > > card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
> > > >
> > > > Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1
> turns
> > > ratio?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks!
> > > >
> > > > ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in
> the
> > > rig to get a little more sensitivity...
> > > >
> > > > Al Gerheim, K1QN
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Al Gerheim
> > Adopt a Homeless Pet
> > http://www.petfinder.com
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

175. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 19:56:17 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 169 Message: 175

looks like theinput resistor to the opamp gain network is band specific (R55 and 58) I think.

you would need to look at the presnt configuration and adjust the input or feedback to get the extra gain you need.

But that is just based on a quick look at the schematics I found on line.

Bob

From: mardikas15 <martrah@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 1, 2012 2:20:46 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Can you increase feedback resistors on second stage of TX opamps? if there is 10k try 15k or 20k for example.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> (Long story mercifully deleted)
>
> I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44 card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
>
> Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns ratio?
>
> Thanks!
>
> ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple
part values in the rig to get a little more sensitivity...
>
> Al Gerheim, K1QN
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

176. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 19:58:33 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 169 Message: 176

then why not put a little OPAMP circuit outside of the exisitng board?

B

From: Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 1, 2012 3:01:54 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

We may be headed that way, soon! I'd rather keep the softrock as is, and boost the audio "on the outside". If I could find a stereo audio isolation transformer pair with 2:1 turns ratio, I think I'd be home free!

On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 2:20 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:

>
>Can you increase feedback resistors on second stage of TX opamps? if there is 10k try 15k or 20k for example.
>
>73, Mart
>
>
>--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@...> wrote:
>>
>> (Long story mercifully deleted)
>>
>> I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44 card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
>>
>> Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns ratio?
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in the rig to get a little more sensitivity...
>>
>> Al Gerheim, K1QN
>>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim

Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

177. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 20:11:46 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 169 Message: 177

I suspect my situation is a bit differant, I have my JT65 hooked from the sound card directly to the microphone input via a 100 K /1K resistor to ground and the mike input from the voltage devider point of the joint of 100k/10 resistor . More that enough drive for my old TS-870

```
sound card __________/\/\/\/\/\/\//\__/\/\/\/\/\/\_____>>ground
/\
!
!____to Mike >>>>
```

Rex

----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Scanferla
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2012 7:58 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

then why not put a little OPAMP circuit outside of the exisitng board?

B

From: Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, October 1, 2012 3:01:54 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

We may be headed that way, soon! I'd rather keep the softrock as is, and boost the audio "on the outside". If I could find a stereo audio isolation transformer pair with 2:1 turns ratio, I think I'd be home free!

On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 2:20 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:

Can you increase feedback resistors on second stage of TX opamps? if there is 10k try 15k or 20k for example.

73, Mart

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@...> wrote:
>
> (Long story mercifully deleted)
>
> I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44 card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
>

> Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns ratio?
>
> Thanks!
>
> ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in the rig to get a little more sensitivity...
>
> Al Gerheim, K1QN
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

_____
Woman is 57 But Looks 27
Mom publishes simple facelift trick that angered doctors...
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/5069f8fa5877f78f8768est01duc

178. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-01 23:02:29 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 169 Message: 178

I think that's the easiest way forward. Aim for 4V p-p, 12 dB gain. I'll see what I can dig up at radio shack, and try another flavor of Altoids (Wintergreen and Peppermint are already in play). Power shouldn't be a problem.

I absolutely hate removing thru hole components. Otherwise, I'd replace some 10K resistors with something a bit larger.

On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 3:58 PM, Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> then why not put a little OPAMP circuit outside of the exisitng board?
>
> B
>
> ---
> *From:* Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...>
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Monday, October 1, 2012 3:01:54 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [JT65HF] Re: Need 3dB more audio to softrock rxtx
>
>
>
> We may be headed that way, soon! I'd rather keep the softrock as is, and
> boost the audio "on the outside". If I could find a stereo audio isolation
> transformer pair with 2:1 turns ratio, I think I'd be home free!
>
>
> On Mon, Oct 1, 2012 at 2:20 PM, mardikas15 <martrah@...> wrote:
>
> **
>
> Can you increase feedback resistors on second stage of TX opamps? if
> there is 10k try 15k or 20k for example.
>
> 73, Mart
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "alpg49" <alpg49@...> wrote:
> >
> > (Long story mercifully deleted)
> >
> > I need 3dB more audio to drive a softrock ensemble rxtx using a
> SoundBlaster audio card. I'm running the card at max volume, and can only

> get 500mW out of the rig. I'm looking for software or hardware fixes, but
> must be cheap. I'm using laptops and low form factor PC's, so the Delta44
> card isn't an option. (I have one, but it's in a dead PC.)
> >
> > Stereo amp? Isolation transformers with something other than 1:1 turns
> ratio?
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > ... late thought: I wonder if I can change a couple part values in the
> rig to get a little more sensitivity...
> >
> > Al Gerheim, K1QN
> >
>
>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>
>
>
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

179. Basic question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-07 21:29:11 UTC
From: willgord
Topic: 179 Message: 179

I'm an old hand at digital--nearing DXCC on PSK--but a newbie on JT-65.

Went a month without making a single contact but it all fell in place today and I got nine contacts.

So, now that I am off the ground--a basic question.

Say I am in receive mode and a station sends out a CQ. Is there any way to stop the receive and shift immediately to transmit so I can reply to the CQ?

Thanks

K2GLW--Gordon

180. Re: [JT65HF] Basic question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-07 23:52:16 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 179 Message: 180

all tx happen on a 1 minute boundary so if you hit TX it will send in the next window if memory serves.

B

From: willgord <willgord@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 7, 2012 5:29:11 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Basic question

I'm an old hand at digital--nearing DXCC on PSK--but a newbie on JT-65.

Went a month without making a single contact but it all fell in place today and I got nine contacts.

So, now that I am off the ground--a basic question.

Say I am in receive mode and a station sends out a CQ. Is there any way to stop the receive and shift immediately to transmit so I can reply to the CQ?

Thanks

K2GLW--Gordon

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

181. Re: [JT65HF] Basic question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-08 00:39:56 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 179 Message: 181

I'm not sure what you're asking. The transmit period is 48 seconds,
period. There is no point in interrupting it.

On Sun, Oct 7, 2012 at 5:29 PM, willgord <willgord@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I'm an old hand at digital--nearing DXCC on PSK--but a newbie on JT-65.
>
> Went a month without making a single contact but it all fell in place
> today and I got nine contacts.
>
> So, now that I am off the ground--a basic question.
>
> Say I am in receive mode and a station sends out a CQ. Is there any way to
> stop the receive and shift immediately to transmit so I can reply to the CQ?
>
> Thanks
>
> K2GLW--Gordon
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

182. Re: [JT65HF] Basic question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-08 00:57:34 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 179 Message: 182

When you hit TX to reply to the CQ, JT65 will start transmitting in the next 1 minute window. I think depending on if you are set to even or odd minutes.

Remeber the JT65 protocol depends on messages being started on 1 minute boundaries there is the actual message which is 48 seconds then there is the decode period then the next RX/TX window starts at the beginning of the next minute.....this is why PC clocks need to be synchronized so well.

Please anyone if I have it wrong, correct me, it has been a while since I looked at how the JT65 protocol was designed.

Bob

From: Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 7, 2012 8:39:56 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Basic question

I'm not sure what you're asking. The transmit period is 48 seconds, period. There is no point in interrupting it.

On Sun, Oct 7, 2012 at 5:29 PM, willgord <willgord@...> wrote:

>
>I'm an old hand at digital--nearing DXCC on PSK--but a newbie on JT-65.
>
>Went a month without making a single contact but it all fell in place today and I got nine contacts.
>
>So, now that I am off the ground--a basic question.
>
>Say I am in receive mode and a station sends out a CQ. Is there any way to stop the receive and shift immediately to transmit so I can reply to the CQ?
>
>Thanks
>
>K2GLW--Gordon
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

183. Re: [JT65HF] Basic question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-08 03:12:38 UTC
From: David Westbrook
Topic: 179 Message: 183

In JT65-HF, if you double-click on the "CQ KJ4IZW EM93" text in the decode list, it will automatically:
* Push the "Enable TX" button
* Sets the "Call" box to KJ4IZW, and sets the Report
* Push the "Answer CQ" button (sets the transmit text to "KJ4IZW K2GLW FN30")
* Sets the DF to match the CQ'ers offset
So to switch to "answer" mode, simply double-click the decoded "CQ" text ...

.... hopefully that's what you were asking?

73
--david
KJ4IZW

On Sun, Oct 7, 2012 at 5:29 PM, willgord <willgord@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I'm an old hand at digital--nearing DXCC on PSK--but a newbie on JT-65.
>
> Went a month without making a single contact but it all fell in place
> today and I got nine contacts.
>
> So, now that I am off the ground--a basic question.
>
> Say I am in receive mode and a station sends out a CQ. Is there any way to
> stop the receive and shift immediately to transmit so I can reply to the CQ?
>
> Thanks
>
> K2GLW--Gordon
>
>
>

184. TX Text area not working

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-10 01:49:18 UTC
From: k8dio1
Topic: 184 Message: 184

I have a question about entering text in the TX Text block. I can enter text there but most of the time when I am in the transmit mode I only hear 2 tones being transmitted. I know that when I hear that I'm not really sending the text that I have entered. Sometimes, I can hear the normal type of tones you would hear when sending the normal messages. Even though the Transmit Log shows that I have sent the text message, I know that it's not sent. Has anyone else had this problem? I see this in 1.0.7 and 1.0.9.3.

Thanks, Lloyd K8DIO

188. Re: TX Text area not working

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-28 01:49:41 UTC
From: Jim
Topic: 184 Message: 188

are you after enter text hitting the the tick button to trx. I have made about 132 contacts in the week and half work on either my flex or my icom two different computer. I usualy send there TU Name 73 in that box.
Jim w4wwj

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "k8dio1" <k8dio@...> wrote:
>
> I have a question about entering text in the TX Text block. I can enter text there but most of the time when I am in the transmit mode I only hear 2 tones being transmitted. I know that when I hear that I'm not really sending the text that I have entered. Sometimes, I can hear the normal type of tones you would hear when sending the normal messages. Even though the Transmit Log shows that I have sent the text message, I know that it's not sent. Has anyone else had this problem? I see this in 1.0.7 and 1.0.9.3.
>
> Thanks, Lloyd K8DIO
>

189. Re: [JT65HF] Re: TX Text area not working

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-10-28 12:04:44 UTC
From: k8dio@windstream.net
Topic: 184 Message: 189

Jim, if I would have read "the book", I would have seen "Do not begin any free text macro with 73 RRR or RO as those will be sent as shorthand
messages even if you define more text." This was my problem.

Tnx, Lloyd K8DIO

On Saturday, October 27, 2012 9:49 PM, Jim <jimw4wwj@...> wrote:
>

are you after enter text hitting the the tick button to trx. I have made about 132 contacts in the week and half work on either my flex or my icom two different computer. I usualy send there TU Name 73 in that box.
> Jim w4wwj
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "k8dio1" <k8dio@...> wrote:
> >
> > I have a question about entering text in the TX Text block. I can enter text there but most of the time when I am in the transmit mode I only hear 2 tones being transmitted. I know that when I hear that I'm not really sending the text that I have entered. Sometimes, I can hear the normal type of tones you would hear when sending the normal messages. Even though the Transmit Log shows that I have sent the text message, I know that it's not sent. Has anyone else had this problem? I see this in 1.0.7 and 1.0.9.3.
> >
> > Thanks, Lloyd K8DIO
> >
>
>

190. Re: Can't send reports to RBN

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-03 23:52:49 UTC
From: Cliff
Topic: 166 Message: 190

If you are not using a cat interface to automatically show the frequency you need to manually put it in JT65 if it shows 0 no reports happen. I know I had this problem,
Hope that is what you were after

73
Cliff VK2CCJ

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <k8dio@...> wrote:
>
> Thanks Bob. I'll take a look at the site.
>
> Lloyd K8DIO
>
>
> On Wednesday, September 26, 2012 7:21 AM, Bob Scanferla <va3rjs@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> You may need to register your call with the RBN...if memory serves there a two tick boxes on the Application, and I recall having to register with one of the Revers Beacon Sites.
>
> >
> I am installing on a new PC I will see what happens in my case.
>
> >
> B
> >
>
> >
>
>
> From: k8dio1 <k8dio@...>
> > To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 10:37:05 PM
> > Subject: [JT65HF] Can't send reports to RBN
> >
> > I recently started using JT65-HF. I am not able to send to the RBN though. Next to the Enable RBN box, I see report numbers but no reports are actually sent to the RBN. In the "Diagnostics" tab, I get pages of "RB Login fails unknown error" messages. Any help would be appreciated.
> >
> > 73, Lloyd K8DIO
> >

```
> >
> >
> > ------------------------------------
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
```

191. 30m Band Utilization Chart update: 10 November 2012

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-10 08:09:57 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 191 Message: 191

30m Band Utilization Chart update: 10 November 2012

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

The 30m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm

>

>

>

>

>

192. Re: [JT65HF] 30m Band Utilization Chart update: 10 November 2012

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-10 20:08:13 UTC
From: f1usc.hotmail
Topic: 191 Message: 192

Hello

Very nice job Ian, congratulations.
73
Jean-Philippe

Jean-Philippe, F1USC
Locator : JN18GT
Http://f1usc.fr

Le 10 nov. 2012 à 09:09, Ian Wade G3NRW a écrit :

> 30m Band Utilization Chart update: 10 November 2012
>
> http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm
>
> --
> 73
> Ian, G3NRW
>
> The 30m Band Utilization Chart:
> http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
>

193. 160m Band Utilization Chart updated: 15 November 2012

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-15 08:39:55 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 193 Message: 193

The 160m Band Utilization Chart has been updated. It now shows the JT9 dial frequency at 1.8395 MHz. The chart is at:

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/160m_band_utilization.htm

The chart is still sparse on detail, so if you have anything to add to it, please let me know: mailto: g3nrw-radio@...

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

The 30m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm

The UK 60m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/UK_60m_band_utilization.htm

The 160m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/160m_band_utilization.htm

The Top Band Digital Group (TBD160):
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/TBD160

>

>

>

>

>

194. Small GUI

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-17 01:35:01 UTC
From: wa9m6m
Topic: 194 Message: 194

i downloaded latest ver on my Acer netbook.I dont see the small gui option listed.Is it not in this ver?

Bob

195. JT65HF Log View

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-20 22:02:01 UTC
From: oz1bv
Topic: 195 Message: 195

Hi

Made a little program to show the JT65-HF log file "live":
http://oz1bv.dk/util/JT65HF-log-view/

Please let me know if You decided to try the program. Any suggestions ect. are welcome :-)

Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian

196. Re: JT65HF Log View

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-21 23:05:42 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 195 Message: 196

Brian,

I've downloaded and installed your log view utility and it's just what I was looking for - much better than opening the file in a text editor!

I haven't had too much time to play with it yet, but I'll let you know how I get on.

73
Gary
G0DNI

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "oz1bv" <ox3io@...> wrote:
>
> Hi
>
> Made a little program to show the JT65-HF log file "live":
http://oz1bv.dk/util/JT65HF-log-view/
>
> Please let me know if You decided to try the program. Any suggestions ect. are welcome
:-)
>
>
> Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian
>

197. Meaning of green "U" indicator

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-22 20:37:02 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 197 Message: 197

I am a very new user of JT65-HF v 1093. I don't understand the meaning of the green "U" indicator on the frequency scale. The indicator isn't there when I am listening, but when I double-click on a callsign entry to answer a CQ call, two things happen:

1. The red "inverted-U" indicator automatically aligns to the lowest frequency of the calling signal (TX DF=RX DF is checked). This I understand.

2. The green "U" indicator appears. It seems to be exactly the same width as the red "inverted-U" indicator, but offset about 100Hz lower in frequency. What does this mean?

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

>

>

>

>

>

198. JT65HF Log View

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-22 21:18:43 UTC
From: oz1bv
Topic: 195 Message: 198

Hi folks

Got some hints and followed up.

Latest updates:

Configuration is now kept in a XML file instead of a plain simple text format.

Window position is now saved when exiting the program.

Program homepage: http://oz1bv.dk/util/JT65HF-log-view/

Found a bug? Please let me know :-)

Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian

199. Re: [JT65HF] Meaning of green "U" indicator

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-23 06:29:13 UTC
From: Tony
Topic: 197 Message: 199

Hello Ian,

The green bar indicates the RX decode bandwidth when the "enable Multi" box is unticked, and can be adjusted using the "Single BW" box near the bottom of the program window.

Hope to catch you on air soon.

Cheers,

Tony VK2AJF,
Sydney.

Sent from my iPad

On 23/11/2012, at 7:37 AM, Ian Wade G3NRW <g3nrw-radio@...> wrote:

> I am a very new user of JT65-HF v 1093. I don't understand the meaning
> of the green "U" indicator on the frequency scale. The indicator isn't
> there when I am listening, but when I double-click on a callsign entry
> to answer a CQ call, two things happen:
>
> 1. The red "inverted-U" indicator automatically aligns to the lowest
> frequency of the calling signal (TX DF=RX DF is checked). This I
> understand.
>
> 2. The green "U" indicator appears. It seems to be exactly the same
> width as the red "inverted-U" indicator, but offset about 100Hz lower in
> frequency. What does this mean?
>
> --
> 73
> Ian, G3NRW
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
>

200. Re: [JT65HF] Meaning of green "U" indicator

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-23 12:49:22 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 197 Message: 200

___Original Message_____
From: Tony <vk2ajf@...>
Date: Fri, 23 Nov 2012 Time: 17:29:13

>Hello Ian,
>The green bar indicates the RX decode bandwidth when the "enable Multi"
>box is unticked, and can be adjusted using the "Single BW" box near the
>bottom of the program window.
>

Tony

I'm really confused (easily achieved).

On receive:
~~~~~~
1. If I uncheck "Enable Multi", the green indicator appears.

2. By adjusting "Single BW" I can see that the green indicator is
centered on the left-hand end of the red indicator.

3. If I move the red indicator, the green indicator follows it.

4. I cannot independently move the green indicator.

But why? What does the green indicator mean, and why is it not congruent
with the red indicator?
~~~~~~

On transmit:
~~~~~~
1. "Enable Multi" is initially checked.

2. When I double-click on a callsign record to respond to a CQ, "Enable
Multi" is automatically unchecked and the green indicator appears as
above.

But again, why? Why is the green indicator relevant when transmitting?
~~~~~~

I've read W6CQZ's setup and ops guide over and over, but the penny still
hasn't dropped.

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

>

>

>

>

>

201. Re: [JT65HF] Meaning of green "U" indicator

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-23 23:59:34 UTC
From: Tony VK2AJF
Topic: 197 Message: 201

Hi Ian,

Some comments added to your remarks below. Hope they help:-

> On receive:
>~~~~~~
>1. If I uncheck "Enable Multi", the green indicator appears.

When the green indicator is present then the program only decodes signals whose bandspread STARTS in that span (ie whose LH edge is within that span). Narrowing this span speeds up decoding, particularly if you have a slow processor and are in a QSO and want the decode to happen before the next minute period commences.

The red indicator indicates the WHOLE bandspread that your transmitted signal occupies.

>2. By adjusting "Single BW" I can see that the green indicator
>is centered on the left-hand end of the red indicator.
>3. If I move the red indicator, the green indicator follows it.
>4. I cannot independently move the green indicator.
>But why? What does the green indicator mean, and why is it
>not congruent with the red indicator?

If you unclick the "TX Df = RX DF" box then the frequencies of the green and red indicators are separately controllable (left mouse click = red, right mouse click = green). This permits different TX and RX frequencies. (Ia haven't found much need to use this)

>On transmit:
~~~~~~
>1. "Enable Multi" is initially checked.
>2. When I double-click on a callsign record to respond to a
>CQ, "Enable Multi" is automatically unchecked and the green
>indicator appears as above.
>But again, why? Why is the green indicator relevant
>when transmitting?

It is to force the software to only spend time decoding the subsequent response from the other station, and ignor signals starting outside the green indicator's span. If you have a fast processor you can go into the settings and unclick the box labelled "Disable multicoder while in QSO ....", so you can decoide all other signals in the channel too.

In the past few days 10m has been quite good between US, JA and VK.
~~~~~~

BTW Ian, thanks for your excellent NOSintro book. I wore it out when running a packet/Internet gateway some years ago!

Good luck & Cheers,

Tony VK2AJF
Castle Hill, Sydney.

202. Re: [JT65HF] Meaning of green "U" indicator

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-24 07:48:29 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 197 Message: 202

Tony

You have lifted the veil of confusion! Everything now makes perfect
sense -- thanks for a very helpful explanation.

Re NOSintro, that's going back a long way! Incidentally, most of the
book is now available on line:

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/37852742/nosintro/nosintro.htm

One day I will put the remaining NOSintro pages onto the website, when I
can find the original files -- they are buried deep in an archive
somewhere!

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

___Original Message_____
From: Tony VK2AJF <vk2ajf@...>
Date: Sat, 24 Nov 2012 Time: 10:59:34

>Hi Ian,
>Some comments added to your remarks below. Hope they help:-
>> On receive:
>>~~~~~~
>>1. If I uncheck "Enable Multi", the green indicator appears.
>
>When the green indicator is present then the program only decodes
>signals whose bandspread STARTS in that span (ie whose LH edge is
>within that span). Narrowing this span speeds up decoding, particularly if
>you have a slow processor and are in a QSO and want the decode to
>happen before the next minute period commences.
>
>The red indicator indicates the WHOLE bandspread that your transmitted
>signal occupies.
>
>>2. By adjusting "Single BW" I can see that the green indicator
>>is centered on the left-hand end of the red indicator.
>>3. If I move the red indicator, the green indicator follows it.
>>4. I cannot independently move the green indicator.
>>But why? What does the green indicator mean, and why is it
>>not congruent with the red indicator?
>
>If you unclick the "TX Df = RX DF" box then the frequencies of the green
>and red indicators are separately controllable (left mouse click = red, right
>mouse click = green). This permits different TX and RX frequencies. (Ia

>haven't found much need to use this)
>
>>On transmit:
>~~~~~~
>>1. "Enable Multi" is initially checked.
>>2. When I double-click on a callsign record to respond to a
>>CQ, "Enable Multi" is automatically unchecked and the green
>>indicator appears as above.
>>But again, why? Why is the green indicator relevant
>>when transmitting?
>
>It is to force the software to only spend time decoding the subsequent
>response from the other station, and ignor signals starting outside the
>green indicator's span. If you have a fast processor you can go into the
>settings and unclick the box labelled "Disable multicoder while in QSO
>....", so you can decoide all other signals in the channel too.
>
>In the past few days 10m has been quite good between US, JA and VK.
>
>BTW Ian, thanks for your excellent NOSintro book. I wore it out when
>running a packet/Internet gateway some years ago!
>
>Good luck & Cheers,
>
>Tony VK2AJF
>Castle Hill, Sydney.
>

203. Lost my log file

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-25 06:10:15 UTC
From: kd1ia
Topic: 203 Message: 203

HI all, I was trying to find my J65HF log file to sign and send to lotw and I renamed it to see if Icould move it that way. Now it only contains one qso. Any ideas of how to find it. Ive searched and searched. Suggestions accepted
de John ko1h

kd1ia@...

204. Fw: No decode Common?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-26 01:30:09 UTC
From: kd1ia
Topic: 204 Message: 204

----- Original Message -----
From: kd1ia
To: jt65ft@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 1:26 AM
Subject: No decode Common?

HI all, I was having great fun with JT65HF making contacts on both 30 and 160m. Something seems to have gone ammis with my setup and I cant sort it out. I hear lots of tones that sound right on both 1.838 USB and 10.138 USBbut im getting little or no decodes. I have checked my time interval etc. But I dont know what else to do? Any suggestions

de John ko1h FN41

205. Re: [JT65HF] Fw: No decode Common?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-26 13:04:46 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 204 Message: 205

Not sure what you are saying when you say checked Time interval.

Are you synchronizing to a time standard like NIST? A free running local clock may seem close enough but they drift.

Not saying that is the problem, but it is an easy one to check.

From: kd1ia <kd1ia@...>
To: jt65hf@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 25, 2012 8:30:09 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Fw: No decode Common?

----- Original Message -----
From: kd1ia
To: jt65ft@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 1:26 AM
Subject: No decode Common?

HI all, I was having great fun with JT65HF making
contacts on both 30 and 160m. Something seems to have gone ammis with my
setup and I cant sort it out. I hear lots of tones that sound right on
both 1.838 USB and 10.138 USBbut im getting little or no decodes. I have checked
my time interval etc. But I dont know what else to do? Any
suggestions

de John ko1h FN41

206. Re: [JT65HF] Fw: No decode Common?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-11-30 08:08:42 UTC
From: kd1ia
Topic: 204 Message: 206

Thanks Bob just need to apply a little patience and let the software do its work. I sink ti NIST every time before I bring up JT65HF. No probles was happy to work on4 on 160m jt65 after no seeing any decodes.

Thanks
----- Original Message -----
From: Bob Scanferla
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 1:04 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Fw: No decode Common?

Not sure what you are saying when you say checked Time interval.

Are you synchronizing to a time standard like NIST? A free running local clock may seem close enough but they drift.

Not saying that is the problem, but it is an easy one to check.

From: kd1ia <kd1ia@...>
To: jt65hf@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 25, 2012 8:30:09 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Fw: No decode Common?

----- Original Message -----
From: kd1ia
To: jt65ft@...m
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 1:26 AM
Subject: No decode Common?

HI all, I was having great fun with JT65HF making contacts on both 30 and 160m. Something seems to have gone ammis with my setup and I cant sort it out. I hear lots of tones that sound right on both 1.838 USB and 10.138 USBbut im getting little or no decodes. I have checked my time interval etc. But I dont know what else to do? Any suggestions

de John ko1h FN41

207. JT651.0.9.3 Logging

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-03 22:30:01 UTC
From: w3mat
Topic: 207 Message: 207

I am really new to JT65, and today was my first attempt at sitting down for a few hours and playing with the new mode. In about a three-four hour window I did manage to make nineteen contacts. I have a question concerning logging. I changed the default drive in the JT65 program to save my log directly onto a flash drive. When operating, if a call comes in that I have already worked, will the JT65 program alert me, or since I changed the address from where the program wanted to default my log will it not search for previously worked calls? Thanks for all your help, and I hope to work you on JT65.

Loon
W3MAT
FN11

208. JT65-HF Log View

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-09 17:17:48 UTC
From: oz1bv
Topic: 208 Message: 208

Hi

The program has been updated and some errors has been fixed.
Please take a look here: http://oz1bv.dk/util/JT65HF-log-view

Vy 73 de OX3IO, Brian

209. Re: JT651.0.9.3 Logging

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-10 13:16:17 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 207 Message: 209

Loon,

I heard you calling CQ on JT65A the other day (6th Dec) but you didn't pick up my QRP signals. Good to know that you are reaching the UK though!

I use the excellent utilities JT-Alert and JT-Macros available here:

http://ham-apps.com

JT-Alert works closely with JT65-HF to both give you 'Worked Before (B4)' notification and logging functionality. I'll leave you to read the details, but this is how I work:

- Laptop running Windows XP

- Ham Radio Deluxe (HRD is free) running - just for the Logbook program

- JT65-HF running
- JT-Alet running
- JT-Macros running

I exported my whole Ham Radio log from my main (iMac) computer as an ADIF file. I then imported the whole log into the HRD logbook to use with JT65-HF.

I then set up JT-Alert to use the HRD logbook file - easy to do, as JT-Alert only needs a box ticking.

Now, when I've finished a QSO, I click 'Log QSO' in JT65-HF and and edit as required. When you click the 'Log Contact and Close This Window' in JT65-HF, a pop-up box in JT-Alert tells me that the contact has been logged.

If I now look at HRD Logbook and click the Logbook refresh button, the QSO is logged! If you use eQSL or LOTW, HRD Logbook makes it easy to send electronic QSL's.

To populate the JT-Alert 'Worked B4' database, there is a once only option to import an ADIF file. You would do this after you imported the ADIF file into HRD Logbook.

JT-Alert has loads of 'Alert' options - just make sure that you have the PC soundcard (and not your Signalink or similar data soundcard) slected to do the voice alerts.

To keep my main logbook up to dat on the iMac, every so often I export the JT65A entries from HRD Logbook as an ADIF file and import them into the main log.

Have you discovered PSK Reporter? You can see where your signals are being picked up.

I'm learning too, but I'm really enjoying this mode. My best DX so far on 2W (yes 2 watts!) is into Venezuela and Argentina from the UK - quite incredible.

Hope to work you sometime soon

73
Gary
G0DNI
IO82

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "w3mat" <w3mat@...> wrote:
>
> I am really new to JT65, and today was my first attempt at sitting down for a few hours and playing with the new mode. In about a three-four hour window I did manage to make nineteen contacts. I have a question concerning logging. I changed the default drive in the JT65 program to save my log directly onto a flash drive. When operating, if a call comes in that I have already worked, will the JT65 program alert me, or since I changed the address from where the program wanted to default my log will it not search for previously worked calls? Thanks for all your help, and I hope to work you on JT65.
>
> Loon
> W3MAT
> FN11
>

210. Re: Lost my log file

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-10 13:43:12 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 203 Message: 210

Not really sure, but I presume that you have looked for the original default file JT65hf-log.csv? If you select JT65-HF 'Setup', what does the RX/TX history file location box say?

I changed the location of my log file to my 'Dropbox' directory so that I can access it from nay of my computers, but I didn't change the name.

I would have thought that JT65-HF would have created a new JT65hf-log.csv if you renamed the original?

Just thinking out loud here, don't really know the answer I'm afraid.

73
Gary
G0DNI

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "kd1ia" <kd1ia@...> wrote:
>
> HI all, I was trying to find my J65HF log file to sign and send to lotw and I renamed it to see if Icould move it that way. Now it only contains one qso. Any ideas of how to find it. Ive searched and searched. Suggestions accepted
> de John ko1h
>
> kd1ia@...
>

211. Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-12 19:28:05 UTC
From: Brian Smith
Topic: 211 Message: 211

I've been playing around with HF digital modes since the middle of last month, and am currently trying to get JT65 working on those bands. I can successfully get a signal out, according to stations reporting to PSKreporter, but wouldn't know it if anyone replied because decoding doesn't work.

Here's the situation so far:

- Latest JT65-HF running under Wine on Ubuntu 12.04 on HP Mini 110-3130NR

- Other hardware: Yaesu FT-897D and Signalink USB

- Using the correct audio devices for the Signalink

- Audio levels look right (0 if no signal, at least 2-4 with signal)

- Manually syncing with NIST before running the program

- Send/receive SR is settled around .9500-.9600

- Have tried with FFT optimization enabled and disabled... no difference

- The software seems to notice the signals, but doesn't decode them

- I've also tried version 1.7.0 of JT65-HF, but that didn't work either

Could the fact that I only get audio on the left side (whether the Signalink input is configured to be mono or stereo) be related? Also of note is that WSJT can decode received signals perfectly well, but I don't know how to change the message templates in that program for JT65A so that they're correct for HF.

--

Brian Smith WB4ES / avalon73 at caerleon dot us / WWW nexus.caerleon.us

212. Re: Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-13 11:31:04 UTC
From: Jim
Topic: 211 Message: 212

I might have the answer.
I have a web with some setup info check number 3
sounds like your computer timing is off.

http://www.w4wwj.com/jt65.html

Jim w4wwj

213. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-13 18:37:51 UTC
From: Brian Smith
Topic: 211 Message: 213

On Thu, 13 Dec 2012, Jim wrote:

> I might have the answer. I have a web with some setup info check number
> 3 sounds like your computer timing is off.

1) #5 in the list of things I'm already doing (from my original email): Manually syncing with NIST (the same thing that Dimension 4 syncs with, by the way) before running the program

2) If time sync is the problem, then why is it that WSJT has no problem decoding, and why is it that PSKreporter shows spots for my station when I transmit?

Linux seems to be rather good about syncing a computer's clock, anyway, assuming it's properly configured. It's Windows that seems to have issues with that, which is the reason I currently force the clock in my HTPC/DVR to sync once a day.

--

Brian Smith WB4ES / avalon73 at caerleon dot us / WWW nexus.caerleon.us

214. Re: Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-15 05:58:18 UTC
From: Jim
Topic: 211 Message: 214

Go to WWV on your radio 10.000 , 15.000, and 20.000 usb lsb am all should work check you clock from WWV to JT65 see if it's the same time. Most times it will be off by 2 seconds . If so re adjust the clock.
Jim

215. Problem with Windows Vista & SignaLink USB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-18 15:30:43 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 215 Message: 215

Hi Folks,

I'm new to JT-65HF and am having troubles with it working. I use a computer with Windows Vista and have no issues at all with DigiPan,
MixW, MultiPSK or Fldigi. However for some reason, JT65HF can't see the sound card in the SignaLink USB. I continually get the message, "Selected input/output audio devise unavailable."

Using the device manager, I've disabled the normal sound card built into the computer and even uninstalled it.....but still no luck. :-(

Any suggestions?

Thanks in advance,
Bill/K1FPV

216. RE: Windows Vista and SignaLink USB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-18 17:13:33 UTC
From: Loon W3MAT
Topic: 216 Message: 216

Bill,
I run Windows Vista and SignaLink USB, no issues. Run the JT65 repair, then restart the JT65 program and in the setup and change the Sound In: setting to read to something like this... mine is "00-Microsoft Sound Mapper - Input" I found I cannot use the setting with CODEC for sound in, only on sound out. Hope this helps you.
LoonW3MAT

217. How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-18 17:26:39 UTC
From: Loon W3MAT
Topic: 217 Message: 217

I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle). Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more or less wattage?
LoonW3MAT

218. Re: [JT65HF] How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-18 19:05:39 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 217 Message: 218

I run 1 watt out with a pair of softrock ensemble rxtx's. They're set up
for 40-10 with all WARC bands. It's sporty. I've worked JA, VK, and KL7,
but not easily.

I went all-QRP after finally getting my digital mode DXCC.

On Tue, Dec 18, 2012 at 12:26 PM, Loon W3MAT <w3mat@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged
> over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for
> confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running
> anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been
> staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle).
> Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more
> or less wattage?
>
> Loon
> W3MAT
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

219. Re: Windows Vista and SignaLink USB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-18 19:18:33 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 216 Message: 219

Well, I'll be dipped in sssshhhhugar!(sugar) That did the trick! Thanks loon for the help.

Have a Merry Christmas!

73
Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Loon W3MAT wrote:

Bill,
I run Windows Vista and SignaLink USB, no issues. Run the JT65 repair, then restart the JT65 program and in the setup and change the Sound In: setting to read to something like this... mine is "00-Microsoft Sound Mapper - Input" I found I cannot use the setting with CODEC for sound in, only on sound out. Hope this helps you.
LoonW3MAT

220. Re: Windows Vista and SignaLink USB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-18 20:09:01 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 216 Message: 220

Loon.....what setting do you have for PTT ?

Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "k1fpv" wrote:

Well, I'll be dipped in sssshhhhugar!(sugar) That did the trick! Thanks loon for the help.

Have a Merry Christmas!

73
Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Loon W3MAT wrote:

Bill,
I run Windows Vista and SignaLink USB, no issues. Run the JT65 repair, then restart the JT65 program and in the setup and change the Sound In: setting to read to something like this... mine is "00-Microsoft Sound Mapper - Input" I found I cannot use the setting with CODEC for sound in, only on sound out. Hope this helps you.
LoonW3MAT

221. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Windows Vista and SignaLink USB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-18 20:32:57 UTC
From: Loon W3MAT
Topic: 216 Message: 221

Bill,
I don't believe I dod a thing with the PTT. I did when I first downloaded and started using the program, but later found that all I needed to do was make the adjustment I previously mentioned and everything worked fine. I'm at work now or I would be glad to go over my settings with you. I work 3-11 and am home most every weekday morning (my time to play radio since everyone else is off to work and school). If you don't get it figured out this evening, email me direct at w3mat@... tomorrow after 08:00 and I will get with you and go over the settings at my station.
LoonW3MAT

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: k1fpv@...
Date: Tue, 18 Dec 2012 20:09:01 +0000
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Windows Vista and SignaLink USB

Loon.....what setting do you have for PTT ?

Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "k1fpv" wrote:

Well, I'll be dipped in sssshhhhugar!(sugar) That did the trick! Thanks loon for the help.

Have a Merry Christmas!

73

Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Loon W3MAT wrote:

Bill,

I run Windows Vista and SignaLink USB, no issues. Run the JT65 repair, then restart the JT65 program and in the setup and change the Sound In: setting to read to something like this... mine is "00-Microsoft Sound Mapper - Input" I found I cannot use the setting with CODEC for sound in, only on sound out. Hope this helps you.

LoonW3MAT

222. RE: [jt65-hf] How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-19 02:17:09 UTC
From: Loon W3MAT
Topic: 222 Message: 222

Thanks to all of you who took the time to reply to my question. You have all been a big help.

LoonW3MAT

223. Re: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-19 15:31:05 UTC
From: SeaRider
Topic: 217 Message: 223

Loon, I run 10 watts through an indoor dipole. So far I've reached XE, LW, JA and RW from Oregon.

Jim
w7ccz

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Loon W3MAT <w3mat@...> wrote:
>
> I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle). Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more or less wattage?
> LoonW3MAT
>

224. Re: Windows Vista and SignaLink USB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-19 19:37:19 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 216 Message: 224

Hi Loon,

I don't know what I did, but all of a sudden, it is now working. I tried to re-load the drivers and just after that, it worked. The crazy thing is, I did that yesterday with no luck.

Oh well, hopefully see you on JT-65HF. Merry Christmas to all and Happy New Year!

73,
Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Loon W3MAT <w3mat@...> wrote:
>
> Bill,
> I don't believe I dod a thing with the PTT. I did when I first downloaded and started using the program, but later found that all I needed to do was make the adjustment I previously mentioned and everything worked fine. I'm at work now or I would be glad to go over my settings with you. I work 3-11 and am home most every weekday morning (my time to play radio since everyone else is off to work and school). If you don't get it figured out this evening, email me direct at w3mat@... tomorrow after 08:00 and I will get with you and go over the settings at my station.
> LoonW3MAT
>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> From: k1fpv@...
> Date: Tue, 18 Dec 2012 20:09:01 +0000
> Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Windows Vista and SignaLink USB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Loon.....what setting do you have for PTT ?
>
>
>
> Bill/K1FPV
>
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "k1fpv" wrote:
>
>
>
> Well, I'll be dipped in sssshhhhugar!(sugar) That did the trick! Thanks loon for the help.
>
>
>
> Have a Merry Christmas!
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Bill/K1FPV
>
>
>
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Loon W3MAT wrote:
>
>
>
> Bill,
>
> I run Windows Vista and SignaLink USB, no issues. Run the JT65 repair, then restart the JT65 program and in the setup and change the Sound In: setting to read to something like this... mine is "00-Microsoft Sound Mapper - Input" I found I cannot use the setting with CODEC for sound in, only on sound out. Hope this helps you.
>
> LoonW3MAT
>

225. Probable dirty signal detected

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-21 23:23:01 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 225 Message: 225

I've been getting a large number of these error messages using 1.0.9.3. As you can imagine, it puts a real damper on making QSOs, and there's no way to determine the actual transmitter (or transmitters) causing the problem.

I find it happens most often on 40M, and sometimes I can use the contour control on my FT-950 to knock down the loudest signals to mitigate the problem.

Has anyone else experienced this issue? Does it make sense to drop back to an earlier version, in order to eliminate this behavior?

Only two states to WAS on 40M, I'd like to wrap this up...

73,
Steve
W1KF

226. Re: Probable dirty signal detected

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-22 18:50:12 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 225 Message: 226

Hi Steve,

Well, I'm using 1.0.9.3 with Windows Vista and a SignaLink USB. I've found if you have too much audio going in, then it doesn't decode as well. I've got mine set up so that the 2 bar indicators are in the middle regarding input signal level and all goes well. I've also got the Noise Blank block in the lower right corner checked.

Good luck with it and Merry Christmas,
Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "w1kilofoxtrot" <w1kf@...> wrote:
>
> I've been getting a large number of these error messages using 1.0.9.3. As you can imagine, it puts a real damper on making QSOs, and there's no way to determine the actual transmitter (or transmitters) causing the problem.
>
> I find it happens most often on 40M, and sometimes I can use the contour control on my FT-950 to knock down the loudest signals to mitigate the problem.
>
> Has anyone else experienced this issue? Does it make sense to drop back to an earlier version, in order to eliminate this behavior?
>
> Only two states to WAS on 40M, I'd like to wrap this up...
>
> 73,
> Steve
> W1KF
>

227. Keeping Time Sync with Windows Vista

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-27 19:10:50 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 227 Message: 227

Hi Everyone,

Well, I've been working JT-65HF and love it! However, I know that the time setting on the computer is critical. The computer I'm using runs Windows Vista. For some crazy reason, I can't get the computer to sync with any of the (time.nist.gov) A or B servers, or (time.windows.com).
I must manually sync the clock to WWV on my receiver. The computer clock is fast as it gains about 1½ seconds every day.

Has anyone had this problem with Windows Vista? My 2 other computers sync fine. One has Windows XP and the other Windows 7. This Vista machine has been a real pain!

Thanks in advance and Happy New Year to everyone!

73,
Bill/K1FPV

228. Re: [JT65HF] Keeping Time Sync with Windows Vista

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-27 19:23:25 UTC
From: Sander Pool
Topic: 227 Message: 228

Hi,

I've also found that Windows (all flavors, not just Vista) can sometimes have trouble synching their clocks using the built in method. Not sure why. Maybe because that are 23 trillion windows PCs out there.

I've used this little NIST app on one of my XP PCs:

http://www.nist.gov/pml/div688/grp40/its.cfm

Haven't tried it on Vista or W7.

Sander

On 12/27/2012 2:10 PM, k1fpv wrote:
> Has anyone had this problem with Windows Vista? My 2 other computers
> sync fine. One has Windows XP and the other Windows 7. This Vista
> machine has been a real pain!

229. Re: Keeping Time Sync with Windows Vista

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-28 17:52:14 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 227 Message: 229

Hi Sander,

I tried it out and it works! Thanks for the info! Can't get the clock to update at al with the windows clock software, but the NIST app works great.

Have a Happy New Year to you Sander and to all the folks on here!

73,
Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Sander Pool <sander_pool@...> wrote:
>
>
> Hi,
>
> I've also found that Windows (all flavors, not just Vista) can sometimes
> have trouble synching their clocks using the built in method. Not sure
> why. Maybe because that are 23 trillion windows PCs out there.
>
> I've used this little NIST app on one of my XP PCs:
>
> http://www.nist.gov/pml/div688/grp40/its.cfm
>
> Haven't tried it on Vista or W7.
>
> Sander
>
> On 12/27/2012 2:10 PM, k1fpv wrote:
> > Has anyone had this problem with Windows Vista? My 2 other computers
> > sync fine. One has Windows XP and the other Windows 7. This Vista
> > machine has been a real pain!
>

230. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Keeping Time Sync with Windows Vista

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-28 18:44:37 UTC
From: Sander Pool
Topic: 227 Message: 230

Excellent! Glad to hear it.

Sander w1sop

k1fpv <k1fpv@arrl.net> wrote:

>Hi Sander,
>
>I tried it out and it works! Thanks for the info! Can't get the clock to update at al with the windows clock software, but the NIST app works great.
>
>Have a Happy New Year to you Sander and to all the folks on here!
>
>73,
>Bill/K1FPV
>
>--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Sander Pool <sander_pool@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Hi,
>>
>> I've also found that Windows (all flavors, not just Vista) can sometimes
>> have trouble synching their clocks using the built in method. Not sure
>> why. Maybe because that are 23 trillion windows PCs out there.
>>
>> I've used this little NIST app on one of my XP PCs:
>>
>> http://www.nist.gov/pml/div688/grp40/its.cfm
>>
>> Haven't tried it on Vista or W7.
>>
>> Sander
>>
>> On 12/27/2012 2:10 PM, k1fpv wrote:
>> > Has anyone had this problem with Windows Vista? My 2 other computers
>> > sync fine. One has Windows XP and the other Windows 7. This Vista
>> > machine has been a real pain!
>>
>
>

231. JT65HF Log View has been updated

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2012-12-30 13:45:27 UTC
From: oz1bv
Topic: 231 Message: 231

Hi

I have added an extra feature and fixed som minor bugs. Please take a look here:
http://www.oz1bv.dk/util/jt65hf-log-view/

Happy new year to all.

Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian

232. *** UK 60m Band Utilization Page updated -- 1 January 2013

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-01 07:55:07 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 232 Message: 232

A happy new year to everyone!

I have updated the "UK 60m Band Utilization Page" to reflect the brave new world of 60m in the UK:

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/UK_60m_band_utilization.htm

This page contains a link to the new UK 60m Notice of Variation, announced by OFCOM, together with a chart depicting the new band segments in graphical form.

The page also contains the "UK 60m Band Whiteboard", which serves as a platform for ideas put forward by many people on how to utilize the band. The Whiteboard is not a bandplan, nor is it "official" in any way. It is simply a starting point for ideas on band usage, and will almost certainly change as things settle down.

Any suggestions for the Whiteboard should be posted in the "UK 5 Megs" Yahoo Group (http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ukfivemegs/). All future updates to the Whiteboard will appear on the "UK 60m Band Utilization Page".

The page also contains a link to the NoV application form, and a link to the updated worldwide 60m chart from Paul Gaskell, G4WMO.

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

The UK 60m Band Utilization page:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/UK_60m_band_utilization.htm

>

>

>

>

>

233. Happy New Year 2013

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-01 12:41:54 UTC
From: mardikas15
Topic: 233 Message: 233

I wish you all Happy New Year 2013! Enjoy JT65 on HF and hope to meet you there!

Best 73's

Mart, ES2MA

234. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-01 20:47:54 UTC
From: Brian Smith
Topic: 211 Message: 234

On Sat, 15 Dec 2012, Jim wrote:

> Go to WWV on your radio 10.000 , 15.000, and 20.000 usb lsb am all
> should work check you clock from WWV to JT65 see if it's the same time.
> Most times it will be off by 2 seconds . If so re adjust the clock.

Manually synced the clock against us.pool.ntp.org, checked the calibration against the WWV signal at 15MHz, and it's spot on. JT65-HF still can't decode anything, while WSJT has no problems at all in that regard.

Any other theories?

--

Brian Smith WB4ES / avalon73 at caerleon dot us / WWW nexus.caerleon.us
"I don't tan. I don't burn. I implode." -- Nick Knight

235. Re: Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-02 00:22:32 UTC
From: ks4yx
Topic: 211 Message: 235

I also had decoding problems, and noticed that my transmissions were not synchronized with other stations. (I run the program: Dimension 4 for synchronizing the computer clock and the JT-65 software.)
Upon investigation, I happened to notice that the default web page time server was down. I reviewed other possible time sync web page choices; chose a different site than the one given in the default setting, and I immediately noticed a difference.
So, check your synchronization web site and make certain that you are connecting to that service.
--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Brian Smith <avalon73@...> wrote:
>
> On Sat, 15 Dec 2012, Jim wrote:
>
> > Go to WWV on your radio 10.000 , 15.000, and 20.000 usb lsb am all
> > should work check you clock from WWV to JT65 see if it's the same time.
> > Most times it will be off by 2 seconds . If so re adjust the clock.
>
> Manually synced the clock against us.pool.ntp.org, checked the calibration
> against the WWV signal at 15MHz, and it's spot on. JT65-HF still can't
> decode anything, while WSJT has no problems at all in that regard.
>
> Any other theories?
>
> --
> ---
> Brian Smith WB4ES / avalon73 at caerleon dot us / WWW nexus.caerleon.us
> "I don't tan. I don't burn. I implode." -- Nick Knight
>

236. Re: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-02 15:48:28 UTC
From: ortracr
Topic: 217 Message: 236

I usually start at 5 watts and jump in 5 watt increments until I'm heard. Based in the US I find I can pretty much get answers from anyone In Canada, US and Mexico as well as the Caribbean on 5 to 10 watts as well as many western European stations. Into Eastern Europe including Russia I still get good results from 15 to 20 watts. The only time I've had to exceed 20 watts is when the band conditions aren't very good. I've managed to work into the South Pacific and Japan on 20 to 25 watts from the Illinois-Wisconsin State Line. There's even been times with good conditions I've worked Russian stations at 5 watts. Antenna was (just lost it during a blizzard a couple of weeks ago) the S9 31 foot vertical when those calls were accomplished. Currently using a home brewed Windom at 20 feet but haven't had the time to work much JT65 HF yet on that antenna.

Sam NW9T

237. Re: [JT65HF] Re: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-02 21:25:40 UTC
From: Bob Scanferla
Topic: 217 Message: 237

Be very careful with the amount of power you run.....you can damage your finals.....you are sending pretty pure tones for long periods of time, and the heat can build up in the final amplifier stages.....even the JT65 manual warns about this.

B

_____
From: ortracr <ortracr@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 2, 2013 10:48:28 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: How much power?

I usually start at 5 watts and jump in 5 watt increments until I'm heard. Based in the US I find I can pretty much get answers from anyone In Canada, US and Mexico as well as the Caribbean on 5 to 10 watts as well as many western European stations. Into Eastern Europe including Russia I still get good results from 15 to 20 watts. The only time I've had to exceed 20 watts is when the band conditions aren't very good. I've managed to work into the South Pacific and Japan on 20 to 25 watts from the Illinois-Wisconsin State Line. There's even been times with good conditions I've worked Russian stations at 5 watts. Antenna was (just lost it during a blizzard a couple of weeks ago) the S9 31 foot vertical when those calls were accomplished. Currently using a home brewed Windom at 20 feet but haven't had the time to work much JT65 HF yet on that antenna.

Sam NW9T

Yahoo! Groups Links

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

238. Re: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-02 22:26:41 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 217 Message: 238

I began using JT65 in June 2009. To date I have logged 1144 contacts and for 709 I used 5w. Most of the DX was into Europe. The rest were into the Caribbean and South America. I started out using QRO -- 10w to 55w. In January 2011 I exclusively use 5w regardless of the conditions. My Best DX using 5w and a 40M dipole are ZS, EK, UA9, JA, and VK.

I'm certain anybody can top this using a directional antenna.

239. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-03 18:06:38 UTC
From: Brian Smith
Topic: 211 Message: 239

On Wed, 2 Jan 2013, ks4yx wrote:

> So, check your synchronization web site and make certain that you are
> connecting to that service.

Yes, it's connecting. The program for manually syncing the clock on Linux, ntpdate, would have reported an error otherwise. I've also verified against the 15MHz WWV signal as suggested before, as stated in my last email, and the timing doesn't seem like it can get any better.

Are we done beating this horse yet? When I said "Any other theories?", I meant that I'm ready for things to try that don't involve checking what seems pretty thoroughly checked and rechecked by now. If you haven't seen what else I've already tried, go to the group website and look at my original post.

--

Brian Smith WB4ES / avalon73 at caerleon dot us / WWW nexus.caerleon.us
You're just jealous 'cause the voices talk to me...

240. Re: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-06 21:09:00 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 217 Message: 240

I typically use 20 watts, guess you might consider me QRO. I'm a little flustered though. Was on 10, 15 and 20 meters today and tired of the RTTY guys coming over all the JT-65HF frequencies. I went to 17 Meters to avoid the RTTY guys seeing there is a contest, and there is a couple OLIVIA guys on and close to 18.102 MHz. SHEEEESH!!

Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "mefazamy" wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
> I began using JT65 in June 2009. To date I have logged 1144 contacts and for 709 I used 5w. Most of the DX was into Europe. The rest were into the Caribbean and South America. I started out using QRO -- 10w to 55w. In January 2011 I exclusively use 5w regardless of the conditions. My Best DX using 5w and a 40M dipole are ZS, EK, UA9, JA, and VK.
>
> I'm certain anybody can top this using a directional antenna.
>

241. JT65HF Log View has been enhanced.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-09 02:03:58 UTC
From: oz1bv
Topic: 241 Message: 241

Hi

JT65HF Log View is now able to check if a station is already in the log. It is able to check the ADIF file generated by JT65HF and to check Hamradio Deluxe Logbook.

Please note that this version is a beta. If any bugs are found please let me know

http://www.oz1bv.dk/util/jt65hf-log-view/

Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian

242. Re: JT65HF Log View has been enhanced.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-14 17:36:14 UTC
From: Panagiotis
Topic: 241 Message: 242

Thank you Brian it'll be very useful I downloaded it already.
73

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "oz1bv" wrote:
>
> Hi
>
> JT65HF Log View is now able to check if a station is already in the log. It is able to check the ADIF file generated by JT65HF and to check Hamradio Deluxe Logbook.
>
> Please note that this version is a beta. If any bugs are found please let me know
>
> http://www.oz1bv.dk/util/jt65hf-log-view/
>
>
> Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian
>

243. Could my SSD be affecting my system time?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-14 23:33:55 UTC
From: bill_w2kkj
Topic: 243 Message: 243

I am running windows 7 on an ASUS Rampage III Gene motherboard. I recently installed a Solid State Disk drive. Prior to the SSD, I had no problem with Meinberg NTP keeping my system correct within a few tens of milliseconds. After installing the SSD, Meinberg NTP starts with the correct time, but quickly the offset goes out of bounds and Meinberg NTP ceases to correct the clock. It gives up and leaves an error in the Meinberg log. My OS system clock appears to run slow by roughly 13 seconds per hour (around 3600 ppm off). It obviously affects my decodes in JT65-HF.

Going to the BIOS and comparing the clock with WWV, it is bang on. No sign of drift or large error such as seen with the system booted and running. The MB clock is fine.

Has anyone experienced this problem? I am wondering if there is a solution to this issue.

73, Bill
W2KKJ

244. Re: Could my SSD be affecting my system time?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-15 02:22:46 UTC
From: ks4yx
Topic: 243 Message: 244

Bill, I use a program called Dimension 4. Download it for a change of pace from your Meinberg program. Maybe it will do the trick for you.
--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "bill_w2kkj" wrote:
>
> I am running windows 7 on an ASUS Rampage III Gene motherboard. I recently installed a Solid State Disk drive. Prior to the SSD, I had no problem with Meinberg NTP keeping my system correct within a few tens of milliseconds. After installing the SSD, Meinberg NTP starts with the correct time, but quickly the offset goes out of bounds and Meinberg NTP ceases to correct the clock. It gives up and leaves an error in the Meinberg log. My OS system clock appears to run slow by roughly 13 seconds per hour (around 3600 ppm off). It obviously affects my decodes in JT65-HF.
>
> Going to the BIOS and comparing the clock with WWV, it is bang on. No sign of drift or large error such as seen with the system booted and running. The MB clock is fine.
>
> Has anyone experienced this problem? I am wondering if there is a solution to this issue.
>
> 73, Bill
> W2KKJ
>

245. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Could my SSD be affecting my system time?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-15 15:56:27 UTC
From: listbox2
Topic: 243 Message: 245

Thanks for the response. I now do use an SNTP program like Dimension 4 called BktTimeSync. Using Simple NTP, it syncs to only one time server. I really would like to run a full NTP which consults a number of time servers. Meinberg NTP "slews" the clock slowly to the 'right' time rather than resetting the clock. For some reason, all that careful manipulation of the clock by NTP has been upset by the addition of a Solid State Drive.

This may only be a problem with my particular brand of motherboard. I am wondering if anyone else has experienced the problem - and if a solution was found.

-Bill, W2KKJ

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of ks4yx
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 9:23 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Could my SSD be affecting my system time?

Bill, I use a program called Dimension 4. Download it for a change of pace from your Meinberg program. Maybe it will do the trick for you.
--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF%40yahoogroups.com> , "bill_w2kkj" wrote:
>
> I am running windows 7 on an ASUS Rampage III Gene motherboard. I recently installed a Solid State Disk drive. Prior to the SSD, I had no problem with Meinberg NTP keeping my system correct within a few tens of milliseconds. After installing the SSD, Meinberg NTP starts with the correct time, but quickly the offset goes out of bounds and Meinberg NTP ceases to correct the clock. It gives up and leaves an error in the Meinberg log. My OS system clock appears to run slow by roughly 13 seconds per hour (around 3600 ppm off). It obviously affects my decodes in JT65-HF.
>
> Going to the BIOS and comparing the clock with WWV, it is bang on. No sign of drift or large error such as seen with the system booted and running. The MB clock is fine.
>
> Has anyone experienced this problem? I am wondering if there is a solution to this issue.
>
> 73, Bill
> W2KKJ
>

246. JT65-HF Log View has been updated

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-15 22:07:23 UTC
From: oz1bv
Topic: 246 Message: 246

Hi JT65 Group

I just made some further enhancdments to my Little log viewer:
http://www.oz1bv.dk/util/jt65hf-log-view/

The homepage it self also got af face lift.

Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian

247. RB/PSKR not reporting?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-23 14:06:17 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 247 Message: 247

I've noticed that occasionally I get a message in the menu bar that says that RB is not logged in. The RB counter at the bottom of the window is shows zero in red and the PSKR counter stays at zero.

As far as I'm aware, I haven't changed anything in the JT65-HF software and I've been reporting to both the RB network and PSKR successfully for ages. Today I have the 'RB Enabled, not logged in QRG=14076' message again.

I'm using HRD and this is correctly setting the frequency in JT65-HF and the RB and PSKR boxes are both ticked.

I'm running Windows XP and the only thing that might have changed is that one of the Windows updates might have started blocking JT65-HF. I've gone into the Windows Firewall setting and set the Firewall up to allow JT65-HF to connect - although it worked fine before. I've even tried tuning the firewall off, but I still get the RB Enabled, not logged in' message.

Could this be a problem at the RB end I wonder?

73
Gary
G0DNI

249. Re: RB/PSKR not reporting?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-24 09:18:33 UTC
From: Gary
Topic: 247 Message: 249

It looks like there is a problem with the server - message on the JT65-HF Google group.

https://groups.google.com/forum/#!topic/jt65-hf/_2yhB2wc3ys

73
Gary
G0DNI

250. (no subject)

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-24 20:02:21 UTC
From: YV5MM Manuel Mosquera
Topic: 250 Message: 250

Gentlemen: I am a new comer to this group, but and old user of JT65HF with Windows XP. I have WAS worked in JT65HF, and I was very happy until I bought a new computer with WINDOWS 7.
I worked WSPR, and JT9-1 and it works fine. but JT65HF no decodes.....
I have read all your coments with the same question....73 de Manuel YV5MM (81)

Manuel Mosquera RamirezYV5MM
RADIO CLUB VENEZOLANO
Miembro # 1347 desde 1970
42 años al servicio de la humanidad
P.O. Box 20285Caracas 1020A D.C.

251. (no subject)

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-26 21:17:32 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 250 Message: 251

Hi Manuel,

I had trouble using Windows Vista which is a close cousin to Windows 7 but with more problems. Initially I had trouble getting JT-65HF to decode myself. I was using SignaLink USB.

For the sound input device, I listed it as 00-Microsoft Sound Mapper for the input and 03-Speakers(USB Audio CODEC) for the sound output device.

I'm not sure if this is what your problem is or not, but I hope this information helps you.

73,
Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, YV5MM Manuel Mosquera wrote:
>
> Gentlemen: I am a new comer to this group, but and old user of JT65HF with Windows XP. I have WAS worked in JT65HF, and I was very happy until I bought a new computer with WINDOWS 7.
> I worked WSPR, and JT9-1 and it works fine. but JT65HF no decodes.....
> I have read all your coments with the same question....73 de Manuel YV5MM (81)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Manuel Mosquera RamirezYV5MM
> RADIO CLUB VENEZOLANO
> Miembro # 1347 desde 1970
> 42 años al servicio de la humanidad
> P.O. Box 20285Caracas 1020A D.C.
>
>
>
>

252. Re: [JT65HF] (unknown)

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-27 00:41:24 UTC
From: YV5MM Manuel Mosquera
Topic: 250 Message: 252

Hi Bill: Many thanks for your help.... I think that there is something with the mother board of the new compu. My friends YV6ALX and YV6GM are helping me. Today I made some QSO´s in JT65 finally but still having problem with decodes..... I really thank you for your interest in helping me... Best 73 de Manuel YV5MM

Manuel Mosquera RamirezYV5MM
RADIO CLUB VENEZOLANO
Miembro # 1347 desde 1970
42 años al servicio de la humanidad
P.O. Box 20285Caracas 1020A D.C.

--- On Sat, 1/26/13, k1fpv <k1fpv@...> wrote:

From: k1fpv <k1fpv@...>
Subject: [JT65HF] (unknown)
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Saturday, January 26, 2013, 4:17 PM

Hi Manuel,

I had trouble using Windows Vista which is a close cousin to Windows 7 but with more problems. Initially I had trouble getting JT-65HF to decode myself. I was using SignaLink USB.

For the sound input device, I listed it as 00-Microsoft Sound Mapper for the input and 03-Speakers(USB Audio CODEC) for the sound output device.

I'm not sure if this is what your problem is or not, but I hope this information helps you.

73,

Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, YV5MM Manuel Mosquera wrote:

>

> Gentlemen: I am a new comer to this group, but and old user of JT65HF with Windows XP. I have WAS worked in JT65HF, and I was very happy until I bought a new computer with WINDOWS 7.

> I worked WSPR, and JT9-1 and it works fine. but JT65HF no decodes.....

> I have read all your coments with the same question....73 de Manuel YV5MM (81)

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Manuel Mosquera RamirezYV5MM

> RADIO CLUB VENEZOLANO

> Miembro # 1347 desde 1970

> 42 años al servicio de la humanidad

> P.O. Box 20285Caracas 1020A D.C.

>

>

>

>

253. Re: (unknown)

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-01-27 17:58:59 UTC
From: k1fpv
Topic: 250 Message: 253

Good Luck Manuel,

I hope you get everything resolved. Perhaps we will connect on one of the bands using JT-65HF.

73,
Bill/K1FPV

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, YV5MM Manuel Mosquera wrote:
>
> Hi Bill: Many thanks for your help.... I think that there is something with the mother board of the new compu. My friends YV6ALX and YV6GM are helping me. Today I made some QSOÂ´s in JT65 finally but still having problem with decodes..... I really thank you for your interest in helping me... Best 73 de Manuel YV5MM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Manuel Mosquera RamirezYV5MM
> RADIO CLUB VENEZOLANOÂ
> Miembro # 1347 desde 1970
> 42 aÃ±os al servicio de la humanidad
> P.O. Box 20285Caracas 1020A D.C.
>
>
> Â Â
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> --- On Sat, 1/26/13, k1fpv wrote:
>
> From: k1fpv
> Subject: [JT65HF] (unknown)
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Saturday, January 26, 2013, 4:17 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Â
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Hi Manuel,
>
>
>
> I had trouble using Windows Vista which is a close cousin to Windows 7 but with more problems. Initially I had trouble getting JT-65HF to decode myself. I was using SignaLink USB.
>
>
>
> For the sound input device, I listed it as 00-Microsoft Sound Mapper for the input and 03-Speakers(USB Audio CODEC) for the sound output device.

>
>
>
> I'm not sure if this is what your problem is or not, but I hope this information helps you.
>
>
>
> 73,
>
> Bill/K1FPV
>
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, YV5MM Manuel Mosquera wrote:
>
> >
>
> > Gentlemen: I am a new comer to this group, but and old user of JT65HF with Windows XP. I have WAS worked in JT65HF, and I was very happy until I bought a new computer with WINDOWS 7.
>
> > I workedÂ WSPR, and JT9-1 and it works fine. but JT65HF no decodes.....
>
> > I have read all your coments with the same question....73 de Manuel YV5MM (81)
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>
> >
>

> > Manuel Mosquera RamirezYV5MM
>
> > RADIO CLUB VENEZOLANOÂ
>
> > Miembro # 1347 desde 1970
>
> > 42 aÃ±os al servicio de la humanidad
>
> > P.O. Box 20285Caracas 1020A D.C.
>
> >
>
> >
>
> > Â Â
>
> >
>

254. No Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-07 05:10:21 UTC
From: wayne
Topic: 254 Message: 254

Hello group I am new here and was having problems with decode on JT65-HF I have other computers and they were all working fine, only one computer would not play rite on JT65-HF. Here is what I found and hopefully this might help some one else. I have D4 installed and working fine so it is not a time issue. (The problem was the SPEED of the water fall) which after reading the manual (HI HI) that faster speeds will not get very many decodes but set at (0) it gets lots of signals decoded. I hope this will help some one else that is having decode problems.

Wayne AA5JJ

255. Re: [JT65HF] No Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-07 05:24:42 UTC
From: Neil Wilson
Topic: 254 Message: 255

Thanks.
9Y4NW

From: wayne <aa5jj@suddenlink.net>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 7, 2013 1:10 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] No Decodes

Hello group I am new here and was having problems with decode on JT65-HF I have other computers and they were all working fine, only one computer would not play rite on JT65-HF. Here is what I found and hopefully this might help some one else. I have D4 installed and working fine so it is not a time issue. (The problem was the SPEED of the water fall) which after reading the manual (HI HI) that faster speeds will not get very many decodes but set at (0) it gets lots of signals decoded. I hope this will help some one else that is having decode problems.

Wayne AA5JJ

256. Re: [JT65HF] No Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-07 13:11:44 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 254 Message: 256

I hope someone else supplies the real answer. I know I had trouble with some digital modes using virtual audio cable (VAC). It turns out I had to use version 4.9, as version 4.10 did not work properly. I didn't diagnose it, just fixed it and moved on.

On Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 12:10 AM, wayne <aa5jj@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> Hello group I am new here and was having problems with decode on JT65-HF I
> have other computers and they were all working fine, only one computer
> would not play rite on JT65-HF. Here is what I found and hopefully this
> might help some one else. I have D4 installed and working fine so it is not
> a time issue. (The problem was the SPEED of the water fall) which after
> reading the manual (HI HI) that faster speeds will not get very many
> decodes but set at (0) it gets lots of signals decoded. I hope this will
> help some one else that is having decode problems.
>
> Wayne AA5JJ
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

257. JT65-HF Log View has been updated

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-08 10:19:41 UTC
From: <ox3io@...>
Topic: 246 Message: 257

Please take a look here: http://www.oz1bv.dk/util/jt65hf-log-view

Vy 73 de OX3IO, Brian

258. Re: [JT65HF] No Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-09 12:41:26 UTC
From: Acarsd (nowo)
Topic: 254 Message: 258

Interesting as I have moved from version 4.10 to version 4.12 to get rid of a decoding problem.

Cheers,
Dick (PA2015).

----- Original Message -----
From: Albert Gerheim
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2013 2:11 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] No Decodes

I hope someone else supplies the real answer. I know I had trouble with some digital modes using virtual audio cable (VAC). It turns out I had to use version 4.9, as version 4.10 did not work properly. I didn't diagnose it, just fixed it and moved on.

On Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 12:10 AM, wayne <aa5jj@...> wrote:

Hello group I am new here and was having problems with decode on JT65-HF I have other computers and they were all working fine, only one computer would not play rite on JT65-HF. Here is what I found and hopefully this might help some one else. I have D4 installed and working fine so it is not a time issue. (The problem was the SPEED of the water fall) which after reading the manual (HI HI) that faster speeds will not get very many decodes but set at (0) it gets lots of signals decoded. I hope this will help some one else that is having decode problems.

Wayne AA5JJ

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

259. Re: Probable dirty signal detected

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-10 15:24:48 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 225 Message: 259

As a follow-up:

I've started losing more and more decode cycles due to this error. It's happening on more bands. It always happens when I get a very strong signal (>S9). It sometimes happens even when I attenuate the heck out of the FT-950 front end. It does -not- seem to happen when I use 1.0.7. I say seem to, because, of course, I can't run them simultaneously. Today however, I had consistent errors, for a long time, from one user. Switching back and forth between the versions demonstrated 1.0.7 would decode when 1.0.9.3 would not.

Has anyone heard from Joe? I understand he's been suffering from some medical issues. I hope he's doing OK. I'm not so worried about getting a fix (1.0.7 is working), but more understanding if there is a software explanation for the behavior, or if I'm doing something wrong.

73,
Steve
W1KF

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "w1kilofoxtrot" wrote:
>
> I've been getting a large number of these error messages using 1.0.9.3. As you can imagine, it puts a real damper on making QSOs, and there's no way to determine the actual transmitter (or transmitters) causing the problem.
>
> I find it happens most often on 40M, and sometimes I can use the contour control on my FT-950 to knock down the loudest signals to mitigate the problem.
>
> Has anyone else experienced this issue? Does it make sense to drop back to an earlier version, in order to eliminate this behavior?
>
> Only two states to WAS on 40M, I'd like to wrap this up...
>
> 73,
> Steve
> W1KF
>

260. Re: [JT65HF] No Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-10 16:32:29 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 254 Message: 260

Thanks! It's tempting to shift from 4.09 to 4.12, but if it works, don't fix it.

On Sat, Feb 9, 2013 at 7:41 AM, Acarsd (nowo) <acarsd@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> **
> Interesting as I have moved from version 4.10 to version 4.12 to get rid
> of a decoding problem.
>
> Cheers,
> Dick (PA2015).
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* Albert Gerheim <alpg49@...m>
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Thursday, February 07, 2013 2:11 PM
> *Subject:* Re: [JT65HF] No Decodes
>
>
>
> I hope someone else supplies the real answer. I know I had trouble with
> some digital modes using virtual audio cable (VAC). It turns out I had to
> use version 4.9, as version 4.10 did not work properly. I didn't diagnose
> it, just fixed it and moved on.
>
>
> On Thu, Feb 7, 2013 at 12:10 AM, wayne <aa5jj@suddenlink.net> wrote:
>
>> **
>>
>>
>> Hello group I am new here and was having problems with decode on JT65-HF
>> I have other computers and they were all working fine, only one computer
>> would not play rite on JT65-HF. Here is what I found and hopefully this
>> might help some one else. I have D4 installed and working fine so it is not
>> a time issue. (The problem was the SPEED of the water fall) which after
>> reading the manual (HI HI) that faster speeds will not get very many
>> decodes but set at (0) it gets lots of signals decoded. I hope this will
>> help some one else that is having decode problems.
>>
>> Wayne AA5JJ

>>
>>
>
>
> --
> Al Gerheim
> Adopt a Homeless Pet
> http://www.petfinder.com
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

261. Re: Probable dirty signal detected

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-14 22:10:42 UTC
From: w1kilofoxtrot
Topic: 225 Message: 261

Second follow-up, and more notes:

I had forgotten that 1.0.7 will decode in a time interval if you've hit TX. 1.0.9.3 seems to just give up if there has been even a second of TX in an interval; you lose the decode for the whole minute.

I'm liking 1.0.7 more each day, even if I do miss the minute separator... :-)

73,
Steve
W1KF

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "w1kilofoxtrot" wrote:
>
> As a follow-up:
>
> I've started losing more and more decode cycles due to this error. It's happening on more bands. It always happens when I get a very strong signal (>S9). It sometimes happens even when I attenuate the heck out of the FT-950 front end. It does -not- seem to happen when I use 1.0.7. I say seem to, because, of course, I can't run them simultaneously. Today however, I had consistent errors, for a long time, from one user. Switching back and forth between the versions demonstrated 1.0.7 would decode when 1.0.9.3 would not.
>
> Has anyone heard from Joe? I understand he's been suffering from some medical issues. I hope he's doing OK. I'm not so worried about getting a fix (1.0.7 is working), but more understanding if there is a software explanation for the behavior, or if I'm doing something wrong.
>
> 73,
> Steve
> W1KF
>
> --- In JT65HF@...m, "w1kilofoxtrot" wrote:
> >
> > I've been getting a large number of these error messages using 1.0.9.3. As you can imagine, it puts a real damper on making QSOs, and there's no way to determine the actual transmitter (or transmitters) causing the problem.
> >
> > I find it happens most often on 40M, and sometimes I can use the contour control on my FT-950 to knock down the loudest signals to mitigate the problem.
> >
> > Has anyone else experienced this issue? Does it make sense to drop back to an earlier version, in order to eliminate this behavior?
> >
> > Only two states to WAS on 40M, I'd like to wrap this up...
> >

> > 73,
> > Steve
> > W1KF
> >
>

262. JT65-HF Log View has been updated

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-02-18 21:37:25 UTC
From: <ox3io@...>
Topic: 246 Message: 262

Hi

A new redesigned quite stable beta version is ready download:
http://www.oz1bv.dk/util/jt65hf-log-view/

Note: I need to finish a search- and print function before next release will be available.

Best 73 de OX3IO, Brian

265. Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-03-11 05:16:05 UTC
From: k8jtd
Topic: 265 Message: 265

I am sure that I have been having this issue for a few days now. The audio output from JT65-HF doesn't have the clean audio tone. I first thought that the radio may have been over driving the signal. Finally disconnected the radio and began checking the signalink USB sound card. Ruled it out and switched to another sound card and sent several test signals through the sound card. The tone being sent has a very rough, buzzing, interference sound to it. It now seems to be an issue with the program or its picking up some kind of interference from the computer. Everything is plugged into grounded electrical outlets with RFI filters.

The computer I am using is a Dell 270 Vostro Desktop.

Any thoughts, suggestions would greatly be appreciated.

Jeff de K8JTD

266. Re: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-03-12 11:18:20 UTC
From: k8jtd
Topic: 265 Message: 266

Problem solved. Found to be a bad external USB hub.

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "k8jtd" <k8jtd@...> wrote:
>
> I am sure that I have been having this issue for a few days now. The audio output from JT65-HF doesn't have the clean audio tone. I first thought that the radio may have been over driving the signal. Finally disconnected the radio and began checking the signalink USB sound card. Ruled it out and switched to another sound card and sent several test signals through the sound card. The tone being sent has a very rough, buzzing, interference sound to it. It now seems to be an issue with the program or its picking up some kind of interference from the computer. Everything is plugged into grounded electrical outlets with RFI filters.
>
> The computer I am using is a Dell 270 Vostro Desktop.
>
> Any thoughts, suggestions would greatly be appreciated.
>
> Jeff de K8JTD
>

267. QRG Window v 1.0.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-03-31 17:46:47 UTC
From: Bill Duffy
Topic: 267 Message: 267

Greetings all.....The figures in the QRG window in my JT65-HF all of a sudden 'Grayed Out'.
There is no interaction between the Software and my IC 746 PRO. If I QSY on the rig, the Window follows. But not vice versa.
I use DXLabs as my Logging software.
Any thoughts/ideas/suggestions?
I have gone through the JT software several times and I can't figure out what's wrong!!
73
Bill
KA0VXK

268. Fw: QRG Window v 1.0.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-06 01:15:51 UTC
From: Bill Duffy
Topic: 268 Message: 268

I sent this about a week ago and may have missed any possible replies.
Tnx es 73
Bill
KA0VXK

-----Original Message-----
From: Bill Duffy
Sent: Sunday, March 31, 2013 12:46 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: QRG Window v 1.0.7

Greetings all.....The figures in the QRG window in my JT65-HF all of a sudden 'Grayed Out'.
There is no interaction between the Software and my IC 746 PRO. If I QSY on the rig, the Window follows. But not vice versa.
I use DXLabs as my Logging software.
Any thoughts/ideas/suggestions?
I have gone through the JT software several times and I can't figure out what's wrong!!
73
Bill
KA0VXK

269. JT65HF with win8 64 bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-22 06:51:51 UTC
From: Ugo
Topic: 269 Message: 269

Hi all.
I'm buying a new laptop with win8 64 bit and I'm wondering if JT65HF runs properly in this environment or if is better to remove win8 and install win7.
I'm also wondering if JT65HF works fine with a 64bit operative system.
Thanks in advance for your replies.
73 Ugo - IZ3WYH

270. Re: [JT65HF] JT65HF with win8 64 bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-23 03:49:05 UTC
From: Joe DiGrazia
Topic: 269 Message: 270

JT65HF works fine with a 64bit operative system. No problems. I got Windows7. I'm sure you'll be fine on Windows8. Let us know!!

From: Ugo <ugo.depolo@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 1:51 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65HF with win8 64 bit

Hi all.
I'm buying a new laptop with win8 64 bit and I'm wondering if JT65HF runs properly in this environment or if is better to remove win8 and install win7.
I'm also wondering if JT65HF works fine with a 64bit operative system.
Thanks in advance for your replies.
73 Ugo - IZ3WYH

271. Re: [JT65HF] JT65HF with win8 64 bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-23 04:08:48 UTC
From: Joe DiGrazia
Topic: 269 Message: 271

I forgot, You can always run a program in Compatibility Mode, so no need to remove Windows8. Right click on program Icon, click Properties, Then Compatibility. Enjoy your new computer! 73 KC9RNK

From: Joe DiGrazia <joedigrazia@...>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 10:49 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65HF with win8 64 bit

JT65HF works fine with a 64bit operative system. No problems. I got Windows7. I'm sure you'll be fine on Windows8. Let us know!!

From: Ugo <ugo.depolo@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 1:51 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65HF with win8 64 bit

Hi all. I'm buying a new laptop with win8 64 bit and I'm wondering if JT65HF runs properly in this environment or if is better to remove win8 and install win7. I'm also wondering if JT65HF works fine with a 64bit operative system. Thanks in advance for your replies. 73 Ugo - IZ3WYH

272. Re: JT65HF with win8 64 bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-23 08:33:47 UTC
From: Ugo - IZ3WYH
Topic: 269 Message: 272

Hi all.
Thanks a lot for your replies.
I will take win8 on my laptop !!!
Thanks again.
73, Ugo IZ3WYH

273. Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-26 23:20:48 UTC
From: ian9220
Topic: 273 Message: 273

First off I am usimg an AMD Athlon 1.4GHz processor, a RigEpert Ti and HRD in the background to give complete CAT control over my IC-756 mk1. AFAIK I have the latest version of the JT65 HF software.
All the hardware is working fine.I apologise if it's a daft question but it's a teep learning curve for an old timer like me.

This is the most basic question and it is this.

I runthe JT65 HF software and see lots of signals on the waterfall..I have the software set to decode every thing it sees At the end of about the 48th second everything goes quiet and the decoding of the signals begins. If this happened to be an odd minute,then I would presumably have to call any CQer in the next even minute.I could double click on the CQer, enable my TX etc all in the last ten seconds of that odd minute and my transmission would start at the beginning of the following even minute, So far so good.(I think) Problem is that by the time that the decoder has finished decoding all the signals it saw in the odd minute it's already into the next even minute. So have I missed my chance or do I have to wait through the remainder of the even minute and the first 48 seconds of the next odd minute before I can set up my call for the start of the next even minute? Almost certainly someone else has called and I don't have ahance. I am probably missing some fundamental idea of how it's supposed to work. Can anyone put me right please?

Ian

274. Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-27 00:42:52 UTC
From: kg6mc
Topic: 273 Message: 274

You do not have to start exactly at the :00 mark. You can start your first transmission up to :15 seconds after.

It sounds like your computer is running too slow to keep up with the many transmissions on a busy band. Try closing any other program that is running, this should speed up your processing time. Also, after you start transmitting, the Enable Multi check mark should go away and you will only be decoding the one station you are in QSO with. This should go a lot faster.

Bob, KG6MC

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ian9220" <ian.dredge@...> wrote:
>
> First off I am usimg an AMD Athlon 1.4GHz processor, a RigEpert Ti and HRD in the background to give complete CAT control over my IC-756 mk1. AFAIK I have the latest version of the JT65 HF software.
> All the hardware is working fine.I apologise if it's a daft question but it's a teep learning curve for an old timer like me.
>
> This is the most basic question and it is this.
>
>
> I runthe JT65 HF software and see lots of signals on the waterfall..I have the software set to decode every thing it sees At the end of about the 48th second everything goes quiet and the decoding of the signals begins. If this happened to be an odd minute,then I would presumably have to call any CQer in the next even minute.I could double click on the CQer, enable my TX etc all in the last ten seconds of that odd minute and my transmission would start at the beginning of the following even minute, So far so good.(I think) Problem is that by the time that the decoder has finished decoding all the signals it saw in the odd minute it's already into the next even minute. So have I missed my chance or do I have to wait through the remainder of the even minute and the first 48 seconds of the next odd minute before I can set up my call for the start of the next even minute? Almost certainly someone else has called and I don't have ahance. I am probably missing some fundamental idea of how it's supposed to work. Can anyone put me right please?
>
>
> Ian
>

275. Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-27 07:43:19 UTC
From: ian9220
Topic: 273 Message: 275

Thanks for that Bob, I didn't know that. I did close down all the stuff running in the background and it didn't seem to make a great deal of difference. But now you have explained that I don't have to start on the dot, it will probably work OK. If I select just one trace on the waterfall and turn off Multi it copes OK. Sitting here and watching VK stations calling CQ is quite amazing. Now I will call them and see what happens.I will also try to speed things up on the computer by ditching stuff which is running in the backgound. Thanks again Bob.

Ian

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "kg6mc" <kg6mc@...> wrote:
>
> You do not have to start exactly at the :00 mark. You can start your first transmission up to :15 seconds after.
>
> It sounds like your computer is running too slow to keep up with the many transmissions on a busy band. Try closing any other program that is running, this should speed up your processing time. Also, after you start transmitting, the Enable Multi check mark should go away and you will only be decoding the one station you are in QSO with. This should go a lot faster.
>
> Bob, KG6MC
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ian9220" <ian.dredge@> wrote:
> >
> > First off I am usimg an AMD Athlon 1.4GHz processor, a RigEpert Ti and HRD in the background to give complete CAT control over my IC-756 mk1. AFAIK I have the latest version of the JT65 HF software.
> > All the hardware is working fine.I apologise if it's a daft question but it's a teep learning curve for an old timer like me.
> >
> > This is the most basic question and it is this.
> >
> >
> > I runthe JT65 HF software and see lots of signals on the waterfall..I have the software set to decode every thing it sees At the end of about the 48th second everything goes quiet and the decoding of the signals begins. If this happened to be an odd minute,then I would presumably have to call any CQer in the next even minute.I could double click on the CQer, enable my TX etc all in the last ten seconds of that odd minute and my transmission would start at the beginning of the following even minute, So far so good.(I think) Problem is that by the time that the decoder has finished decoding all the signals it saw in the odd minute it's already into the next even minute. So have I missed my chance or do I have to wait through the remainder of the even minute and the first 48 seconds of the next odd minute before I can set up my call for the start of the next even minute? Almost certainly someone else has called and I don't have ahance. I am probably missing some fundamental

idea of how it's supposed to work. Can anyone put me right please?
> >
> >
> > Ian
> >
>

276. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-27 11:19:08 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 273 Message: 276

Ian, I believe that the JT-65 instructions recommend at least a 2.0 GHz computer. You should probably ditch the HRD too. I know it looks cool, but until you get a much faster processor you should just run JT-65 and a timing program like Dimension 4.0. I use a 1.4 GHz netbook computer (smaller than a laptop) while mobile and it regularly copies up to 8 stations at a time on 20 Meters in about 2 seconds. However, the only programs running are Dimension 4.0 and JT-65. Like you said it is pretty neat to work VK, ZL, JA and ZS stations on 40 Meters with only 15 watts and a vertical antenna from South Carolina, but with JT-65 I can do it several times a week just before dawn local time. Let me know your call and I'll look for you on the air, Bob, KG6MC To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: ian.dredge@...
Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2013 07:43:19 +0000
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Thanks for that Bob, I didn't know that. I did close down all the stuff running in the background and it didn't seem to make a great deal of difference. But now you have explained that I don't have to start on the dot, it will probably work OK. If I select just one trace on the waterfall and turn off Multi it copes OK. Sitting here and watching VK stations calling CQ is quite amazing. Now I will call them and see what happens.I will also try to speed things up on the computer by ditching stuff which is running in the backgound. Thanks again Bob.

Ian

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "kg6mc" <kg6mc@...> wrote:

>

> You do not have to start exactly at the :00 mark. You can start your first transmission up to :15 seconds after.

>

> It sounds like your computer is running too slow to keep up with the many transmissions on a busy band. Try closing any other program that is running, this should speed up your processing time. Also, after you start transmitting, the Enable Multi check mark should go away and you will only be decoding the one station you are in QSO with. This should go a lot faster.

>

> Bob, KG6MC

>

> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ian9220" <ian.dredge@> wrote:

> >

> > First off I am usimg an AMD Athlon 1.4GHz processor, a RigEpert Ti and HRD in the background to give complete CAT control over my IC-756 mk1. AFAIK I have the latest version of the JT65 HF software.

> > All the hardware is working fine.I apologise if it's a daft question but it's a teep learning curve for an old timer like me.

> >

> > This is the most basic question and it is this.

> >

> >

> > I runthe JT65 HF software and see lots of signals on the waterfall..I have the software set to decode every thing it sees At the end of about the 48th second everything goes quiet and the decoding of the signals begins. If this happened to be an odd minute,then I would presumably have to call any CQer in the next even minute.I could double click on the CQer, enable my TX etc all in the last ten seconds of that odd minute and my transmission would start at the beginning of the following even minute, So far so good.(I think) Problem is that by the time that the decoder has finished decoding all the signals it saw in the odd minute it's already into the next even minute. So have I missed my chance or do I have to wait through the remainder of the even minute and the first 48 seconds of the next odd minute before I can set up my call for the start of the next even minute? Almost certainly someone else has called and I don't have ahance. I am probably missing some fundamental idea of how it's supposed to work. Can anyone put me right please?

> >

> >

> > Ian

> >

>

277. Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-27 18:35:44 UTC
From: ian9220
Topic: 273 Message: 277

Hi Bob,

I do have the Dimension 4 running to keep the clock synchronised. I had not read anywhere about the processor speed required.The only reason that I rn HRD is for the cat facility. This version of JT65HF doesn't appear to support the Hamlib type of interface such as I see in various JT65HF setup and operating instructions on the web. I do have a fairly fast kaptop which has little or nothing running on it so will give that a whirl. Call is G8ATV but I doubt I will be doing much until I get the decoding issues sorted. Many thanks for your advice.

73, Ian
--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Bob Boroughs <kg6mc@...> wrote:
>
> Ian, I believe that the JT-65 instructions recommend at least a 2.0 GHz computer. You should probably ditch the HRD too. I know it looks cool, but until you get a much faster processor you should just run JT-65 and a timing program like Dimension 4.0. I use a 1.4 GHz netbook computer (smaller than a laptop) while mobile and it regularly copies up to 8 stations at a time on 20 Meters in about 2 seconds. However, the only programs running are Dimension 4.0 and JT-65. Like you said it is pretty neat to work VK, ZL, JA and ZS stations on 40 Meters with only 15 watts and a vertical antenna from South Carolina, but with JT-65 I can do it several times a week just before dawn local time. Let me know your call and I'll look for you on the air, Bob, KG6MC To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> From: ian.dredge@...
> Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2013 07:43:19 +0000
> Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Thanks for that Bob, I didn't know that. I did close down all the stuff running in the background and it didn't seem to make a great deal of difference. But now you have explained that I don't have to start on the dot, it will probably work OK. If I select just one trace on the waterfall and turn off Multi it copes OK. Sitting here and watching VK stations calling CQ is quite amazing. Now I will call them and see what happens.I will also try to speed things up on the computer by ditching stuff which is running in the backgound. Thanks again Bob.
>
>
>
> Ian
>
>
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "kg6mc" <kg6mc@> wrote:
>
> >
>
> > You do not have to start exactly at the :00 mark. You can start your first transmission up to :15 seconds after.
>
> >
>
> > It sounds like your computer is running too slow to keep up with the many transmissions on a busy band. Try closing any other program that is running, this should speed up your processing time. Also, after you start transmitting, the Enable Multi check mark should go away and you will only be decoding the one station you are in QSO with. This should go a lot faster.
>
> >
>
> > Bob, KG6MC
>
> >
>
> > --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ian9220" <ian.dredge@> wrote:
>
> > >
>
> > > First off I am usimg an AMD Athlon 1.4GHz processor, a RigEpert Ti and HRD in the background to give complete CAT control over my IC-756 mk1. AFAIK I have the latest version of the JT65 HF software.
>
> > > All the hardware is working fine.I apologise if it's a daft question but it's a teep

learning curve for an old timer like me.
>
> > >
>
> > > This is the most basic question and it is this.
>
> > >
>
> > >
>
> > > I runthe JT65 HF software and see lots of signals on the waterfall..I have the software set to decode every thing it sees At the end of about the 48th second everything goes quiet and the decoding of the signals begins. If this happened to be an odd minute,then I would presumably have to call any CQer in the next even minute.I could double click on the CQer, enable my TX etc all in the last ten seconds of that odd minute and my transmission would start at the beginning of the following even minute, So far so good.(I think) Problem is that by the time that the decoder has finished decoding all the signals it saw in the odd minute it's already into the next even minute. So have I missed my chance or do I have to wait through the remainder of the even minute and the first 48 seconds of the next odd minute before I can set up my call for the start of the next even minute? Almost certainly someone else has called and I don't have ahance. I am probably missing some fundamental idea of how it's supposed to work. Can anyone put me right please?
>
> > >
>
> > >
>
> > > Ian
>
> > >
>
> >
>

278. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-28 20:04:43 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 273 Message: 278

Ian, OK. Good luck getting your equipment running. Give me a call if you see me on the waterfall. 73's,Bob, KG6MC
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: ian.dredge@...
Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2013 18:35:44 +0000
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Hi Bob,

I do have the Dimension 4 running to keep the clock synchronised. I had not read anywhere about the processor speed required.The only reason that I rn HRD is for the cat facility. This version of JT65HF doesn't appear to support the Hamlib type of interface such as I see in various JT65HF setup and operating instructions on the web. I do have a fairly fast kaptop which has little or nothing running on it so will give that a whirl. Call is G8ATV but I doubt I will be doing much until I get the decoding issues sorted. Many thanks for your advice.

73, Ian

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Bob Boroughs <kg6mc@...> wrote:

>

> Ian, I believe that the JT-65 instructions recommend at least a 2.0 GHz computer. You should probably ditch the HRD too. I know it looks cool, but until you get a much faster processor you should just run JT-65 and a timing program like Dimension 4.0. I use a 1.4 GHz netbook computer (smaller than a laptop) while mobile and it regularly copies up to 8 stations at a time on 20 Meters in about 2 seconds. However, the only programs running are Dimension 4.0 and JT-65. Like you said it is pretty neat to work VK, ZL, JA and ZS stations on 40 Meters with only 15 watts and a vertical antenna from South Carolina, but with JT-65 I can do it several times a week just before dawn local time. Let me know your call and I'll look for you on the air, Bob, KG6MC To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com

> From: ian.dredge@...

> Date: Sat, 27 Apr 2013 07:43:19 +0000

> Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Thanks for that Bob, I didn't know that. I did close down all the stuff running in the background and it didn't seem to make a great deal of difference. But now you have explained that I don't have to start on the dot, it will probably work OK. If I select just one trace on the waterfall and turn off Multi it copes OK. Sitting here and watching VK stations calling CQ is quite amazing. Now I will call them and see what happens.I will also try to speed things up on the computer by ditching stuff which is running in the backgound. Thanks again Bob.

>

>

>

> Ian

>

>

>

> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "kg6mc" <kg6mc@> wrote:

>

> >

>

> > You do not have to start exactly at the :00 mark. You can start your first transmission up to :15 seconds after.

>

> >

>

> > It sounds like your computer is running too slow to keep up with the many transmissions on a busy band. Try closing any other program that is running, this should speed up your processing time. Also, after you start transmitting, the Enable Multi check mark should go away and you will only be decoding the one station you are in QSO with. This should go a lot faster.

>

> >

>

> > Bob, KG6MC

>

> >

>

> > --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ian9220" <ian.dredge@> wrote:

>

> > >

>

> > > First off I am usimg an AMD Athlon 1.4GHz processor, a RigEpert Ti and HRD in the background to give complete CAT control over my IC-756 mk1. AFAIK I have the latest version of the JT65 HF software.

>

> > > All the hardware is working fine.I apologise if it's a daft question but it's a teep learning curve for an old timer like me.

>

> > >

>

> > > This is the most basic question and it is this.

>

> > >

>

> > >

>

> > > I runthe JT65 HF software and see lots of signals on the waterfall..I have the software set to decode every thing it sees At the end of about the 48th second everything goes quiet and the decoding of the signals begins. If this happened to be an odd minute,then I would presumably have to call any CQer in the next even minute.I could double click on the CQer, enable my TX etc all in the last ten seconds of that odd minute and my transmission would start at the beginning of the following even minute, So far so good.(I think) Problem is that by the time that the decoder has finished decoding all the signals it saw in the odd minute it's already into the next even minute. So have I missed my chance or do I have to wait through the remainder of the even minute and the first 48 seconds of the next odd minute before I can set up my call for the start of the next even minute? Almost certainly someone else has called and I don't have ahance. I am probably missing some fundamental idea of how it's supposed to work. Can anyone put me right please?

>

> > >

>

> > >

>

> > > Ian

>

> > >

>

> >

>

279. Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-29 00:27:04 UTC
From: Jim
Topic: 273 Message: 279

Another why to set your timming is to go to wwv listen to the time if your clock is off. Go down to your clock bottom of the screen double click on it and adjust it or follow the screen promp - or to set to internet time . I use wwv the most to set I have about 400 or 500 contacts on this mode.

73 Jim W4WWJ

280. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-29 02:14:48 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 273 Message: 280

I'm running XP and to get the time correct , I go to the time in the lower R.H. corner and bring up the clock.

Then select Internet time , Also and option for GMT or your local time.

Easy to use and It does and auto update from the internet ??

Rex K7QQ

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:27 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Another why to set your timming is to go to wwv listen to the time if your clock is off. Go down to your clock bottom of the screen double click on it and adjust it or follow the screen promp - or to set to internet time . I use wwv the most to set I have about 400 or 500 contacts on this mode.

73 Jim W4WWJ

How to Sleep Like a Rock
Obey this one natural trick to fall asleep and stay asleep all night.
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc

281. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-29 04:00:12 UTC
From: Wes Linscott
Topic: 273 Message: 281

Why not just use Dimension 4 to keep your clock in sync?

Wes W1LIC

On Sun, Apr 28, 2013 at 10:14 PM, Rex Maner <k7qq@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> **
> I'm running XP and to get the time correct , I go to the time in the lower
> R.H. corner and bring up the clock.
>
> Then select Internet time , Also and option for GMT or your local time.
>
> Easy to use and It does and auto update from the internet ??
>
> Rex K7QQ
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> *From:* Jim <jimw4wwj@...>
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Monday, April 29, 2013 12:27 AM
> *Subject:* [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!
>
>
>
> Another why to set your timming is to go to wwv listen to the time if your
> clock is off. Go down to your clock bottom of the screen double click on it
> and adjust it or follow the screen promp - or to set to internet time . I
> use wwv the most to set I have about 400 or 500 contacts on this mode.
>
> 73 Jim W4WWJ
>
>
>
> --
>
>
>
> --
> *How to Sleep Like a Rock*
> Obey this one natural trick to fall asleep and stay asleep all night.
> <http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>

> peaklife.com<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>
>
>
>

282. Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-29 21:02:31 UTC
From: ian9220
Topic: 273 Message: 282

Hi Rex, I read somewhere that the internet clock option in XP might not be good enough so I use Dimension 4 to keep my clock right on time. My problem is that the computer is not able to decode the received stations before the end of the minute in which they were sent. I can just get in with about 5 seconds to spare in the next minute. My laptop works just fine so I am organising another computer which will have no junk running on it, just the couple of ham radio progs that I use.

Ian

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "Rex Maner" <k7qq@...> wrote:
>
> I'm running XP and to get the time correct , I go to the time in the lower R.H. corner and bring up the clock.
>
> Then select Internet time , Also and option for GMT or your local time.
>
> Easy to use and It does and auto update from the internet ??
>
> Rex K7QQ
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jim
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:27 AM
> Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!
>
>
>
> Another why to set your timming is to go to wwv listen to the time if your clock is off. Go down to your clock bottom of the screen double click on it and adjust it or follow the screen promp - or to set to internet time . I use wwv the most to set I have about 400 or 500 contacts on this mode.
>
> 73 Jim W4WWJ
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> _____
> How to Sleep Like a Rock
> Obey this one natural trick to fall asleep and stay asleep all night.
> http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc

>

283. Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-04-29 21:05:47 UTC
From: ian9220
Topic: 273 Message: 283

I already do Wes, see my previous posting. Thanks for your response

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Wes Linscott <w1lic@...> wrote:
>
> Why not just use Dimension 4 to keep your clock in sync?
>
> Wes W1LIC
>
>
> On Sun, Apr 28, 2013 at 10:14 PM, Rex Maner <k7qq@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > **
> > I'm running XP and to get the time correct , I go to the time in the lower
> > R.H. corner and bring up the clock.
> >
> > Then select Internet time , Also and option for GMT or your local time.
> >
> > Easy to use and It does and auto update from the internet ??
> >
> > Rex K7QQ
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > *From:* Jim <jimw4wwj@...>
> > *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> > *Sent:* Monday, April 29, 2013 12:27 AM
> > *Subject:* [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!
> >
> >
> >
> > Another why to set your timming is to go to wwv listen to the time if your
> > clock is off. Go down to your clock bottom of the screen double click on it
> > and adjust it or follow the screen promp - or to set to internet time . I
> > use wwv the most to set I have about 400 or 500 contacts on this mode.
> >
> > 73 Jim W4WWJ
> >
> >
> >
> > ____
> >

> >
> >
> > _____
> > *How to Sleep Like a Rock*
> > Obey this one natural trick to fall asleep and stay asleep all night.
> > <http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>
> > peaklife.com<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>
> >
> >
> >
>

284. 30M LSB JT65?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-05-08 23:32:52 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 284 Message: 284

A couple of nights ago I tuned around on the 30M JT65 part of the band. I noticed the stream was the opposite of what I was used to -- specks to the left of the straight line. (Sorry, I don't know the technical jargon.) I understand this was JT65 using LSB. I could not decode any of the signals on LSB. I use WSJT7 and MultiPSK. I don't use JT65-HF because of sound card issues on my computer. What do I have to do to decode this type of signal?

285. Follow-up to previous post

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-05-08 23:48:42 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 285 Message: 285

The RX noise level is very high on 30M -- as high as 20dB. That is a problem with decoding using WSJT7? I turned down the mixer on the computer to no avail. My rig is the TS480 and I turned on the 2 noise reduction filters to no avail. Any suggestions to turn down the rx noise level and maybe be able to decode the sigs that I believe are using LSB?

286. Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-05-14 12:46:56 UTC
From: willgord
Topic: 273 Message: 286

I found Dimension 4 was not keeping my clock accurately enough. Esch mornipng I use the inrernal time-setting feature to updste the Internet clock. Works perfectly for me.

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Wes Linscott <w1lic@...> wrote:
>
> Why not just use Dimension 4 to keep your clock in sync?
>
> Wes W1LIC
>
>
> On Sun, Apr 28, 2013 at 10:14 PM, Rex Maner <k7qq@...> wrote:
>
> > **
> >
> >
> > **
> > I'm running XP and to get the time correct , I go to the time in the lower
> > R.H. corner and bring up the clock.
> >
> > Then select Internet time , Also and option for GMT or your local time.
> >
> > Easy to use and It does and auto update from the internet ??
> >
> > Rex K7QQ
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > *From:* Jim <jimw4wwj@...>
> > *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> > *Sent:* Monday, April 29, 2013 12:27 AM
> > *Subject:* [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!
> >
> >
> >
> > Another why to set your timming is to go to wwv listen to the time if your
> > clock is off. Go down to your clock bottom of the screen double click on it
> > and adjust it or follow the screen promp - or to set to internet time . I
> > use wwv the most to set I have about 400 or 500 contacts on this mode.
> >
> > 73 Jim W4WWJ
> >
> >
> >
> > _____

> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > *How to Sleep Like a Rock*
> > Obey this one natural trick to fall asleep and stay asleep all night.
> > <http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>
> > peaklife.com<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>
> >
> >
> >
>

287. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-05-14 12:53:13 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 273 Message: 287

About XP and timekeeping... I found that the default was one update every week or so. I think I went into the registry somewhere and set it to one update every 6 hours. Same low cost. :-)

If you find your clock is drifting, google for more complete instructions.

On Tue, May 14, 2013 at 8:46 AM, willgord <willgord@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I found Dimension 4 was not keeping my clock accurately enough. Esch
> mornipng I use the inrernal time-setting feature to updste the Internet
> clock. Works perfectly for me.
>
> --- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Wes Linscott <w1lic@...> wrote:
> >
> > Why not just use Dimension 4 to keep your clock in sync?
> >
> > Wes W1LIC
> >
> >
> > On Sun, Apr 28, 2013 at 10:14 PM, Rex Maner <k7qq@...> wrote:
> >
> > > **
> > >
> > >
> > > **
> > > I'm running XP and to get the time correct , I go to the time in the
> lower
> > > R.H. corner and bring up the clock.
> > >
> > > Then select Internet time , Also and option for GMT or your local time.
> > >
> > > Easy to use and It does and auto update from the internet ??
> > >
> > > Rex K7QQ
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > *From:* Jim <jimw4wwj@...>
> > > *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> > > *Sent:* Monday, April 29, 2013 12:27 AM
> > > *Subject:* [JT65HF] Re: Complete newcomer to this mode - help!
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Another why to set your timming is to go to wwv listen to the time if
> your
> > > clock is off. Go down to your clock bottom of the screen double click
> on it
> > > and adjust it or follow the screen promp - or to set to internet time
> . I
> > > use wwv the most to set I have about 400 or 500 contacts on this mode.
> > >
> > > 73 Jim W4WWJ
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > > *How to Sleep Like a Rock*
> > > Obey this one natural trick to fall asleep and stay asleep all night.
> > > <
> http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>
> > > peaklife.com<
> http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3242/517dd7a3d7d1857a270fdst04duc>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>

--
Al Gerheim
Adopt a Homeless Pet
http://www.petfinder.com

288. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Yet another JT65-HF decode issue

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-06-14 20:19:13 UTC
From: Brian Smith
Topic: 211 Message: 288

I never could get the JT65-HF software, or the "comfort" version of it, to decode anything on the netbook. I'm having quite a bit of success with JT9 and WSJT-X on it, though, and the timing requirements for that mode are the same.

Now I'm looking forward to the day that JT65 is added to WSJT-X, so I can see if that works on the machine. The WSJT-X UI is much like that for JT65-HF, which is just what I need on a screen that small.

--

Brian Smith WB4ES / avalon73 at caerleon dot us / WWW nexus.caerleon.us
"What I like about deadlines is the lovely whooshing sound they make as they rush past." --Douglas Adams

289. Jt65hf settings for ts570d

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-04 16:32:21 UTC
From: stephen769morrow
Topic: 289 Message: 289

Hi iam having some problems with the setting for the ts570d . I have downloaded and installed all the software that's required and its not decoding

290. RE: [JT65HF] Jt65hf settings for ts570d

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-04 16:40:08 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 289 Message: 290

You (((MUST))) have very accurate time . Set-up your computer clock to do Internet time.

Rex K7QQ

From: stephen769morrow [mailto:stephen769@...]
Sent: Thursday, July 04, 2013 4:32 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Jt65hf settings for ts570d

Hi iam having some problems with the setting for the ts570d . I have downloaded and installed all the software that's required and its not decoding

---

<http://newsletter.adsonar.com/nwrss/imgs/nwr_1560786_36140222_379054_2070767_4_2.PNG?placementId=1560786&plid=379054&pid=2070767&ps=36140222&rotation=4&type=2&zw=500&zh=70&v=5&url=NA&uid=>

NetZero now offers 4G mobile broadband. Sign up now.
http://www.netzero.net/?refcd=NZINTISP0512T4GOUT1

291. Re: Jt65hf settings for ts570d

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-05 14:07:25 UTC
From: kg6mc
Topic: 289 Message: 291

Stephen,

Some things to check:
1. What software did you load? Does it display on your computer screen?
2. Do you see signals in the waterfall?
3. What audio input levels do you show? (Should be 0 dB. If either too high or too low you will have trouble decoding.
4. As previously stated your clock MUST be accurate. If it's off by a fraction of a second it may not decode.

Bob, KG6MC

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "stephen769morrow" <stephen769@...> wrote:
>
> Hi iam having some problems with the setting for the ts570d . I have downloaded and installed all the software that's required and its not decoding
>

292. Anyone Else Seen This Before?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-06 05:06:34 UTC
From: ortracr
Topic: 292 Message: 292

I came across a thread in another group about JT65a a few weeks ago and the operator there described the same thing I witnessed tonight. In his case there was only one station sending when he noticed this.

I uploaded a screen shot to the Photos Section here, located in the Album NW9T Screen Shots. At the time there were what appears to be about four stations transmitting, when all of a sudden it LOOKED like either I or the other four stations were all drifting off frequency. Of course I didn't think four other stations would all drift at the exact same time and at the exact same rate so I assumed "I" was drifting.

Rig here is the Yaesu FT897D with TXCO. I immediately tuned the VFO to 15 MHz to WWV in Ft Collins CO, and I'm dead on, Rock Steady with the frequency.

I can only assume it must be software related? While it happened, it actually went through 2 transmit cycles I had ZERO Decodes from any of them.

Anyone else experience this? First time for me and I've been running the software pretty much every day for the last 6 months.

293. Re: Anyone Else Seen This Before?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-06 05:09:33 UTC
From: ortracr
Topic: 292 Message: 293

Forgot to include... SAM NW9T to my post above...

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, "ortracr" <ortracr@...> wrote:
>
> I came across a thread in another group about JT65a a few weeks ago and the operator there described the same thing I witnessed tonight. In his case there was only one station sending when he noticed this.
>
> I uploaded a screen shot to the Photos Section here, located in the Album NW9T Screen Shots. At the time there were what appears to be about four stations transmitting, when all of a sudden it LOOKED like either I or the other four stations were all drifting off frequency. Of course I didn't think four other stations would all drift at the exact same time and at the exact same rate so I assumed "I" was drifting.
>
> Rig here is the Yaesu FT897D with TXCO. I immediately tuned the VFO to 15 MHz to WWV in Ft Collins CO, and I'm dead on, Rock Steady with the frequency.
>
> I can only assume it must be software related? While it happened, it actually went through 2 transmit cycles I had ZERO Decodes from any of them.
>
> Anyone else experience this? First time for me and I've been running the software pretty much every day for the last 6 months.
>

294. trouble with time sync again

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-26 09:43:00 UTC
From: Steven E
Topic: 294 Message: 294

I am having trouble sync-ing the clock up again. The time sync software is installed and was working. I go to the internet time tab > change settings > click on one of the "time-b.nist.gov" and hit update. Then I get the following error message "an error occurred while windows was synchronizing with time-b.nist.gov" I get the same error message with "time.nist.gov", "time.nw.nist.gov" and "time-a.nist.gov" also. But when I minimize the clock back to the task bar then open the clock and try it again I get "the clock was successfully sync-ed with (which ever time sync I used last)". But JT65 still isn't decoding. Obviously there is still a time sync problem or JT65 would be decoding. Everything else is fine. JT65 is seeing the signals from the radio. I am having problems with malware and unwanted popups with FireFox. If I can't correct it I mite have to wipe the c drive partition and reinstall everything from the protected backups.

Steve

295. RE: [JT65HF] trouble with time sync again

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-26 13:22:36 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 294 Message: 295

RT click on the time in the lower R.H. corner, and follow instructions for internet time .

Works for me

Rex K7QQ

From: Steven E [mailto:kc7byp@...]
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2013 9:43 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] trouble with time sync again

I am having trouble sync-ing the clock up again. The time sync software is installed and was working. I go to the internet time tab > change settings > click on one of the "time-b.nist.gov" and hit update. Then I get the following error message "an error occurred while windows was synchronizing with time-b.nist.gov" I get the same error message with "time.nist.gov", "time.nw.nist.gov" and "time-a.nist.gov" also. But when I minimize the clock back to the task bar then open the clock and try it again I get "the clock was successfully sync-ed with (which ever time sync I used last)". But JT65 still isn't decoding. Obviously there is still a time sync problem or JT65 would be decoding. Everything else is fine. JT65 is seeing the signals from the radio. I am having problems with malware and unwanted popups with FireFox. If I can't correct it I mite have to wipe the c drive partition and reinstall everything from the protected backups.

Steve

<http://newsletter.adsonar.com/nwrss/imgs/nwr_1560786_36140222_379054_2070767_4_2.PNG?placementId=1560786&plid=379054&pid=2070767&ps=36140222&rotation=4&type=2&zw=500&zh=70&v=5&url=NA&uid=>

Extended Stay Hotels
Get Fantastic Amenities, low rates! Kitchen, Ample Workspace, Free WIFI
http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3241/51f2780fccc9e780e1449st01vuc

296. Re: [JT65HF] trouble with time sync again

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-26 15:59:35 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 294 Message: 296

Hi steve,
 Maybe you have to many of the time syc programs running and JT65 doesnt know which one to "sync" with.
I'd delete time sync programs ,and just let JT65 find the clock by itself.
  just my thoughts.
73 es gd lk,
Art

From: Steven E <kc7byp@msn.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2013 2:43 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] trouble with time sync again

I am having trouble sync-ing the clock up again. The time sync software is installed and was working. I go to the internet time tab > change settings > click on one of the "time-b.nist.gov" and hit update. Then I get the following error message "an error occurred while windows was synchronizing with time-b.nist.gov" I get the same error message with "time.nist.gov", "time.nw.nist.gov" and "time-a.nist.gov" also. But when I minimize the clock back to the task bar then open the clock and try it again I get "the clock was successfully sync-ed with (which ever time sync I used last)". But JT65 still isn't decoding. Obviously there is still a time sync problem or JT65 would be decoding. Everything else is fine. JT65 is seeing the signals from the radio. I am having problems with malware and unwanted popups with FireFox. If I can't correct it I mite have to wipe the c drive partition and reinstall everything from the protected backups.

Steve

297. Sync Detects

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-27 23:06:53 UTC
From: wa9afm
Topic: 297 Message: 297

After a few minutes of operations, JT65HF will no longer decode and there is a 'too many sync detects' error message in the raw decode window. I have let it run to see when signals would be decoed, but no luck. This only occurs on 20m, no other band. Is anyone else having this situation?

298. Red Bar above waterfall

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-07-31 17:54:54 UTC
From: bobmary37
Topic: 298 Message: 298

I am wondering if the red bar above the waterfall has to be positioned correctly to make contact with another station.

299. where is my log book ?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-15 11:11:10 UTC
From: wa7ag
Topic: 299 Message: 299

I am a relatively new user of JT65-HF,and have a question.
I am using an HP 6910 laptop with windows7 and a SignaLink USB.
When I press "LOG this contact" a window opens that shows the path
"C:\Users\6910\App Data\Local\JT65-HF\Log " I clicked on the disc icon,but when I go into the C drive to find my contacts,nothing is there.
What did I do wrong ?
Do I have to save the contacts to a Flash Drive ?
Thanks for any help,
73,
Art

300. Re: [JT65HF] where is my log book ?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-15 11:51:20 UTC
From: Robert Allen
Topic: 299 Message: 300

I had the same problem. When I went to users and tried to find appdata it wasn't there. Windows 7 has a way to open hidden files.(I did it but don't ask me how) When I opened hidden files there it was. I hope this helps.

From: wa7ag <wa7ag@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2013 6:11 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] where is my log book ?

I am a relatively new user of JT65-HF,and have a question.
I am using an HP 6910 laptop with windows7 and a SignaLink USB.
When I press "LOG this contact" a window opens that shows the path "C:\Users\6910\App Data\Local\JT65-HF\Log " I clicked on the disc icon,but when I go into the C drive to find my contacts,nothing is there.
What did I do wrong ?
Do I have to save the contacts to a Flash Drive ?
Thanks for any help,
73,
Art

301. Re: where is my log book ?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-15 16:00:37 UTC
From: nb8q
Topic: 299 Message: 301

ART

If your using JT65HF u can chose where u would like it to go. Create a folder then when you log qso, hit folder icon and tell it the path where you want to go to. To find the file your looking for do a search for jt65_log.adi and you should find it.

If you use wsjtx the the file is in folder wxjt2 folder if you downloaded joe taylor latest version of wsjtx which does jt9 and jt65. Its folder would wsjtx2 if you downloaded Joes 2nd version and file where contacts go is wsjtx_log.adi. Hope this helps ya Art

Ron

NB8Q

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, Robert Allen <bobmary37@...> wrote:
>
> I had the same problem. When I went to users and tried to find appdata it wasn't there. Windows 7 has a way to open hidden files.(I did it but don't ask me how) When I opened hidden files there it was. I hope this helps.
>
>
> _____
> From: wa7ag <wa7ag@...>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2013 6:11 AM
> Subject: [JT65HF] where is my log book ?
>
>
>
> Â
> I am a relatively new user of JT65-HF,and have a question.
> I am using an HP 6910 laptop with windows7 and a SignaLink USB.
> When I press "LOG this contact" a window opens that shows the path
> "C:\Users\6910\App Data\Local\JT65-HF\Log " I clicked on the disc icon,but when I go into the C drive to find my contacts,nothing is there.
> What did I do wrong ?
> Do I have to save the contacts to a Flash Drive ?
> Thanks for any help,
> 73,
> Art
>

302. log

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-18 20:43:20 UTC
From: John
Topic: 302 Message: 302

I have been using JT65 for a few months with success so I decided to try the new WSJT-X version. No problems making contacts but ran into a problem logging. When logging, I get a WAVE file and not an ADIF log file. The WAVE file looks like a screen shot of band activity with Wide Graph.
Anyone have suggestions as how to fix this?

Thanks,
John
73's

303. Re: [JT65HF] log

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-18 20:45:44 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 302 Message: 303

Look out in the Program Files area. You'll find an adi file under the app's directory.

Al Gerheim
k1qn.info
WWW.qrz.com/db/k1qn
On Aug 18, 2013 4:43 PM, "John" <k1voi_jp@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> I have been using JT65 for a few months with success so I decided to try
> the new WSJT-X version. No problems making contacts but ran into a problem
> logging. When logging, I get a WAVE file and not an ADIF log file. The WAVE
> file looks like a screen shot of band activity with Wide Graph.
> Anyone have suggestions as how to fix this?
>
> Thanks,
> John
> 73's
>
>
>

304. HRD V5 ending today

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-25 12:31:54 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 304 Message: 304

The new owners of HRD have gone back on their word of always providing HRD V5 for free, from today only V6 will be available at a cost of $100 Can I suggest if anyone wants to have option of the last free HRD they download the file now and write to disk, so much for the new owners saying they would not stop V5, so just download and save the last free one V5.24.38

available from my website

http://www.m0mvb.co.uk/free-stuff.html

Steve M0MVB

305. Re: [JT65HF] HRD V5 ending today

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-25 12:36:55 UTC
From: Bob Bailey
Topic: 304 Message: 305

Welcome to the world of Rick Ruhl. He is in business to sell something he doesn't give anything away!

From: Steve <m0mvb@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2013 7:31 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] HRD V5 ending today

The new owners of HRD have gone back on their word of always providing HRD V5 for free, from today only V6 will be available at a cost of $100 Can I suggest if anyone wants to have option of the last free HRD they download the file now and write to disk, so much for the new owners saying they would not stop V5, so just download and save the last free one V5.24.38

available from my website

http://www.m0mvb.co.uk/free-stuff.html

Steve M0MVB

306. Greetings

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-08-25 20:59:45 UTC
From: ve3tkb
Topic: 306 Message: 306

Hiya Folks.

I operatJT65-HF (comfort) primarily on 20/10/6 meters.

Using an old TS520-S at 5 watts into a full wave 20 meter Loop inside my apartment. I get pretty good results with it.

Just wanted to introduce myself and if anyone wants to set up a schedule to my Grid I am available :) (en92ix)

73
de ve3tkb

Terry

307. JT 65 HF and Win 8

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-10-20 11:17:02 UTC
From: <unnitharakkal@...>
Topic: 307 Message: 307

How to configure JT65-hf for Win 8

VU2TE
Unni

308. RE: [JT65HF] JT 65 HF and Win 8

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-10-20 11:23:50 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 307 Message: 308

No configuration differences for win 8 here. Set up as normal and it runs.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of unnitharakkal@...
Sent: 20 October 2013 12:17
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] JT 65 HF and Win 8

How to configure JT65-hf for Win 8

VU2TE
Unni

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2014.0.4158 / Virus Database: 3614/6765 - Release Date: 10/19/13

309. Re: [JT65HF] JT 65 HF and Win 8

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-10-20 14:39:09 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 307 Message: 309

Thanks Charlie will look into

73s

VU2TE
Unni

On Sun, Oct 20, 2013 at 4:53 PM, chas cartmel <chas@...> wrote:

> **
>
>
> No configuration differences for win 8 here. Set up as normal and it runs.
> ****
>
> ** **
>
> 73****
>
> Charlie****
>
> www.G4EST.me.uk****
>
> ** **
>
> *From:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] *On Behalf
> Of *unnitharakkal@...
> *Sent:* 20 October 2013 12:17
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [JT65HF] JT 65 HF and Win 8****
>
> ** **
>
> ****
>
> How to configure JT65-hf for Win 8
>
> VU2TE
> Unni****
>
> ****
>
> No virus found in this message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> Version: 2014.0.4158 / Virus Database: 3614/6765 - Release Date: 10/19/13*
> ***

>
>
>

310. RE: Greetings

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-11 03:21:28 UTC
From: <edclarkiv@...>
Topic: 306 Message: 310

Hello!

Give 15m a try. It's probably the one of the best and busiest bands for JT65. I'll keep an eye out for ya!

73s,

Ed

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <ve3tkb@...> wrote:

Hiya Folks.

I operatJT65-HF (comfort) primarily on 20/10/6 meters.

Using an old TS520-S at 5 watts into a full wave 20 meter Loop inside my apartment. I get pretty good results with it.

Just wanted to introduce myself and if anyone wants to set up a schedule to my Grid I am available :) (en92ix)

73
de ve3tkb

Terry

311. RE: Greetings

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-11 03:23:50 UTC
From: <edclarkiv@...>
Topic: 306 Message: 311

Oh and 40m can be a surprise as well. If you have an antenna for 40m. Doesn't have to be a really good one either.

73s,

Ed
WD4ED

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <ve3tkb@...> wrote:

Hiya Folks.

I operatJT65-HF (comfort) primarily on 20/10/6 meters.

Using an old TS520-S at 5 watts into a full wave 20 meter Loop inside my apartment. I get pretty good results with it.

Just wanted to introduce myself and if anyone wants to set up a schedule to my Grid I am available :) (en92ix)

73
de ve3tkb

Terry

312. Question about a personal message that showed up while using JT65HT

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-11 21:04:17 UTC
From: <kb7anl@...>
Topic: 312 Message: 312

I'm loving this jt65

I have a question about a personal message that showed up on the screen while in QSO contact. I want to say it was a South America contact. I was so new that i would see it again and i haven't I would like to know more about this. So let me tell you how it happened..... I was in contact doing the normal report exchanging the information then all of a sudden a text message box appeared on my screen..... it was simple he sent me a text in the box used my name and then told me about his setup. cool.... I answered hit enter then the box closed.
It happened over a month ago and i thought it was cool i was hoping to see it again.
So far i never did. I thought in my QSO notes i would ask him how he did it. So anybody know how this was done?

Anybody know who this was done.
I would like to know more
Thanks
Dean
KB7ANL

313. RE: Question about a personal message that showed up while using JT65HT

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-11 21:29:53 UTC
From: <kb7anl@...>
Topic: 312 Message: 313

Sorry i should have edited my message before i hit post....

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <kb7anl@...> wrote:

I'm loving this jt65

I have a question about a personal message that showed up on the screen while in QSO contact. I want to say it was a South America contact. I was so new that i would see it again and i haven't I would like to know more about this. So let me tell you how it happened..... I was in contact doing the normal report exchanging the information then all of a sudden a text message box appeared on my screen..... it was simple he sent me a text in the box used my name and then told me about his setup. cool.... I answered hit enter then the box closed.
It happened over a month ago and i thought it was cool i was hoping to see it again.
So far i never did. I thought in my QSO notes i would ask him how he did it. So anybody know how this was done?

Anybody know who this was done.
I would like to know more
Thanks
Dean
KB7ANL

314. RE: [JT65HF] RE: Question about a personal message that showed up while using JT65HT

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-11 22:44:25 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 312 Message: 314

Dean

If you use JTAlert-X the message was generated and delivered through that program. Right-clicking a call in the Alert callsign window or pressing f5 will initiate a message which will be sent over the internet.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kb7anl@...
Sent: 11 November 2013 21:30
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: Question about a personal message that showed up while using JT65HT

Sorry i should have edited my message before i hit post....

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <kb7anl@...> wrote:

I'm loving this jt65

I have a question about a personal message that showed up on the screen while in QSO contact. I want to say it was a South America contact. I was so new that i would see it again and i haven't I would like to know more about this. So let me tell you how it happened..... I was in contact doing the normal report exchanging the information then all of a sudden a text message box appeared on my screen..... it was simple he sent me a text in the box used my name and then told me about his setup. cool.... I answered hit enter then the box closed.
It happened over a month ago and i thought it was cool i was hoping to see it again.
So far i never did. I thought in my QSO notes i would ask him how he did it. So anybody know how this was done?

Anybody know who this was done.
I would like to know more
Thanks
Dean
KB7ANL

315. RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-12 06:41:20 UTC
From: <captmgik@...>
Topic: 265 Message: 315

Any chance RF is getting into your usb cable and distorting things?

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <k8jtd@...> wrote:

I am sure that I have been having this issue for a few days now. The audio output from JT65-HF doesn't have the clean audio tone. I first thought that the radio may have been over driving the signal. Finally disconnected the radio and began checking the signalink USB sound card. Ruled it out and switched to another sound card and sent several test signals through the sound card. The tone being sent has a very rough, buzzing, interference sound to it. It now seems to be an issue with the program or its picking up some kind of interference from the computer. Everything is plugged into grounded electrical outlets with RFI filters.

The computer I am using is a Dell 270 Vostro Desktop.

Any thoughts, suggestions would greatly be appreciated.

Jeff de K8JTD

316. JT 65 receiving

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-12 07:25:29 UTC
From: <unnitharakkal@...>
Topic: 316 Message: 316

I was using JT65 and had lot of contacts until I changed my computer.
No I am using Win 8 on my laptop with HRD and DM 780. Except JT 65 all are working. On Jt 65 I am listening the signals. The audio level is Ok. There is no received signals showing on RX window. The TX side is transmitting ok. What can be the problem. Hope hear for a solution.
73s and regards
Unni
VU2TE

317. RE: [JT65HF] JT 65 receiving

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-12 11:56:01 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 316 Message: 317

Unni

Have you set up an NTP time synchronisation software such as meinberg. The Microsoft synch software is not accurate enough.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@...m] On Behalf Of unnitharakkal@...
Sent: 12 November 2013 07:25
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] JT 65 receiving

I was using JT65 and had lot of contacts until I changed my computer.

No I am using Win 8 on my laptop with HRD and DM 780. Except JT 65 all are working. On Jt 65 I am listening the signals. The audio level is Ok. There is no received signals showing on RX window. The TX side is transmitting ok. What can be the problem. Hope hear for a solution.

73s and regards

Unni

VU2TE

318. RE: [JT65HF] RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-12 11:56:01 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 265 Message: 318

Jeff

You say you use grounded electrical outlets.. may have a hum-loop of similar issue. It is recommended here in the UK anyway to have an independent earth connection to a ground spike(s) and earth all the radio components, and the computer, to this. When i connected my PC to the station ground which is made up of two 4 foot earth spikes in the garden a great deal of the hash i experienced disappeared.

Another thought is that you may be overdriving the audio. Check this is the sound mixer panel, the level tab, and see if that helps.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of captmgik@...
Sent: 12 November 2013 06:41
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Any chance RF is getting into your usb cable and distorting things?

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <k8jtd@...> wrote:

I am sure that I have been having this issue for a few days now. The audio output from JT65-HF doesn't have the clean audio tone. I first thought that the radio may have been over driving the signal. Finally disconnected the radio and began checking the signalink USB sound card. Ruled it out and switched to another sound card and sent several test signals through the sound card. The tone being sent has a very rough, buzzing, interference sound to it. It now seems to be an issue with the program or its picking up some kind of interference from the computer. Everything is plugged into grounded electrical outlets with RFI filters.

The computer I am using is a Dell 270 Vostro Desktop.

Any thoughts, suggestions would greatly be appreciated.

Jeff de K8JTD

319. RE: [JT65HF] RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-12 12:19:35 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 265 Message: 319

Jeff, K8JTD,

I had a similar problem on receive that I was able to isolate to a bad "wall wart" power supply for my speakers. They had a very strong hum component right in the middle of the 20 Meter JT65 band. The other bands were not affected and 20 Meters was OK except for around 14.076. Replaced the power supply and all bands are running OK now.

Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: captmgik@...
Date: Mon, 11 Nov 2013 22:41:20 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Any chance RF is getting into your usb cable and distorting things?

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <k8jtd@...> wrote:

I am sure that I have been having this issue for a few days now. The audio output from JT65-HF doesn't have the clean audio tone. I first thought that the radio may have been over driving the signal. Finally disconnected the radio and began checking the signalink USB sound card. Ruled it out and switched to another sound card and sent several test signals through the sound card. The tone being sent has a very rough, buzzing, interference sound to it. It now seems to be an issue with the program or its picking up some kind of interference from the computer. Everything is plugged into grounded electrical outlets with RFI filters.

The computer I am using is a Dell 270 Vostro Desktop.

Any thoughts, suggestions would greatly be appreciated.

Jeff de K8JTD

320. RE: [JT65HF] RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-12 12:59:00 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 265 Message: 320

Will back that up here when some of my interference was on the wall wart to the router. Several turns around a toroid calmed this down here.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Bob Boroughs
Sent: 12 November 2013 12:20
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Jeff, K8JTD,

I had a similar problem on receive that I was able to isolate to a bad "wall wart" power supply for my speakers. They had a very strong hum component right in the middle of the 20 Meter JT65 band. The other bands were not affected and 20 Meters was OK except for around 14.076. Replaced the power supply and all bands are running OK now.

Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: captmgik@...
Date: Mon, 11 Nov 2013 22:41:20 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: Audio output issue with JT65-HF

Any chance RF is getting into your usb cable and distorting things?

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <k8jtd@...> wrote:

I am sure that I have been having this issue for a few days now. The audio output from JT65-HF doesn't have the clean audio tone. I first thought that the radio may have been over driving the signal. Finally disconnected the radio and began checking the signalink USB sound card. Ruled it out and switched to another sound card and sent several test signals through the sound card. The tone being sent has a very rough, buzzing, interference sound to it. It now seems to be an issue with the program or its picking up some kind of interference from the computer. Everything is plugged into grounded electrical outlets with RFI filters.

The computer I am using is a Dell 270 Vostro Desktop.

Any thoughts, suggestions would greatly be appreciated.

Jeff de K8JTD

321. Re: [JT65HF] JT 65 receiving

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-12 15:04:42 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 316 Message: 321

Hai Charlie
Of course I tried the time synch from windows. I could not get the the link for the NTP server synch. Still it is not working. Will try again when condx. is good.

Gone through your sit. Nice to know about you and about your activities.

I am a retired Air Force JCO tech . Now fully at home enjoying ham radio. Licenced in 1974 and like you I was QRT from 1998 to 2012. Now using CFT 857d and experimenting various antennas. Was active on JT65 and PSK and likes DXing.

So long for now. Will try trouble shooting on JT 65 and hope I can fix it

With regards and 73s

Unni
VU2TE

On Tue, Nov 12, 2013 at 5:26 PM, chas cartmel <chas@...> wrote:

>
>
> Unni
>
> Have you set up an NTP time synchronisation software such as meinberg. The
> Microsoft synch software is not accurate enough.
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Charlie
>
> www.G4EST.me.uk
>
>
>
> *From:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] *On Behalf
> Of *unnitharakkal@...
> *Sent:* 12 November 2013 07:25
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [JT65HF] JT 65 receiving
>
>
>
>

>
> I was using JT65 and had lot of contacts until I changed my computer.
>
> No I am using Win 8 on my laptop with HRD and DM 780. Except JT 65 all
> are working. On Jt 65 I am listening the signals. The audio level is Ok.
> There is no received signals showing on RX window. The TX side is
> transmitting ok. What can be the problem. Hope hear for a solution.
>
> 73s and regards
>
> Unni
>
> VU2TE
>
>
>

322. RE: RE: [JT65HF] RE: Question about a personal message that showed up while using JT65HT

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-18 23:30:00 UTC
From: <kb7anl@...>
Topic: 312 Message: 322

Thanks for that information.IT worked just great.

I just had some one text me three times while i was in contact with them.....
it was fun to chat...

73 Kb7anl

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <chas@...> wrote:

Dean
If you use JTAlert-X the message was generated and delivered through that program.
Right-clicking a call in the Alert callsign window or pressing f5 will initiate a message
which will be sent over the internet.

73
Charlie
www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of kb7anl@...
Sent: 11 November 2013 21:30
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: Question about a personal message that showed up while using JT65HT

Sorry i should have edited my message before i hit post....

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <kb7anl@... mailto:kb7anl@...>
wrote:
I'm loving this jt65

I have a question about a personal message that showed up on the screen while in QSO
contact. I want to say it was a South America contact. I was so new that i would see it
again and i haven't I would like to know more about this. So let me tell you how it
happened..... I was in contact doing the normal report exchanging the information then all
of a sudden a text message box appeared on my screen..... it was simple he sent me a text
in the box used my name and then told me about his setup. cool.... I answered hit enter
then the box closed.
It happened over a month ago and i thought it was cool i was hoping to see it again.
So far i never did. I thought in my QSO notes i would ask him how he did it. So anybody
know how this was done?

Anybody know who this was done.
I would like to know more
Thanks
Dean
KB7ANL

323. JT 65 Receive

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-28 15:46:22 UTC
From: <unnitharakkal@...>
Topic: 323 Message: 323

I tried all my level to go on JT65. I am not able to receive signals in Dig. mode (Iam using FT857D) In its cw mode the signals are shone on screen after decoding. So now I am in doubt what will be the setting on the radio. Is it Dig or CW.The transmit side is Ok in Dig mode. The time is synchronized using Dimension 4. Hope to hear from someone to resolve the problem.

VU2TE
Unni

324. Re: [JT65HF] JT 65 Receive

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-28 18:55:51 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 323 Message: 324

try upper side band. that's the common mode for all freqy's for JT-65,PSK, ect.

73,
Art

On Thursday, November 28, 2013 8:00 AM, "unnitharakkal@..." <unnitharakkal@...> wrote:

I tried all my level to go on JT65. I am not able to receive signals in Dig. mode (Iam using FT857D) In its cw mode the signals are shone on screen after decoding. So now I am in doubt what will be the setting on the radio. Is it Dig or CW.The transmit side is Ok in Dig mode. The time is synchronized using Dimension 4. Hope to hear from someone to resolve the problem.

VU2TE
Unni

325. Re: [JT65HF] JT 65 Receive

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-28 18:57:17 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 323 Message: 325

upper side band on the radio..sorry if I didn't make that clear.
73 agn,
Art

On , Art Galvez <wa7ag@...> wrote:

try upper side band. that's the common mode for all freqy's for JT-65,PSK, ect.

73,
Art

On Thursday, November 28, 2013 8:00 AM, "unnitharakkal@..." <unnitharakkal@...> wrote:

I tried all my level to go on JT65. I am not able to receive signals in Dig. mode (Iam using FT857D) In its cw mode the signals are shone on screen after decoding. So now I am in doubt what will be the setting on the radio. Is it Dig or CW.The transmit side is Ok in Dig mode. The time is synchronized using Dimension 4. Hope to hear from someone to resolve the problem.

VU2TE
Unni

326. Re: [JT65HF] JT 65 Receive

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-28 18:58:32 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 323 Message: 326

On , Art Galvez <wa7ag@...> wrote:

upper side band on the radio..sorry if I didn't make that clear.
73 agn,
Art

On , Art Galvez <wa7ag@yahoo.com> wrote:

try upper side band. that's the common mode for all freqy's for JT-65,PSK, ect.

73,
Art

On Thursday, November 28, 2013 8:00 AM, "unnitharakkal@..." <unnitharakkal@...> wrote:

I tried all my level to go on JT65. I am not able to receive signals in Dig. mode (Iam using FT857D) In its cw mode the signals are shone on screen after decoding. So now I am in doubt what will be the setting on the radio. Is it Dig or CW.The transmit side is Ok in Dig mode. The time is synchronized using Dimension 4. Hope to hear from someone to resolve the problem.

VU2TE
Unni

327. Re: JT65 on FT-897

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-29 16:40:50 UTC
From: Doug Netherton
Topic: 327 Message: 327

The "DIG" mode on an FT-897 or 857 has 6 sub modes values. Use menu item 38 to set this sub mode. I use the "User U" sub mode for most digital modes except RTTY and PSK31.

Doug, VE3MCF

328. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 on FT-897

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-11-30 02:12:28 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 327 Message: 328

Dear Doug
Yes that was the problem the menu was reset to RTTY-L. When I set it to
USer-U everything came ok. Thanks very much for the dope.
Regards to you and family
73s
VU2TE
Unni

On Fri, Nov 29, 2013 at 10:10 PM, Doug Netherton <ve3mcf@...> wrote:

>
>
> The "DIG" mode on an FT-897 or 857 has 6 sub modes values. Use menu item
> 38 to set this sub mode. I use the "User U" sub mode for most digital
> modes except RTTY and PSK31.
>
> Doug, VE3MCF
>
>
>

329. JT65HF-Comfort 3.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-01 11:58:29 UTC
From: <wa4mit@...>
Topic: 329 Message: 329

Hello I cannot get the newest HF comfort version to work with HRD when the software opens it goes to the setup page and I have tried many combinations in the logging setup if I select HRD it immediately closes I have have tried entering Access my logbook and lots of other combinations into the ODBC- data source box all with the same results.
Has anyone succeeded in making this work with HRD and how did you do it?
Thanks 73 Morris WA4MIT

330. RE: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-02 04:31:45 UTC
From: <captmgik@...>
Topic: 217 Message: 330

I typically run 20 watts into a dipole on jt65 hf.

Jim W6AAJ

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <w3mat@...> wrote:

I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle). Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more or less wattage?

Loon
W3MAT

331. RE: RE: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-02 12:24:48 UTC
From: <w3pv@...>
Topic: 217 Message: 331

I operate at 20 Watts or less on JT65-HF into an end fed antenna. That seems to get my signal out into Asia and Europe quite well. I am just back from a three month assignment to Korea where I was able to operate as HL1ZCFmmostly on JT65, JT9 and RTTY, all using a new laptop running Windows 8.

It was interesting competing for USA QSOs with the JA wall between Korea and the US. Some of the QSLs that I have received from my JA QSOs indicate that they were running 100W on JT65. Next time, I will put up a better antenna.

Ron,
W3PV/HL1ZCF

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <captmgik@...> wrote:

I typically run 20 watts into a dipole on jt65 hf.

Jim W6AAJ

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <w3mat@...> wrote:

I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle). Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more or less wattage?

Loon
W3MAT

332. RE: [JT65HF] RE: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-02 12:26:41 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 217 Message: 332

10 to 20 Watts is a good power level. You can make lots of DX contacts using lower power (I have worked Australia, Japan and South Africa on 40 Meters running 2 Watts into a dipole from South Carolina), but for consistent results the 20 watts should do well.

One general rule of thumb to determine your proper power level is to check on the reverse beacons, ie. http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html , to see how others are receiving your signals. You should to see an average of -10 dB. If you see that most of your reports are stronger than the -10 dB then you are running more power than necessary and should probably reduce power. However, if your antenna is marginal or in a poor location you may need to increase power a little at a time until you get better reports.

Running more power than necessary will make you extremely unpopular with other users since the JT65 portion of the bands are only 2KHz and a strong signal anywhere on the band can easily overload a lot of receivers. If you try to use the JT65 portion of the band during a RTTY contest, you will find it almost impossible due to strong RTTY signals essentially wiping out the band.

73's

Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: captmgik@...
Date: Sun, 1 Dec 2013 20:31:45 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: How much power?

I typically run 20 watts into a dipole on jt65 hf.

Jim W6AAJ

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <w3mat@...> wrote:

I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle). Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more or less wattage?
LoonW3MAT

333. Re: [JT65HF] RE: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-02 13:23:33 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 217 Message: 333

I also run 20 watts on ALL digital modes,including CW with my SignaLink USB,
from my Yaesu FT857D and multi band Home Brew Dipole
that is at roof level on my "manufactured home" in a park with antenna restriction.
    I have been on JT65 since last may ,and have over 150 contacts stateside,
and 40 countries on 80,40,30,20,17,15,12 and 10 { 3 contacts } meters with
20 watts .
Marginal antenna at best !
hope this helps,
73,
Art
wa7ag@...

On Monday, December 2, 2013 4:47 AM, Bob Boroughs <kg6mc@...> wrote:

10 to 20 Watts is a good power level. You can make lots of DX contacts using lower power (I have worked Australia, Japan and South Africa on 40 Meters running 2 Watts into a dipole from South Carolina), but for consistent results the 20 watts should do well.

One general rule of thumb to determine your proper power level is to check on the reverse beacons, ie. http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html , to see how others are receiving your signals. You should to see an average of -10 dB. If you see that most of your reports are stronger than the -10 dB then you are running more power than necessary and should probably reduce power. However, if your antenna is marginal or in a poor location you may need to increase power a little at a time until you get better reports.

Running more power than necessary will make you extremely unpopular with other users since the JT65 portion of the bands are only 2KHz and a strong signal anywhere on the band can easily overload a lot of receivers. If you try to use the JT65 portion of the band during a RTTY contest, you will find it almost impossible due to strong RTTY signals essentially wiping out the band.

73's

Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: captmgik@...
Date: Sun, 1 Dec 2013 20:31:45 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: How much power?

I typically run 20 watts into a dipole on jt65 hf.

Jim W6AAJ

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <w3mat@...> wrote:

I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle). Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more or less wattage?

Loon
W3MAT

334. RE: How much power?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-02 19:56:04 UTC
From: <wa4mit@...>
Topic: 217 Message: 334

With JT65 and JT9 let the other operators signal report be your guide for power output. If you are receiving -05 to +XX reports you can or should cut back on power out to conserve band space for other ops trying to use the band if your receiving -10 or greater negative numbers then either stay with what your running or slightly increase power for those weak stations your trying to work. Lot of stations using JT65 do not have extensive ant systems since this mode allows modest stations to work dx using less than ideal setups. Have fun with your new mode and try to run only enough power to make consistent contacts. 73 Morris WA4MIT

---In jt65hf@yahoogroups.com, <wa7ag@...> wrote:

I also run 20 watts on ALL digital modes,including CW with my SignaLink USB,
from my Yaesu FT857D and multi band Home Brew Dipole
that is at roof level on my "manufactured home" in a park with antenna restriction.
I have been on JT65 since last may ,and have over 150 contacts stateside,
and 40 countries on 80,40,30,20,17,15,12 and 10 { 3 contacts } meters with
20 watts .
Marginal antenna at best !
hope this helps,
73,
Art
wa7ag@...

On Monday, December 2, 2013 4:47 AM, Bob Boroughs <kg6mc@...> wrote:

10 to 20 Watts is a good power level. You can make lots of DX contacts using lower power (I have worked Australia, Japan and South Africa on 40 Meters running 2 Watts into a dipole from South Carolina), but for consistent results the 20 watts should do well.

One general rule of thumb to determine your proper power level is to check on the reverse beacons, ie. http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html , to see how others are receiving your signals. You should to see an average of -10 dB. If you see that most of your reports are stronger than the -10 dB then you are running more power than necessary and should probably reduce power. However, if your antenna is marginal or in a poor location you may need to increase power a little at a time until you get better reports.

Running more power than necessary will make you extremely unpopular with other users since the JT65 portion of the bands are only 2KHz and a strong signal anywhere on the band can easily overload a lot of receivers. If you try to use the JT65 portion of the band during a RTTY contest, you will find it almost impossible due to strong RTTY signals essentially wiping out the band.

73's

Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: captmgik@...
Date: Sun, 1 Dec 2013 20:31:45 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: How much power?

I typically run 20 watts into a dipole on jt65 hf.

Jim W6AAJ

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <w3mat@...> wrote:

I am fairly new to JT65 and loving every minute of it. I now have logged over 100 QSO's, and if LoTW ever catches up they will show up in my log for confirmations. But anyways, back to the question... I have been running anywhere between 10 and 30 watts out, for the past few days I've been staying at 25 watts out (figure I would go somewhere close to the middle). Is this power setting about average for most operators or do you run more or less wattage?

Loon
W3MAT

335. TS590 and JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-03 21:01:58 UTC
From: <bobmary37@...>
Topic: 335 Message: 335

A friend asked me about JT65-HF today. He has a new TS590 and needs to know if he needs an interface or will JT65-HF work with this radio without an interface.

336. Re: [JT65HF] TS590 and JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-04 03:04:38 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 335 Message: 336

I think... this is a rig with a built in USB port, right? I am not 100% certain but if it does, I think he could use VAC software and NO interface other than the USB cable
Andy K3UK

On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 4:01 PM, <bobmary37@...> wrote:

>
>
> A friend asked me about JT65-HF today. He has a new TS590 and needs to
> know if he needs an interface or will JT65-HF work with this radio without
> an interface.
>
>

337. Re: [JT65HF] TS590 and JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-04 03:07:38 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 335 Message: 337

See this from Ian Wade n
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/HOWTO_TS-590S_digital_and_dv_v1.8.pdf ,
he notes the interface is Optional

Andy

On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 10:04 PM, Andrew O'Brien <k3ukandy@...> wrote:

> I think... this is a rig with a built in USB port, right? I am not 100%
> certain but if it does, I think he could use VAC software and NO interface
> other than the USB cable
> Andy K3UK
>
>
> On Tue, Dec 3, 2013 at 4:01 PM, <bobmary37@...> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> A friend asked me about JT65-HF today. He has a new TS590 and needs to
>> know if he needs an interface or will JT65-HF work with this radio without
>> an interface.
>>
>>
>
>

338. Re: [JT65HF] TS590 and JT65-HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-04 08:39:33 UTC
From: Ian Wade G3NRW
Topic: 335 Message: 338

___Original Message_____
From: Andrew O'Brien <k3ukandy@...>
Date: Tue, 3 Dec 2013 Time: 22:04:38

>I think... this is a rig with a built in USB port, right? I am not 100% certain
>but if it does, I think he could use VAC software and NO interface other
>than the USB cable
>Andy K3UK
>

Hi Andy

Yes, the TS-590S does have a USB port. It can carry both the audio and
CAT control, and is all that's needed for JT65HF (and most other digital
modes as well). No need for VAC.

Bobmary: Tell your friend to look at the "TS-590S Resources Page":

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/ts-590s.htm

In the "HOWTOs" section there are several offerings on digital modes.
--
73
Ian, G3NRW

The TS-590S Resources Page:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/ts-590s.htm

The TS-990S Resources Page:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/ts-990.htm

339. USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-05 05:26:07 UTC
From: <wb0qir@...>
Topic: 339 Message: 339

I bought an USB soundcard and it works fine with the program JT65-HF but when I use the WSJT-X program the transmitter keys but I have no audio output from the program. I have tried all of the speaker outputs listed for USB. Is this a known bug? Seems to be. Does anyone have any suggestions.

340. Re: [JT65HF] USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-05 11:15:32 UTC
From: Albert Gerheim
Topic: 339 Message: 340

It sounds like a setting in WSJT-X. I use powersdr and VAC and the virtual com port thing. No problems. Make sure you kill powersdr when you change modem programs.

Al Gerheim
k1qn.info
WWW.qrz.com/db/k1qn
On Dec 5, 2013 12:26 AM, <wb0qir@...> wrote:

>
>
> I bought an USB soundcard and it works fine with the program JT65-HF but
> when I use the WSJT-X program the transmitter keys but I have no audio
> output from the program. I have tried all of the speaker outputs listed
> for USB. Is this a known bug? Seems to be. Does anyone have any
> suggestions.
>
>

341. RE: [JT65HF] USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-05 11:33:51 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 339 Message: 341

It sure sounds like a set up problem. I use the Microsoft Sound Mapper settings for my JT65 program and the same setting works fine with WSJT-X.

Make sure that your computer audio mixer speaker output is not set at zero or on mute. I have seen this happen occasionally when installing new programs.

Bob, KG6MC
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: alpg49@...
Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 06:15:32 -0500
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] USB Soundcard

It sounds like a setting in WSJT-X. I use powersdr and VAC and the virtual com port thing. No problems. Make sure you kill powersdr when you change modem programs.

Al Gerheim

k1qn.info

WWW.qrz.com/db/k1qn
On Dec 5, 2013 12:26 AM, <wb0qir@...> wrote:

I bought an USB soundcard and it works fine with the program JT65-HF but when I use the WSJT-X program the transmitter keys but I have no audio output from the program. I have tried all of the speaker outputs listed for USB. Is this a known bug? Seems to be. Does anyone have any suggestions.

342. RE: [JT65HF] USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-05 13:31:11 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 339 Message: 342

Another thing to try is to restart your computer before starting WSJT-X. I have found that when switching programs the computer sometimes gets confused and a restart gets everything back on track.

Bob, KG6MC

To: jt65hf@yahoogroups.com
From: kg6mc@...
Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 05:33:51 -0600
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] USB Soundcard

It sure sounds like a set up problem. I use the Microsoft Sound Mapper settings for my JT65 program and the same setting works fine with WSJT-X.

Make sure that your computer audio mixer speaker output is not set at zero or on mute. I have seen this happen occasionally when installing new programs.

Bob, KG6MC
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: alpg49@...
Date: Thu, 5 Dec 2013 06:15:32 -0500
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] USB Soundcard

It sounds like a setting in WSJT-X. I use powersdr and VAC and the virtual com port thing. No problems. Make sure you kill powersdr when you change modem programs.

Al Gerheim

k1qn.info

WWW.qrz.com/db/k1qn

On Dec 5, 2013 12:26 AM, <wb0qir@gmail.com> wrote:

I bought an USB soundcard and it works fine with the program JT65-HF but when I use the WSJT-X program the transmitter keys but I have no audio output from the program. I have tried all of the speaker outputs listed for USB. Is this a known bug? Seems to be. Does anyone have any suggestions.

343. keying rig but not transmiting

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-06 08:45:28 UTC
From: <ab9qu@...>
Topic: 343 Message: 343

I have used both JT65HF and comfort. I was not able to get JT65HF to open so was using comfort. I upgraded to the most recent version of comfort 2 days ago and now have the configuration messed up I think. Tonight with the JT65HF repair I was able to get JT65HF to open again. It would just flash something on the screen so fast I don't know what it was but now it opens again. It is decoding received signals. When I try to transmit the rig is keyed but
it is not sending anything. I had this happen back when I first started using the software but forget the solution. Radio is TS-2000 Signalink Windows 7 and HRD 6.1
Wish I had not upgraded the comfort software it was working fine.
Bill AB9QU

344. New setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-06 14:32:31 UTC
From: <w1lic@...>
Topic: 344 Message: 344

I'm trying to set up JT65-HF with my new Kenwood TS-480SAT and SignaLink USB. I'm having something strange happen. I'm able to see the signals on the waterfall, however, when it enters the DECODE period at 48 seconds, the JT65-HF software shuts down. If I start it right back up and select to transmit on the next minute, it is keying up the rig and transmitting. Has anyone else run into this problem where the program shuts down at the end when it reaches the decode period? Any suggestions?

Wes W1LIC

345. Re: [JT65HF] keying rig but not transmiting

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-06 14:52:57 UTC
From: fazamy@aol.com
Topic: 343 Message: 345

Bill,

Be sure your volume mixer is not at zero. Something similar happened with me and it took me about 1/2 hour to figure out that the volume mixer was at zero. I set mine at 7 now.

Evan
KB2AMY

-----Original Message-----
From: ab9qu <ab9qu@...>
To: JT65HF <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Fri, Dec 6, 2013 3:45 am
Subject: [JT65HF] keying rig but not transmiting

I have used both JT65HF and comfort. I was not able to get JT65HF to open so was using comfort. I upgraded to the most recent version of comfort 2 days ago and now have the configuration messed up I think. Tonight with the JT65HF repair I was able to get JT65HF to open again. It would just flash something on the screen so fast I don't know what it was but now it opens again. It is decoding received signals. When I try to transmit the rig is keyed but
it is not sending anything. I had this happen back when I first started using the software but forget the solution. Radio is TS-2000 Signalink Windows 7 and HRD 6.1
Wish I had not upgraded the comfort software it was working fine.
Bill AB9QU

346. RE: New setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-06 16:36:59 UTC
From: <w1lic@...>
Topic: 344 Message: 346

Disregard. I got a suggestion on Facebook to try running JT65-HF software as administrator, and that took care of my problem.

Wes W1LIC

347. Re: [JT65HF] keying rig but not transmiting

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-06 17:23:58 UTC
From: <ab9qu@...>
Topic: 343 Message: 347

Checked the mixer settings, look ok. Still keying but nothing sent out. Maybe I have something set wrong going to HRD.
Bill AB9QU
73

348. RE: JT65HF-Comfort 3.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-06 17:27:33 UTC
From: <ab9qu@...>
Topic: 329 Message: 348

I was using JT65HF comfort and since I installed the latest version I can't get mine to work either. Reinstalled an older version and can't get that to work.
Bill AB9QU

349. RE: JT65HF-Comfort 3.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-06 23:41:43 UTC
From: <wa4mit@...>
Topic: 329 Message: 349

Hi Bill I finally got 3.7 to work by setting up an older version then trying 3.7 and it worked I selected HRD then typed in "hrd my logbook - access". This was accepted but after exiting the setup I could not change the size of the screen and not all of the program was showing I closed it and have not tried it anymore I use WSJT-X anyway it is much easier to use and works better. I had used one of the earlier versions before discovering WSJT-X.
73 Morris WA4MIT

350. Re: [JT65HF] keying rig but not transmiting

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-07 01:53:56 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 343 Message: 350

Check up the ALC level. It should show some ALC reading. That was my experience

VU2TE
Unni

On Fri, Dec 6, 2013 at 10:53 PM, <ab9qu@...> wrote:

>
>
> Checked the mixer settings, look ok. Still keying but nothing sent out.
> Maybe I have something set wrong going to HRD.
> Bill AB9QU
> 73
>
>

351. RE: USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-07 05:55:21 UTC
From: <wb0qir@...>
Topic: 339 Message: 351

I've tried every suggestion. I can send a windows test tone over the air while the transmitter is keyed with WSJT-X. Didn't have a problem with this program when I used the internal soundcard. I think there is something in the program that isn't right when a USB sound card is used. The input works fine and it works fine with JT65-HF ver 1.0.9.3.

352. RE: keying rig but not transmiting

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-07 06:01:10 UTC
From: <wb0qir@...>
Topic: 343 Message: 352

Bill

See my msg #339. Are you using an USB soundcard? I haven't found a solution yet.

353. RE: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-07 09:26:49 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 339 Message: 353

This might be off track but with an external sound card I found the line-in and microphone settings reversed. I found this when checking the audio out on the Windows Sound dialogues.

Here’s hoping...

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb0qir@...
Sent: 07 December 2013 05:55
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

I've tried every suggestion. I can send a windows test tone over the air while the transmitter is keyed with WSJT-X. Didn't have a problem with this program when I used the internal soundcard. I think there is something in the program that isn't right when a USB sound card is used. The input works fine and it works fine with JT65-HF ver 1.0.9.3.

354. Re: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-07 10:34:57 UTC
From: David Bowman
Topic: 339 Message: 354

Except it's working for JT65-HF

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 3:26 AM, chas cartmel <chas@...> wrote:

>
>
> This might be off track but with an external sound card I found the
> line-in and microphone settings reversed. I found this when checking the
> audio out on the Windows Sound dialogues.
>
> Here’s hoping...
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Charlie
>
> www.G4EST.me.uk
>
>
>
> *From:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] *On Behalf
> Of *wb0qir@...
> *Sent:* 07 December 2013 05:55
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard
>
>
>
>
>
> I've tried every suggestion. I can send a windows test tone over the air
> while the transmitter is keyed with WSJT-X. Didn't have a problem with
> this program when I used the internal soundcard. I think there is
> something in the program that isn't right when a USB sound card is used.
> The input works fine and it works fine with JT65-HF ver 1.0.9.3.
>
>
>

355. RE: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-07 11:42:59 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 339 Message: 355

David

Should have added the extra line saying that mine worked on HRD/DM780 and JT65-HF Comfort but not on WSJT_X which seemed to be the exception.

I had many posts on here several months ago trying to fix it, and without doing anything it cleared up overnight. Was and still is a mystery. The switching between line-in and microphone inputs seem to have settled down since I now use an internal card - much less generated noise as well so getting better signal decoding.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of David Bowman
Sent: 07 December 2013 10:35
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

Except it's working for JT65-HF

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 3:26 AM, chas cartmel <chas@...> wrote:

This might be off track but with an external sound card I found the line-in and microphone settings reversed. I found this when checking the audio out on the Windows Sound dialogues.

Here's hoping...

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb0qir@...
Sent: 07 December 2013 05:55
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

I've tried every suggestion. I can send a windows test tone over the air while the transmitter is keyed with WSJT-X. Didn't have a problem with this program when I used the internal soundcard. I think there is something in the program that isn't right when a USB sound card is used. The input works

fine and it works fine with JT65-HF ver 1.0.9.3.

356. RE: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-07 16:30:12 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 339 Message: 356
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

David

Also should not link the two problems I had with the external sound card. The line-in/microphone switching was of course the input side. The TX side had the symptoms as you describe. It was suggested that I had the transmit audio frequency outside of the rigs audio input passband, n issue which was addressed in the later versions with the split frequency operation.

Sorry for the confusion, distracted by other things, senior moment etc...

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

David

Should have added the extra line saying that mine worked on HRD/DM780 and JT65-HF Comfort but not on WSJT_X which seemed to be the exception.

I had many posts on here several months ago trying to fix it, and without doing anything it cleared up overnight. Was and still is a mystery. The switching between line-in and microphone inputs seem to have settled down since I now use an internal card - much less generated noise as well so getting better signal decoding.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of David Bowman
Sent: 07 December 2013 10:35
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

Except it's working for JT65-HF

On Sat, Dec 7, 2013 at 3:26 AM, chas cartmel <chas@...> wrote:

This might be off track but with an external sound card I found the line-in and microphone settings reversed. I found this when checking the audio out on the Windows Sound dialogues.

Here's hoping...

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wb0qir@...
Sent: 07 December 2013 05:55
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: USB Soundcard

I've tried every suggestion. I can send a windows test tone over the air while the transmitter is keyed with WSJT-X. Didn't have a problem with this program when I used the internal soundcard. I think there is something in the program that isn't right when a USB sound card is used. The input works fine and it works fine with JT65-HF ver 1.0.9.3.

357. Is upgrading your sound card worth it?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-09 15:59:26 UTC
From: <k5rhd.73@...>
Topic: 357 Message: 357

I am new to digital modes and use JT65/JT9 as well as PSK. I have a background in audio production and was wondering the other day if signal TX/RX would be improved by putting a better quality sound card in my computer. Currently I just use the onboard sound card. My thinking is that S/N and other specs that would be improved would result in a better signal out on the air as well as better decode/copy on RX? Has anyone done this? I am thinking of something like a ASUS Xonar Essence STX or something similar.

73

K5RHD

/randy

358. RE: [JT65HF] Is upgrading your sound card worth it?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-09 17:16:31 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 357 Message: 358

Randolph

My Personal experience is that in comparison to the cheap USB soundcard I was using that the installation of a cheap internal soundblaster card gave me a definite improvement in S/N. No figures to back this up however. I would think that a separate card would be beneficial anyway, however could not say if my poor to better upgrade would compare to a good (assuming on-board is a decent quality) to very good upgrade (if you know what I mean...). Would think that the floor noise level would be good on any half decent card.

I use my onboard as a normal soundcard and the additional one for digital so i can watch a film when bands are quiet.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of k5rhd.73@...
Sent: 09 December 2013 15:59
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Is upgrading your sound card worth it?

I am new to digital modes and use JT65/JT9 as well as PSK. I have a background in audio production and was wondering the other day if signal TX/RX would be improved by putting a better quality sound card in my computer. Currently I just use the onboard sound card. My thinking is that S/N and other specs that would be improved would result in a better signal out on the air as well as better decode/copy on RX? Has anyone done this? I am thinking of something like a ASUS Xonar Essence STX or something similar.

73

K5RHD

/randy

359. No JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-09 20:38:31 UTC
From: <ab9qu@...>
Topic: 359 Message: 359

I have had both JT65HF and JT65 comfort working before. Can't get either to transmit, they key the rig but nothing transmitted. Decode is fine. Able to work other digi-modes with HRD so I guess my Signalink is working properly. Have tried to set up the wsjt program and have been unable to get it to work either. I must be overlooking something but don't know what.
Bill ab9qu

360. Re: [JT65HF] No JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-09 20:49:43 UTC
From: Bill Duffy
Topic: 359 Message: 360

Bill..... What rig are you running?
73
Bill
KA0VXK

From: ab9qu@comcast.net
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2013 2:38 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] No JT65

I have had both JT65HF and JT65 comfort working before. Can't get either to transmit, they key the rig but nothing transmitted. Decode is fine. Able to work other digi-modes with HRD so I guess my Signalink is working properly. Have tried to set up the wsjt program and have been unable to get it to work either. I must be overlooking something but don't know what.
Bill ab9qu

361. Re: [JT65HF] No JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-09 20:54:17 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 359 Message: 361

I have also had that problem when going from one program to the other. Found that IS had to restart my computer,then start one program .
If IT tryed to go from one to the other....the new one would not run.
While you Areyou restarting the computer,check the connections.
Worked for me.
Art

ab9qu@comcast.net wrote:

>I have had both JT65HF and JT65 comfort working before. Can't get either to transmit, they key the rig but nothing transmitted. Decode is fine. Able to work other digi-modes with HRD so I guess my Signalink is working properly. Have tried to set up the wsjt program and have been unable to get it to work either. I must be overlooking something but don't know what.
>Bill ab9qu

362. Re: [JT65HF] No JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-10 18:00:24 UTC
From: <ab9qu@...>
Topic: 359 Message: 362

TS-2000

363. EME Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-12 22:34:24 UTC
From: <kc2lsd@...>
Topic: 363 Message: 363

I would like to try 2 Meter EME and was wondering can I use this same program for is there another version used for 2m EME. I believe I have the right antenna to give this a try. If anybody can help me out on my quest I would appreciated...

Thanks
Cody
KC2LSD

364. RE: [JT65HF] EME Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-13 14:04:16 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 363 Message: 364

WSJT and JT65 were originally designed for EME. HAMs being what they are, quickly found that the mode could also be used effectively on HF and the JT65HF and JT9 adaptations were developed to optimize the mode for HF.

The following link explains EME and JT65 in detail.

http://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/K1JT/WA50_June05.pdf

Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: kc2lsd@...
Date: Thu, 12 Dec 2013 14:34:24 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] EME Question

I would like to try 2 Meter EME and was wondering can I use this same program for is there another version used for 2m EME. I believe I have the right antenna to give this a try. If anybody can help me out on my quest I would appreciated...

Thanks
Cody
KC2LSD

365. RE: [JT65HF] EME Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-14 11:38:51 UTC
From: <palle@...>
Topic: 363 Message: 365

JT65HF is not good for 2 m EME, as JT65HF is running JT65A and JT65B is used on 2 m EME. So use the original WSJT program and set mode to JT65B for 2 m EME. Download from http://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/K1JT/

BTW, IMHO JT65HF is superseded by WSJT-X
http://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/K1JT/wsjtx.html

73, Palle, OZ1RH
www.oz1rh.com

366. signal on 7.076 at 13:50 2013-12-14

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-14 14:06:47 UTC
From: <wa7ag@...>
Topic: 366 Message: 366

If someone from this group was on and trying to contact me on 7.07640 ,
you were spattering very bad and my SignaLink could not decode you.
20 over S 9 and spattering .
73,
Art
wa7ag

367. Calling on 6m

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-15 19:09:12 UTC
From: <py3cjs@...>
Topic: 367 Message: 367

Py3cjs calling on 50.276 - 6 meters JT65

368. RE: [JT65HF] EME Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-16 02:25:09 UTC
From: <kc2lsd@...>
Topic: 363 Message: 368

Thank you all for your replies. I have downloaded the program and I started with the sample wave files. I am hoping to try at least some receiving practice next Sunday. My antenna is ready, lets hope for the best.

Thanks again

KC2LSD
Cody

369. RE: JT65HF-Comfort 3.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-24 20:50:44 UTC
From: <wa4mit@...>
Topic: 329 Message: 369

Bill here is further update. I never had any luck getting comfort 3.7 to work as downloaded I did manage to get the program somewhat setup and it seem to run but would not recoginize my soundcard nor connect to HRD. I had been working with this for about a month I guess I went back to the download page and noticed there is a exe. only file that would upgrade an earlier version. I then uninstalled 3.7 ran CClearner to clean the registry then installed 3.3 version this setup and it worked recoginized the soundcard. I then unzipped the 3.7 exe only download and replaced the exe file in comfort 3.3 with the 3.7 exe file. This works it will recoginize the soundcard and connects to HRD there is a JTAlert version that also works with comfort. I have not used the software as I really like WSJT-X with JTAlert better but I did finally get comfort 3.7 setup and running maybe this would also work for you.
73 Morris WA4MIT

370. RE: JT65HF-Comfort 3.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-25 18:26:55 UTC
From: <ab9qu@...>
Topic: 329 Message: 370

I had given up and was waiting for them to add JT65 to HRD. Guess I might give it a try again. Miss not having JT65 to use. thanks
73 Bill AB9QU

371. RE: JT65HF-Comfort 3.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 11:39:33 UTC
From: <astro_maryland@...>
Topic: 329 Message: 371

Bill,

Why not try WSTJX JT65? Works great with my K3 and HRD (v6.1); one can even toggle over to JT9.

73, Rich, K3VAT

372. Re: JT65HF-Comfort 3.7

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 13:23:43 UTC
From: walt_g3nyy
Topic: 329 Message: 372

Yes, Rich. I have been using WSJT-X for about a month now on both JT65 and JT9. It performs better than any other program I have tried for JT65.

73,
Walt (G3NYY)

--- In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <astro_maryland@...> wrote:
>
> Bill,
>
> Why not try WSJT-X JT65? Works great with my K3 and HRD (v6.1); one can even toggle over to JT9.
>
> 73, Rich, K3VAT
>

373. No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 13:31:07 UTC
From: <vimone@...>
Topic: 128 Message: 373

Hi to the list,
i need help to get decode on JT65.
I am using a Yaesu FT-920 with a RigExpert Standard.
As program i am using JT65-HF.
I have set the program correctly but i cannot decode any signal.
I can hear sgnals coming in and also i can see on the waterfall
of the program the signals but i do not get any decode.
I have noticed that in the SOUND INPUT settings that
i can choose just 2 devices:

MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC) as Input Device

and

MICROSOFT SOUND MAPPER - INPUT

Whatever i choose, i can always see the signals on the Waterfall but nothing decoding.
Please where am i missing?
I have tried the same configuratiobn on the MixW software and the MixW configuration let me choose as INPUT DEVICE just the MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC).
Any help will be really appreciated.
73' se de Enzo IK8OZV.
Merry Christmas and happy 2014 to the list

374. RE: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 16:16:10 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 128 Message: 374

It takes a FULL 2 min to get a signal report/ response to complete a QSO. Not what I would call a really high speed contest Exchange rate.

IF you have 10 minutes to just sit and WAIT then maybe you will get some copy.

Rex K7QQ

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of vimone@...
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 1:31 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] No Decode

Hi to the list,

i need help to get decode on JT65.

I am using a Yaesu FT-920 with a RigExpert Standard.

As program i am using JT65-HF.

I have set the program correctly but i cannot decode any signal.

I can hear sgnals coming in and also i can see on the waterfall

of the program the signals but i do not get any decode.

I have noticed that in the SOUND INPUT settings that

i can choose just 2 devices:

MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC) as Input Device

and

MICROSOFT SOUND MAPPER - INPUT

Whatever i choose, i can always see the signals on the Waterfall but nothing decoding.

Please where am i missing?

I have tried the same configuratiobn on the MixW software and the MixW configuration let me choose as INPUT DEVICE just the MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC).

Any help will be really appreciated.

73' se de Enzo IK8OZV.

Merry Christmas and happy 2014 to the list

<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGLI3256/?u=http://newsletter.adsonar.com/nwrss/imgs/nwr_1560786_36140222_379054_2070767_4_2.PNG?placementId=1560786&plid=379054&pid=2070767&ps=36140222&rotation=4&type=2&zw=500&zh=70&v=5&url=NA&uid=>

375. R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 17:25:36 UTC
From: Vincenzo Mone
Topic: 128 Message: 375

It’s least a week that I am try to decode something

73's de Enzo IK8OZV

EasyLog 5 BetaTester

EasyLog PDA BetaTester

WinBollet BetaTester

D.C.I. CheckPoint Regione Campania

Skype: ik8ozv8520

****

******* GSM +39 328 7110193 *******

****** SMS +39 328 7110193 ******

*** 2nd e-mail: vimone@... ***

****

Da: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@...m] Per conto di Rex Maner
Inviato: giovedì 26 dicembre 2013 17:16
A: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: RE: [JT65HF] No Decode

It takes a FULL 2 min to get a signal report/ response to complete a QSO. Not what I would call a really high speed contest Exchange rate.

IF you have 10 minutes to just sit and WAIT then maybe you will get some copy.

Rex K7QQ

From: <mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:[mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]> [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
<mailto:vimone@...> vimone@...
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 1:31 PM
To: <mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] No Decode

Hi to the list,

i need help to get decode on JT65.

I am using a Yaesu FT-920 with a RigExpert Standard.

As program i am using JT65-HF.

I have set the program correctly but i cannot decode any signal.

I can hear sgnals coming in and also i can see on the waterfall

of the program the signals but i do not get any decode.

I have noticed that in the SOUND INPUT settings that

i can choose just 2 devices:

MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC) as Input Device

and

MICROSOFT SOUND MAPPER - INPUT

Whatever i choose, i can always see the signals on the Waterfall but nothing decoding.

Please where am i missing?

I have tried the same configuratiobn on the MixW software and the MixW configuration let me choose as INPUT DEVICE just the MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC).

Any help will be really appreciated.

73' se de Enzo IK8OZV.

Merry Christmas and happy 2014 to the list

_____
<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGLI3256/?u=http://newsletter.adsonar.com/nwrss/imgs/nwr_1560786_36140222_379054_2070767_4_2.PNG?placementId=1560786&plid=379054&pid=2070767&ps=36140222&rotation=4&type=2&zw=500&zh=70&v=5&url=NA&uid=>

376. Re: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 18:19:29 UTC
From: Wes Linscott
Topic: 128 Message: 376

How about your computer timing? Do you have Dimension 4, Meinberg, or other accurate software controlling the computer time? This is ESSENTIAL for JT65/JT9 to work properly.

Wes W1LIC

377. R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 18:35:32 UTC
From: Vincenzo Mone
Topic: 128 Message: 377

What it is to do with my problem?

How I said I receive the signals because I saw them on the waterfall but I do not get anything decoded.

BTW yes I use D4

Thanks

73's de Enzo IK8OZV

EasyLog 5 BetaTester

EasyLog PDA BetaTester

WinBollet BetaTester

D.C.I. CheckPoint Regione Campania

Skype: ik8ozv8520

****

******* GSM +39 328 7110193 *******

****** SMS +39 328 7110193 ******

*** 2nd e-mail: vimone@... ***

****

Da: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] Per conto di Wes Linscott
Inviato: giovedì 26 dicembre 2013 19:19
A: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: Re: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

How about your computer timing? Do you have Dimension 4, Meinberg, or other accurate software controlling the computer time? This is ESSENTIAL for JT65/JT9 to work properly.

Wes W1LIC

378. Re: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 18:48:16 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 128 Message: 378

are you using UpperSideBand ?
  I had that problem at one time....then I changed to Upper
Side Band on all frequencies that I use digital modes,
and my problem went away.
73,es good luck,
Art

On Thursday, December 26, 2013 10:35 AM, Vincenzo Mone <vimone@...> wrote:

What it is to do with my problem?
How I said I receive the signals because I saw them on the waterfall but I do not get anything decoded.
BTW yes I use D4
Thanks

73's de Enzo IK8OZV
EasyLog 5 BetaTester
EasyLog PDA BetaTester
WinBollet BetaTester
D.C.I. CheckPoint Regione Campania
Skype: ik8ozv8520

```
**********************************
*******    GSM  +39 328 7110193    *******
******       SMS  +39 328 7110193       ******
***          2nd e-mail: vimone@...          ***
*********************************
```

Da:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] Per conto di Wes Linscott
Inviato: giovedì 26 dicembre 2013 19:19
A: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: Re: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

How about your computer timing? Do you have Dimension 4, Meinberg, or other accurate software controlling the computer time? This is ESSENTIAL for JT65/JT9 to work properly.

Wes W1LIC

379. Re: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 19:04:13 UTC
From: Wes Linscott
Topic: 128 Message: 379

It could have EVERYTHING to do with not copying if your timing is off. Even though you have D4, make sure that Windows Time has been shut off and that D4 has control of the computer clock with frequent updates. I have mine set to update every 15 minutes. Some use a longer period and some a shorter period. But, timing is VERY important.

W1LIC

380. RE: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 19:31:35 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 128 Message: 380

To add to this post, timing must be accurate to within 1 second or so, and the windows own synchronisation 7 and 8) is not good enough. I have used Dimension4 but found it sometimes didn't lock or drifted, but since installing the meinberg offering the problems ceased.

JT9 and JT65 rely on transmissions starting at precise times so timing is a must. Read Joe's description of the modes on the help file available on his JT modes site.

Seasons Greetings to all

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Wes Linscott
Sent: 26 December 2013 19:04
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

It could have EVERYTHING to do with not copying if your timing is off. Even though you have D4, make sure that Windows Time has been shut off and that D4 has control of the computer clock with frequent updates. I have mine set to update every 15 minutes. Some use a longer period and some a shorter period. But, timing is VERY important.

W1LIC

381. R: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-26 20:01:10 UTC
From: Vincenzo Mone
Topic: 128 Message: 381

Yes I am.

Do I need to set some settings in my Yaesu FT-920?

If yes how and which ones?

Thanks

73's de Enzo IK8OZV

EasyLog 5 BetaTester

EasyLog PDA BetaTester

WinBollet BetaTester

D.C.I. CheckPoint Regione Campania

Skype: ik8ozv8520

****

******* GSM +39 328 7110193 *******

****** SMS +39 328 7110193 ******

*** 2nd e-mail: vimone@... ***

****

Da: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] Per conto di Art Galvez
Inviato: giovedì 26 dicembre 2013 19:48
A: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: Re: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

are you using UpperSideBand ?
I had that problem at one time....then I changed to Upper
Side Band on all frequencies that I use digital modes,
and my problem went away.
73,es good luck,
Art

On Thursday, December 26, 2013 10:35 AM, Vincenzo Mone < <mailto:vimone@...> vimone@...>
wrote:

What it is to do with my problem?

How I said I receive the signals because I saw them on the waterfall but I do not get anything decoded.

BTW yes I use D4

Thanks

73's de Enzo IK8OZV

EasyLog 5 BetaTester

EasyLog PDA BetaTester

WinBollet BetaTester

D.C.I. CheckPoint Regione Campania

Skype: ik8ozv8520

****

******* GSM +39 328 7110193 *******

****** SMS +39 328 7110193 ******

*** 2nd e-mail: vimone@... ***

****

Da: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] Per conto di Wes Linscott
Inviato: giovedì 26 dicembre 2013 19:19
A: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: Re: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

How about your computer timing? Do you have Dimension 4, Meinberg, or other accurate software controlling the computer time? This is ESSENTIAL for JT65/JT9 to work properly.

Wes W1LIC

382. RE: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-27 04:20:31 UTC
From: Rex Maner
Topic: 128 Message: 382

Your UTC time ((MUST)) be 100% accurate.

Good Luck

Rex K7QQ

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Vincenzo Mone
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 5:26 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

It’s least a week that I am try to decode something

73's de Enzo IK8OZV

EasyLog 5 BetaTester

EasyLog PDA BetaTester

WinBollet BetaTester

D.C.I. CheckPoint Regione Campania

Skype: ik8ozv8520

****

******* GSM +39 328 7110193 *******

****** SMS +39 328 7110193 ******

*** 2nd e-mail: vimone@... ***

****

Da: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] Per conto di Rex Maner
Inviato: giovedì 26 dicembre 2013 17:16
A: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: RE: [JT65HF] No Decode

It takes a FULL 2 min to get a signal report/ response to complete a QSO. Not what I would call a really high speed contest Exchange rate.

IF you have 10 minutes to just sit and WAIT then maybe you will get some copy.

Rex K7QQ

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of vimone@alice.it
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 1:31 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com

Subject: [JT65HF] No Decode

Hi to the list,

i need help to get decode on JT65.

I am using a Yaesu FT-920 with a RigExpert Standard.

As program i am using JT65-HF.

I have set the program correctly but i cannot decode any signal.

I can hear sgnals coming in and also i can see on the waterfall

of the program the signals but i do not get any decode.

I have noticed that in the SOUND INPUT settings that

i can choose just 2 devices:

MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC) as Input Device

and

MICROSOFT SOUND MAPPER - INPUT

Whatever i choose, i can always see the signals on the Waterfall but nothing decoding.

Please where am i missing?

I have tried the same configuratiobn on the MixW software and the MixW configuration let me choose as INPUT DEVICE just the MICROPHONE (USB AUDIO CODEC).

Any help will be really appreciated.

73' se de Enzo IK8OZV.

Merry Christmas and happy 2014 to the list

_____
<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGLI3256/?u=http://newsletter.adsonar.com/nwrss/imgs/nwr_1560786_36140222_379054_2070767_4_2.PNG?placementId=1560786&plid=379054&pid=2070767&ps=36140222&rotation=4&type=2&zw=500&zh=70&v=5&url=NA&uid=>

_____
<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGLI3256/?u=http://newsletter.adsonar.com/nwrss/imgs/nwr_1560786_36140222_379054_2070767_4_2.PNG?placementId=1560786&plid=379054&pid=2070767&ps=36140222&rotation=4&type=2&zw=500&zh=70&v=5&url=NA&uid=>

383. Using Radio QRP fot JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-31 15:57:56 UTC
From: <yv5of.ve@...>
Topic: 383 Message: 383

Hi Team,

I am new to the group, greetings to all.

I've been working on so JT65HF 5w or less using a FT-950 and G5RV Jr.

I would take the field with my IC-703 and I have doubts about the power and damage that may occur to the end of radio, working with 5W or 10W?

Happy New Year 2014!!!!

Severino - YV5OF

384. Re: [JT65HF] Using Radio QRP fot JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-31 16:07:36 UTC
From: n5ztw@...
Topic: 383 Message: 384

I work JT65 and other digital modes at times from an FT-817ND just for fun. QRP works great with the digital modes! It's all in the antenna :-) Happy New Year

--- Original message ---
Subject: [JT65HF] Using Radio QRP fot JT65
From: <yv5of.ve@...>
To: <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Tuesday, 31/12/2013 9:57 AM

Hi Team,

I am new to the group, greetings to all.

I've been working on so JT65HF 5w or less using a FT-950 and G5RV Jr.

I would take the field with my IC-703 and I have doubts about the power and damage that may occur to the end of radio, working with 5W or 10W?

Happy New Year 2014!!!!

Severino - YV5OF

385. Re: [JT65HF] Using Radio QRP fot JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2013-12-31 18:15:23 UTC
From: Severino Mastracci
Topic: 383 Message: 385

Many Thank for replay,

Happy New Year 2014!!!!!

73! / Severino / YV5OF
Yanki -Victor-Five-Oscar-Foxtrot
Twitter : @yv5of
Sysop YV5OF-JS (YV5OF-2) : http://aprs-ve.no-ip.org:14501
APRS Mobile SSID : YV5OF-7 / YV5OF-9
QRZ : http://www.qrz.com/db/yv5of
Locator: FK60OK
ITU Zone : 12
CQ Zone : 9
Caracas / Venezuela South América.

386. Re: [JT65HF] Using Radio QRP fot JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-01 13:42:34 UTC
From: fazamy@aol.com
Topic: 383 Message: 386

Severino,

I use 5w all the time with JT65 since 2010. I get good results with QRP JT65. I use a TS480 and a dipole.

Evsn
KB2AMY FN30

-----Original Message-----
From: yv5of.ve <yv5of.ve@...>
To: JT65HF <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tue, Dec 31, 2013 10:57 am
Subject: [JT65HF] Using Radio QRP fot JT65

Hi Team,

I am new to the group, greetings to all.

I've been working on so JT65HF 5w or less using a FT-950 and G5RV Jr.

I would take the field with my IC-703 and I have doubts about the power and damage that may occur to the end of radio, working with 5W or 10W?

Happy New Year 2014!!!!

Severino - YV5OF

387. Re: [JT65HF] Using Radio QRP fot JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-01 18:24:25 UTC
From: Severino Mastracci
Topic: 383 Message: 387

Hi Evans,

Thanks for your comment.

In relation to my question: Using the JT65 way radios low power (QRP) as the Yaesu FT-817ND or the ICOM IC-703 using its maximum power 5W in the Yaesu or ICOM 10W, this could affect or damage the Final of these radios.

Thank / 73!

2014/1/1 fazamy@... <FAZAMY@...>

>
>
> Severino,
>
> I use 5w all the time with JT65 since 2010. I get good results with QRP
> JT65. I use a TS480 and a dipole.
>
> Evsn
> KB2AMY FN30
> -----Original Message-----
> From: yv5of.ve <yv5of.ve@gmail.com>
> To: JT65HF <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tue, Dec 31, 2013 10:57 am
> Subject: [JT65HF] Using Radio QRP fot JT65
>
>
>
> Hi Team,
>
> I am new to the group, greetings to all.
>
> I've been working on so JT65HF 5w or less using a FT-950 and G5RV Jr.
>
> I would take the field with my IC-703 and I have doubts about the power
> and damage that may occur to the end of radio, working with 5W or 10W?
>
> Happy New Year 2014!!!!
>
> Severino - YV5OF
>
>
>
>

--

*73! / Severino / YV5OF Yanki -Victor-Five-Oscar-FoxtrotTwitter : @yv5of *
Sysop YV5OF-JS : YV5OF-2 <http://aprs-ve.no-ip.org:14501>
*APRS Mobile SSID : YV5OF-7
<http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?yv5of-7> / YV5OF-9
<http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?yv5of-9>*
QRZ : YV5OF <http://www.qrz.com/db/yv5of>

*CWOP Station : EW0708 <http://aprs.fi/weather/a/EW0708> / YV5OF-13
<http://aprs.fi/weather/a/YV5OF-13>Locator: FK60OKITU Zone : 12CQ Zone :
9Caracas / Venezuela South América.*

388. R: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-03 17:11:53 UTC
From: Vincenzo Mone
Topic: 128 Message: 388

Please tell me how to set the D4 software and how to shut

off the Windows Time?

Thanks

73's de Enzo IK8OZV

EasyLog 5 BetaTester

EasyLog PDA BetaTester

WinBollet BetaTester

D.C.I. CheckPoint Regione Campania

Skype: ik8ozv8520

****

******* GSM +39 328 7110193 *******

****** SMS +39 328 7110193 ******

*** 2nd e-mail: vimone@... ***

****

Da: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] Per conto di Wes Linscott
Inviato: giovedì 26 dicembre 2013 20:04
A: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Oggetto: Re: R: R: [JT65HF] No Decode

It could have EVERYTHING to do with not copying if your timing is off. Even though you have D4, make sure that Windows Time has been shut off and that D4 has control of the computer clock with frequent updates. I have mine set to update every 15 minutes. Some use a longer period and some a shorter period. But, timing is VERY important.

W1LIC

389. VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-17 00:07:04 UTC
From: <chris@...>
Topic: 389 Message: 389

I am trying to set up WSJT-X v1.1 r3496 and although it decodes fine
it fails to read the Kenwood TS-590 rig frequency. If I set it to transmit, it
does so and I see spots. A screen shot of my setup is at
http://www.gatesgarth.com/jt65.jpg http://www.gatesgarth.com/jt65.jpg

I am using VSPE (Virtual Serial
Port Emulator) and JT-65 is having none of it. I can get it to poll
the rig frequency correctly only if I disable VSPE and set the 590 to
use the "real" serial port, COM1 and set JT-65 to do the same.

If I use VSPE to split COM1 to COM9 and set JT-65 to use COM9 it won't
get the rig frequency and disables CAT control.

A question. Is anyone using VSPE successfully with JT-65?

390. Re: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-17 07:46:36 UTC
From: Ian Wade, G3NRW
Topic: 389 Message: 390

In message <lb9s78+a9nqls@...>, chris@... writes
>

>I am trying to set up WSJT-X v1.1 r3496

>I am using VSPE (Virtual Serial
>Port Emulator) and JT-65 is having none of it.

Chris

Which do you mean -- WSJT-X or JT-65? They are different packages.

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

391. Re[2]: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-17 09:48:45 UTC
From: Chris Wilson
Topic: 389 Message: 391

Hello G3NRW,

Friday, January 17, 2014, 7:46:36 AM, you wrote:

> In message <lb9s78+a9nqls@...>, chris@... writes
>>
>
>>I am trying to set up WSJT-X v1.1 r3496
>
>>I am using VSPE (Virtual Serial
>>Port Emulator) and JT-65 is having none of it.
>
> Chris
>
> Which do you mean -- WSJT-X or JT-65? They are different packages.
>
> --
> 73
> Ian, G3NRW

Hi Ian, sorry, I hadn't realised they were different as WSJT-X seems to transmit and receive JT-65 (and JT-9). I am attempting to get WSJT-X working with VSPE. Thanks.

--

Best regards,
Chris mailto:chris@...

392. Re: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 17:14:38 UTC
From: Ian Wade, G3NRW
Topic: 389 Message: 392

In message <1761488783.20140117094845@...>, Chris Wilson
<chris@...> writes
>
> >>I am trying to set up WSJT-X v1.1 r3496
>>
> >>I am using VSPE (Virtual Serial
> >>Port Emulator) and JT-65 is having none of it.
>>
>> Chris
>>

Hi Chris

I have just taken a closer look at the screenshot you posted. It seems that you are trying to share COM9 between WSJT-X and ARCP-590, presumably using a VSPE "Splitter" device.

In principle, this should work, but there is a problem. I have done many tests with WSJT-X, and unfortunately it just can't handle large amounts of unsolicited input traffic -- it simply grinds to a halt for long periods (seconds).

When you run ARCP-590, the radio's Auto Information mode is set to ON. This results in a huge amount of unsolicited CAT responses from the radio to the PC -- this is the way ARCP-590 works. If you share this unsolicited traffic via a VSPE connection with WSJT-X, WSJT-X just can't handle it. I suspect poor input buffer handling. That's why you don't see any frequency updating in WSJT-X, at least not in a timely fashion.

So the problem has nothing to do with VSPE. If you run any program other than ARCP-590 via a VSPE Splitter, I am sure WSJT-X will work OK.

A gotcha -- when you start ARCP-590, it turns Auto Information ON. When you terminate ARCP-590, it does *not* turn Auto Information OFF, so the radio continues to send unsolicited CAT responses to the PC, even after ARCP-590 has died. The simplest way to stop this is to power-cycle the radio!

Unfortunately there is no real way around this. You need real PC horsepower to run ARCP-590 and WSJT-X at the same time.

Hope this helps.

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

393. monitor TX signal

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 19:58:41 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 393 Message: 393

Hi all

I have just (seemingly) been called back by a station on 20m, however I was unable to decode the received signal. Examination of the graphic showed frequency drift in the early part of the transmission and I wonder if there is any way of a station monitoring their own signal through software other than setting up a SDR. Perhaps a new feature?

Cheers all

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

394. Re[2]: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 20:25:09 UTC
From: Chris Wilson
Topic: 389 Message: 394

Hello G3NRW,

Sunday, January 19, 2014, 5:14:38 PM, you wrote:

> Hi Chris
>
> I have just taken a closer look at the screenshot you posted. It seems
> that you are trying to share COM9 between WSJT-X and ARCP-590,
> presumably using a VSPE "Splitter" device.
>
> In principle, this should work, but there is a problem. I have done many
> tests with WSJT-X, and unfortunately it just can't handle large amounts
> of unsolicited input traffic -- it simply grinds to a halt for long
> periods (seconds).
>
> When you run ARCP-590, the radio's Auto Information mode is set to ON.
> This results in a huge amount of unsolicited CAT responses from the
> radio to the PC -- this is the way ARCP-590 works. If you share this
> unsolicited traffic via a VSPE connection with WSJT-X, WSJT-X just can't
> handle it. I suspect poor input buffer handling. That's why you don't
> see any frequency updating in WSJT-X, at least not in a timely fashion.
>
> So the problem has nothing to do with VSPE. If you run any program other
> than ARCP-590 via a VSPE Splitter, I am sure WSJT-X will work OK.
>
> A gotcha -- when you start ARCP-590, it turns Auto Information ON. When
> you terminate ARCP-590, it does *not* turn Auto Information OFF, so the
> radio continues to send unsolicited CAT responses to the PC, even after
> ARCP-590 has died. The simplest way to stop this is to power-cycle the
> radio!
>
> Unfortunately there is no real way around this. You need real PC
> horsepower to run ARCP-590 and WSJT-X at the same time.
>
> Hope this helps.
>
> --
> 73
> Ian, G3NRW

Thanks for going into this in some depth. I have to be honest and say
I have totally failed to get WSJT-X working. Just once it PTT'd the
590 and I think it also read the frequency correctly. I had no other
devices or programmes sharing the port (3). The Port 3 (Silicon Labs
driver) is shown in Windows System as 9600 baud, 8 data bits, no
parity, 1 stop bit, no flow control. Despite setting WSJT-X up

exactly the same it's having none of it. I am sure it's a damned clever programme, and although I am not a big fan of digital modes and prefer talking to a real person, it does seem the de facto standard to see how far a few milliwatts or whatever will propagate. If only the brain power that must have gone into the algorithms was shared with the set up routine, and it was like ROS or Opera, where the software automagically searches for the rig's settings and voila, it just works :)

Googling WSJT, WSPR and other similar apps from the same family shows I am far from alone in having trouble getting CAT control working. I am loathe to try VOX, it really is a horrible way of enabling digital stuff.

Anyaway, I really appreciate the advice above, sadly I have failed to be able to use it effectively, sorry. I'll come back to it afresh at a later time I think, unless you see an obvious foolishness?

Cheers Ian!

--

Best regards,
Chris mailto:chris@...

395. Re: Re[2]: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 20:28:34 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 389 Message: 395

I use VSPM with WSJT-X without issues.
Andy K3UK

On Sun, Jan 19, 2014 at 3:25 PM, Chris Wilson <chris@...> wrote:

>
>
>
>
> Hello G3NRW,
>
>
> Sunday, January 19, 2014, 5:14:38 PM, you wrote:
>
> > Hi Chris
> >
> > I have just taken a closer look at the screenshot you posted. It seems
> > that you are trying to share COM9 between WSJT-X and ARCP-590,
> > presumably using a VSPE "Splitter" device.
> >
> > In principle, this should work, but there is a problem. I have done many
> > tests with WSJT-X, and unfortunately it just can't handle large amounts
> > of unsolicited input traffic -- it simply grinds to a halt for long
> > periods (seconds).
> >
> > When you run ARCP-590, the radio's Auto Information mode is set to ON.
> > This results in a huge amount of unsolicited CAT responses from the
> > radio to the PC -- this is the way ARCP-590 works. If you share this
> > unsolicited traffic via a VSPE connection with WSJT-X, WSJT-X just can't
> > handle it. I suspect poor input buffer handling. That's why you don't
> > see any frequency updating in WSJT-X, at least not in a timely fashion.
> >
> > So the problem has nothing to do with VSPE. If you run any program other
> > than ARCP-590 via a VSPE Splitter, I am sure WSJT-X will work OK.
> >
> > A gotcha -- when you start ARCP-590, it turns Auto Information ON. When
> > you terminate ARCP-590, it does *not* turn Auto Information OFF, so the
> > radio continues to send unsolicited CAT responses to the PC, even after
> > ARCP-590 has died. The simplest way to stop this is to power-cycle the
> > radio!
> >
> > Unfortunately there is no real way around this. You need real PC
> > horsepower to run ARCP-590 and WSJT-X at the same time.
> >
> > Hope this helps.

> >
> > --
> > 73
> > Ian, G3NRW
>
> Thanks for going into this in some depth. I have to be honest and say
> I have totally failed to get WSJT-X working. Just once it PTT'd the
> 590 and I think it also read the frequency correctly. I had no other
> devices or programmes sharing the port (3). The Port 3 (Silicon Labs
> driver) is shown in Windows System as 9600 baud, 8 data bits, no
> parity, 1 stop bit, no flow control. Despite setting WSJT-X up
> exactly the same it's having none of it. I am sure it's a damned
> clever programme, and although I am not a big fan of digital modes
> and prefer talking to a real person, it does seem the de facto
> standard to see how far a few milliwatts or whatever will propagate.
> If only the brain power that must have gone into the algorithms was
> shared with the set up routine, and it was like ROS or Opera, where
> the software automagically searches for the rig's settings and voila,
> it just works :)
>
> Googling WSJT, WSPR and other similar apps from the same family shows
> I am far from alone in having trouble getting CAT control working. I
> am loathe to try VOX, it really is a horrible way of enabling digital
> stuff.
>
> Anyaway, I really appreciate the advice above, sadly I have failed to
> be able to use it effectively, sorry. I'll come back to it afresh at
> a later time I think, unless you see an obvious foolishness?
>
> Cheers Ian!
>
>
> --
>
> Best regards,
> Chris mailto:chris@...
>
>
>

396. Re: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 20:35:21 UTC
From: Ian Wade, G3NRW
Topic: 389 Message: 396

In message
<CAKpk-KNOUcMpo0gwhFx4yFQCvJ+NUe7Pr1oB4joseUkwiMDzzA@...>,
Andrew O'Brien <k3ukandy@...> writes
>I use VSPM with WSJT-X without issues.
>Andy K3UK

Ah, but do you run ARCP-590 at the same time? As I said earlier, it's
ARCP-590 that leads to overload of the input to WSJT-X.

If ARCP-590 isn't running, VSPE and WSJT-X do indeed play well together.
That's what I use here.

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

397. Re: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 20:37:07 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 389 Message: 397

I think VSPE and VSPM are different.

On Sun, Jan 19, 2014 at 3:35 PM, Ian Wade, G3NRW
<g3nrw-radio@...>wrote:

>
>
> In message
> <CAKpk-KNOUcMpo0gwhFx4yFQCvJ+NUe7Pr1oB4joseUkwiMDzzA@...>,
> Andrew O'Brien <k3ukandy@...> writes
>
> >I use VSPM with WSJT-X without issues.
> >Andy K3UK
>
> Ah, but do you run ARCP-590 at the same time? As I said earlier, it's
> ARCP-590 that leads to overload of the input to WSJT-X.
>
> If ARCP-590 isn't running, VSPE and WSJT-X do indeed play well together.
> That's what I use here.
>
> --
> 73
> Ian, G3NRW
>
>
>

398. Re[2]: [JT65HF] VSPE and JT-65 issues

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 20:41:01 UTC
From: Chris Wilson
Topic: 389 Message: 398

Hello G3NRW,

Sunday, January 19, 2014, 8:35:21 PM, you wrote:

>
>
>
>
>
> In message
>
> <CAKpk-KNOUcMpo0gwhFx4yFQCvJ+NUe7Pr1oB4joseUkwiMDzzA@...>,
> Andrew O'Brien <k3ukandy@...> writes
>>I use VSPM with WSJT-X without issues.
>>Andy K3UK
>
> Ah, but do you run ARCP-590 at the same time? As I said earlier, it's
> ARCP-590 that leads to overload of the input to WSJT-X.
>
> If ARCP-590 isn't running, VSPE and WSJT-X do indeed play well together.
> That's what I use here.
>
> --
> 73
> Ian, G3NRW

No, no, honestly, no Kenwood programmes at all are running, no HDSDR, nothing except WSJT-X. I have stopped VSPE running as well, just a basic set up. Damned odd, I do not doubt you and others have it running fine, it's not the first time I have had issues with this software, it must be me!

--

Best regards,
Chris mailto:chris@...

399. oOdd sound on 80 meters jt65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-19 22:47:06 UTC
From: <jrrebel73@...>
Topic: 399 Message: 399

Hi All

I have a question that may have been ask before.
The other night on 80 meters I was listening for signals, the band was very quiet.
I then heard a sound that reminded me of the old wwII movies when they dropped the bombs that hi pitched whistle sound.The tone change to a lower tone as it went on, lasted about 15 seconds.At the same time on the waterfall was a very solid striaght line that started at the left upper corner and ended right lower corner at about a 60 degree drop.No other signals where on the waterfall.

Thanks in advance
John K5JRW

400. RE: monitor TX signal

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-20 23:49:49 UTC
From: <kg6mc@...>
Topic: 393 Message: 400

Don't know how to directly monitor yourself, but it is fairly easy to see how you are getting out by going to one of the Reverse Beacon websites. http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html http://jt65.w6cqz.org/freceptions.html or http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html?preset&callsign=ZZZZZ&what=all&mode=JT65&band=6000000-8000000&timerange=900 http://pskreporter.info/pskmap.html?preset&callsign=ZZZZZ&what=all&mode=JT65&band=6000000-8000000&timerange=900 are perhaps the best.

Bob, KG6MC

401. JT65 and K3 question.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-22 17:33:21 UTC
From: barry whittemore
Topic: 401 Message: 401

A few months ago i had been using the JT65 HF with my K3 and i made some changes to my setup.

recently when i try to use it it will not do the PTT. I am using commander for rig interface and that works fine. The frequency is good and comes over but the PTT does not work. If i push the TX button on commander it works fine but the JT65 is not getting to it. I know i must be missing something but cant seem to find what. the software seems to work if i manually enable PTT. Using MMTTY for RTTY and FL digi for PSK etc all works fine.

I ahve the commander checked and the right comm port selected.

any ideas?

Thanks

Barry

NF1O

402. RE: signal on 7.076 at 13:50 2013-12-14

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-22 17:34:31 UTC
From: <martrah@...>
Topic: 366 Message: 402

It is not always that other station splatters (mostly it is though) but i have seen situations where it is actually soundcard (or USB interface) that doesn't have enough dynamic range.

73, Mart

403. RE: oOdd sound on 80 meters jt65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-22 17:35:14 UTC
From: <martrah@...>
Topic: 399 Message: 403

Homebrew equipment tuners often use relatively quiet parts of the band. Maybe not quite stable project?

73, Mart

404. RE: JT65 and K3 question.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-23 21:29:05 UTC
From: <tuck@...>
Topic: 401 Message: 404

Try turning commander off. shut it down entirely. Now, start jt-65hf and see if ptt works.
Tuck AF6GQ

405. RE: [JT65HF] RE: JT65 and K3 question.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-23 22:59:13 UTC
From: barry whittemore
Topic: 401 Message: 405

Thanks,
That got me to the solution. problem was both commander and JT65 had the port commander won. turn off commander port and all is well.
thanks and 73
barry
NF1O

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: tuck@...
Date: Thu, 23 Jan 2014 13:29:05 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: JT65 and K3 question.

Try turning commander off. shut it down entirely. Now, start jt-65hf and see if ptt works.
Tuck AF6GQ

406. RE: JT65 and K3 question.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-23 23:19:05 UTC
From: <tuck@...>
Topic: 401 Message: 406

I had this too. jt65 hf can use the commander but if your ptt is on the same port you have problems.
the ptt port is opened and closed as needed but the commander opens the port till you shut it down. jt65 hf was not smart enough to know that if the ptt port was already open. If you used commander, the port became "busy" to the ptt.. The fix would be easy but it does not appear to have been maintained in some time. (Does one become tired of Florida?)
I think wsjtx can handle cat and ptt. but it doesn't use commander. It does it's own version
73 Tuck AF6GQ

407. wsjtx tx audio

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-24 02:47:51 UTC
From: <tuck@...>
Topic: 407 Message: 407

I am able to run jt65 hf just fine on my K2 and win/xp machine, But I am not able to run the latest wsjtx. While it decodes fine, when I put it in Tx, there is no audio to the K2. PTT is ok, but no sound. There is no problem if I run JT-65 HF. There was a similar sounding post 2-months ago but no solution. Has anybody seen this problem?
TIA.
73 Tuck AF6GQ

408. RE: wsjtx tx audio

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-25 14:51:07 UTC
From: <wa4mit@...>
Topic: 407 Message: 408

Did you select the correct soundcard in configuration, station at the bottom of screen?. Or has somehow in the sound card setup your output to rig become muted in TX. Since it works on 65HF it would seem it should work. Good luck 73 wa4mit Morris

409. RE: [JT65HF] RE: wsjtx tx audio

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-01-25 17:20:46 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 407 Message: 409

Not sure why or how this was happening, but occasionally my line-in and microphone inputs became reversed, thus selecting what I thought was live was the inactive one. I checked on the windows sound / recording devices window which showed which was actually receiving the audio and set the software accordingly. The Creative Soundblaster card’s control panel sometimes showed both as muted and I had to unmute the one I wanted on occasion. I suspected that another bit of s/w called to the wrong line and somehow switched the other one (the active one) to mute, but it was so intermittent that I did not follow this line of reasoning up. Now using a USB link on the new rig so these problems have ceased now.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of wa4mit@...
Sent: 25 January 2014 14:51
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: wsjtx tx audio

Did you select the correct soundcard in configuration, station at the bottom of screen?. Or has somehow in the sound card setup your output to rig become muted in TX. Since it works on 65HF it would seem it should work. Good luck 73 wa4mit Morris

410. RE: wsjtx tx audio

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-02 17:27:02 UTC
From: <tuck@...>
Topic: 407 Message: 410

I finally solved this. On my Win XP/sp3 machine, I needed to connectd the Tx sound to the DirectX output. wsjtx would not work with the standard mapper, but the rx audio is just fine with the mapper. Go figure...
BTW, I also tried the beta version and it cant find the mapper at all, only the actual sound card.
73 Tuck AF6GQ

411. Unable to Operate

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-02 17:29:30 UTC
From: <n5age@...>
Topic: 411 Message: 411

Hi: New to JT65 so any guidance appreciated.
Have installed the JT65HF software from the link on ARRL. I have entered station info and selected my sound input & output devices (USB Codec since I am using a SignaLink USB interface.
When selecting the COM port in the Configuration tab I have tried every number with no success. I also tried using the "Alternate" with no success.
The program starts fine and I can see a solid blue waterfall with an occasional red line but nothing else.
The SignaLink works fine with PSK so do not think that is the issue.
Operating via a Kenwood TS-140S
Obviously missing something, any suggestions appreciated.
73
Armando, N5AGE

412. Re: [JT65HF] Unable to Operate

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-02 18:27:51 UTC
From: wa7ag
Topic: 411 Message: 412

Make sure you are on the proper side band and freqy. And no more than twenty watts out on any mode.

n5age@yahoo.com wrote:

>Hi: New to JT65 so any guidance appreciated.
>Have installed the JT65HF software from the link on ARRL. I have entered station info and selected my sound input & output devices (USB Codec since I am using a SignaLink USB interface.
>When selecting the COM port in the Configuration tab I have tried every number with no success. I also tried using the "Alternate" with no success.
>The program starts fine and I can see a solid blue waterfall with an occasional red line but nothing else.
>The SignaLink works fine with PSK so do not think that is the issue.
>Operating via a Kenwood TS-140S
>Obviously missing something, any suggestions appreciated.
>73
>Armando, N5AGE

413. Re: [JT65HF] Unable to Operate

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-02 18:31:10 UTC
From: Wes Linscott
Topic: 411 Message: 413

And how did you arrive at that 20 watt restriction of yours?

From: wa7ag <wa7ag@...>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 2, 2014 1:27 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Unable to Operate

Make sure you are on the proper side band and freqy. And no more than twenty watts out on any mode.

n5age@... wrote:

Hi: New to JT65 so any guidance appreciated.
Have installed the JT65HF software from the link on ARRL. I have entered station info and selected my sound input & output devices (USB Codec since I am using a SignaLink USB interface.
When selecting the COM port in the Configuration tab I have tried every number with no success. I also tried using the "Alternate" with no success.
The program starts fine and I can see a solid blue waterfall with an occasional red line but nothing else.
The SignaLink works fine with PSK so do not think that is the issue.
Operating via a Kenwood TS-140S
Obviously missing something, any suggestions appreciated.
73
Armando, N5AGE

414. Re: [JT65HF] Unable to Operate

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-02 22:03:40 UTC
From: Tony VK2AJF
Topic: 411 Message: 414

Hi Armando,

The "Rig Control/PTT" panel gives you 3 options for controlling your rig, HamRadioDeluxe, OmniRig or Commander for PTT. I have used both HRD and Commander successfully for TX.

Incidentally to find out which port your radio CAT control connection is using, start Windows' Device Manager. Under the heading "Ports" it lists the numbers of each USB ports in use.

Cheers ... Tony VK2AJF, Sydney.

On Monday, February 3, 2014, <n5age@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hi: New to JT65 so any guidance appreciated.
> Have installed the JT65HF software from the link on ARRL. I have entered
> station info and selected my sound input & output devices (USB Codec since
> I am using a SignaLink USB interface.
> When selecting the COM port in the Configuration tab I have tried every
> number with no success. I also tried using the "Alternate" with no success.
> The program starts fine and I can see a solid blue waterfall with an
> occasional red line but nothing else.
> The SignaLink works fine with PSK so do not think that is the issue.
> Operating via a Kenwood TS-140S
> Obviously missing something, any suggestions appreciated.
> 73
> Armando, N5AGE
>
>

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

415. RE: Unable to Operate

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-03 12:20:54 UTC
From: <kg6mc@...>
Topic: 411 Message: 415

Armando,

What COM Port are you using for PSK? You should select the same COM Port for JT65.

Also. restart your computer before trying to use the JT65 the first time. I have found that other programs (Digipan, HRD, etc.) occasionally will not release the COM Port when they should and while the receive works fine it will not transmit. Just make sure that you start JT65 before any other HAM Radio programs are run. Then troubleshoot your JT65 setup. If it runs OK then your problem is just with your computer configuration not the JT65 setup.

Bob, KG6MC

416. power settings

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-04 03:05:26 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 416 Message: 416

Hi Group,
When I responded to a question posted here from someone looking for help on setting up their rig and SignaLink USB, I mentioned that the power level OUTPUT should not be more than 30 watts.
Some responded to my comment with " Where did you hear that ?" or something

to that effect.
In the instructions for FLDIGI , on page 12,paragraph 4.2 under " adjustments " .
Also :

JT65-HF
On page "WARNING " page in big red letters " 25 to 30 watts is considered "HIGH POWER"
5-10 watts or less is effective .
Also mentioned ,
" JT65 IS EQUIVALENT TO 100% kEY DOWN carrier for the47 second TX duration.
You can easily overheat your final ampliferif it can not handle this situation for the full duration.
If you melt your finals you have only yourself to blame. "
" Running at full output power is seldom necessary or desirable due to the incredible sensitivity of JT65.
Most users find 5 to 10 watts { or less} highly effective and 25 to 30 watts is considered 'high power' "
end of quotes ...
"when in doubt,read the instructions "
73,
Art

417. Am I allowed to post a question about JT9 on this bb?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-05 20:14:15 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 417 Message: 417

Am I allowed to post a question about JT9 on this bb?

418. IC-7200 and Linux

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-08 16:58:15 UTC
From: <stansberrymj@...>
Topic: 418 Message: 418

Hello, all.

I'm attempting to use JT9/JT65 running on Linux, revision r3496 with my Icom IC-7200. Works fine but then I decided to try rig control, and much to my surprise, I do not find the IC07200 in the radio list. It is on r3673 on XP. I also do not see a set up choice to put in the radio CI-V address so i cannot choose a different radio to see if it will work. I do NOT want to change the CI-V address in the radio. I have other Icom radios and I prefer to leave them at the default address.

Does anyone know of a work around? I think I will just have to wait until the Linux version is updated in order to use cat control with my IC-7200 on Linux.

Thanks and 73,

Mike, K0TER

419. wsjtx on Linux, r3496

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-08 19:25:13 UTC
From: <stansberrymj@...>
Topic: 419 Message: 419

This may be normal operation, but here goes.
Using cat control, on 15M I moved from 21.076 to 21.075.500 to
get a bit farther from the RTTY above 21.076.

The frequency display on the wxjtx window followed my dial frequency
but when the contact was saved, data in the .adi file had a 500 Hz offset.
So it did not read the dial frequency to save the data.

Again, is this normal? Or has this been fixed on a later version that
has not made it to Linux?

Thanks for any information,

Mike, K0TER

420. JT9/JT65 on Linux

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-08 21:05:21 UTC
From: <stansberrymj@...>
Topic: 420 Message: 420

Another anomoly I've discovered when using r3496 on Linux.
Again, is this something that's been corrected but not caught
up since the version on Linux is not the latest?

When logging a contact, the time put in the .adi file was the end
time, not the start time. This particular contact started at 2052Z
and the end time in the .adi file is shows as 'time_on: 2100.

I guess I'll move to the XP computer and see if it does things
differently.

73, Mike, K0TER

421. decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-09 19:36:25 UTC
From: Bill Gardiner
Topic: 421 Message: 421

Using signalink (which works fine with PSK31 and WSPR).
Win 7 and FT-950.
No decodes so far...any hints greatly appreciated.
Bill

422. re: IC-7200 and Linux

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-09 20:10:38 UTC
From: <stansberrymj@...>
Topic: 418 Message: 422

> I'm attempting to use JT9/JT65 running on Linux, revision r3496 with
> my Icom IC-7200. Works fine but then I decided to try rig control, and
> much to my surprise, I do not find the IC07200 in the radio list. It is
> on r3673 on XP. I also do not see a set up choice to put in the radio
> CI-V address so i cannot choose a different radio to see if it will work.
> I do NOT want to change the CI-V address in the radio. I have other
> Icom radios and I prefer to leave them at the default address.
>
> Does anyone know of a work around? I think I will just have to wait
> until the Linux version is updated in order to use cat control with
> my IC-7200 on Linux.
>

I "solved" this one myself. I went ahead and tried an IC-718 and set the CI-V address to the IC-718's address. Everything seems to work, including the split operation. So I went ahead and changed the addresses in the other programs I use to match.

73, Mike, K0TER

423. RE: decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-10 09:30:12 UTC
From: <astro_maryland@...>
Topic: 421 Message: 423

Bill,

Are you using a 'clock synch program'?

What mode are you using for the FT-950?

Which exact JT65 program software are you using?

Finally, can you transmit?

73, Rich, K3VAT

424. RE: [JT65HF] RE: decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-10 12:51:08 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 421 Message: 424

I am using usb as mode.
Sync program is meinberg.
Using jt65hf program.
I can clitoral on espresso and Pakistan..
Have not tried yet on jt65.
Where does the program get its time???
Thanks for responding. .

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE Smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: astro_maryland@yahoo.com
Date:02/10/2014 4:30 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: decode problem

Bill,

Are you using a 'clock synch program'?

What mode are you using for the FT-950?

Which exact JT65 program software are you using?

Finally, can you transmit?

73, Rich, K3VAT

425. Re: decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-10 15:55:10 UTC
From: Dave W
Topic: 421 Message: 425

I'm using Signalink and all settings are the same with PSK and WSPR. I'm using WSJT-X and JT-Alert-X.

426. Re: [JT65HF] RE: decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-10 21:39:17 UTC
From: Bill Gardiner
Topic: 421 Message: 426

I am now using WSJT-X.
I am using Dimension 4 and clock is right on.
Still no decode altho i can use Fldigi with no problem.
I still think it is a setting somewhere either in my rig or the software.
Thanks Bill N3DXE

On 2/10/2014 4:30 AM, astro_maryland@... wrote:
>
> Bill,
>
>
> Are you using a 'clock synch program'?
>
>
> What mode are you using for the FT-950?
>
>
> Which exact JT65 program software are you using?
>
>
> Finally, can you transmit?
>
>
> 73, Rich, K3VAT
>
>
>

427. Re: [JT65HF] Re: decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-10 21:40:29 UTC
From: Bill Gardiner
Topic: 421 Message: 427

Thanks...what does Alert-x do...???

On 2/10/2014 10:55 AM, Dave W wrote:
>
> I'm using Signalink and all settings are the same with PSK and WSPR. I'm
> using WSJT-X and JT-Alert-X.
>
>

428. What mode?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-11 01:47:30 UTC
From: mefazamy
Topic: 428 Message: 428

For the first time this evening, I saw on the waterfall a digital signal a tad wider than JT9 and had about a 50 second interval as JT9. It was up frequency from the JT9 activity on 40M. What mode?

429. Re: [JT65HF] RE: decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-11 11:45:02 UTC
From: <astro_maryland@...>
Topic: 421 Message: 429

OK Bill,

If you're using WSJT-X, Joe Taylor has just very recently updated the User's Guide which guides one along the install and setup procedures.

Check here: http://www.physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/K1JT/wsjtx-doc/wsjtx-main-toc2.html

If the clock is synch'd and you are able to both see (on the waterfall) and hear (on the speaker) the demodulated tones, then after a few cycles (minutes) you should be able to decode. Since you are not, then I would look at the setup configuration for the rig (using CAT, etc.) per the above documentation.

GL, 73, Rich K3VAT

430. Re: [JT65HF] RE: decode problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-11 21:49:21 UTC
From: Bill Gardiner
Topic: 421 Message: 430

Rich...i started reading the user guide and will keep trying to connect.
I looked you up on QRZ and am very impressed.
Quite an accomplishment.
Thanks for all your assistance.
73's Bill N3DXE

On 2/11/2014 6:45 AM, astro_maryland@... wrote:
>
> OK Bill,
>
> If you're using WSJT-X, Joe Taylor has just very recently updated the
> User's Guide which guides one along the install and setup procedures.
>
> Check here:
> http://www.physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/K1JT/wsjtx-doc/wsjtx-main-toc2.html
>
> If the clock is synch'd and you are able to both see (on the
> waterfall) and hear (on the speaker) the demodulated tones, then after
> a few cycles (minutes) you should be able to decode. Since you are
> not, then I would look at the setup configuration for the rig (using
> CAT, etc.) per the above documentation.
>
> GL, 73, Rich K3VAT
>
>

431. wsjtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-14 18:27:24 UTC
From: <stansberrymj@...>
Topic: 431 Message: 431

I want to say a big THANK YOU to Joe, K1JT, for providing to us this great wsjtx software package. Also, thank you to AC6SL and KA6MAL for compiling and putting wsjtx on the Ubuntu Linux repository. I had been unable to compile make run the earlier versions of wsjtx.

I really like the combined JT9 and JT65 in the current versions of wsjtx. I've been using the Linux version, r3496, and had absolutely NO problems with the program. As a matter of fact, the only issue I see is that the LOG function saves the current time when the log button is pushed and not the QSO start time. But that's easy enough to change in the LOG window before saving.

Anyway, THANKS to all that had a hand in this program.

73, James M (MIke) Stansberry, K0TER

432. Re: wsjtx

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-15 02:33:29 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 431 Message: 432

Is there any way to select another folder for saving the log other than default folder

Grat program. Thanks to Joe K1JT

VU2TE
Unni

433. WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-20 11:47:25 UTC
From: Gary Wann
Topic: 433 Message: 433

I've used all of the published versions of WSJT since November 2012 and I've had some 670 contact on JT65/JT9 in that time, so I'm fairly familiar with how the software works. However, on upgrading to the latest Windows v1.3, r3673 I get zero decodes on JT65 from the radio. (I didn't actually try with JT9 as weren't any signals at the time). The waterfall looked much more noisy than it has done before with a bright band of 'hash' at the 'zero' point. I tried fiddling with the input volume from the Signalink and also the WSJTX volume, but no joy.

Decode by loading the sample files worked fine for both JT65 and JT9, but absolutely nothing from the radio.

I downgraded to v1.2.1, r3590 and everything works as it should and I'm back on the air again.

I'm using a fairly old Windows XP PC (with all the latest updates), and a Signalink USB driven by a Yaesu FT-817. HRD looks after the rig control through WSJTX.

435. RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-20 11:52:58 UTC
From: <gary.wann714@...>
Topic: 433 Message: 435

73 Gary
G0DNI

436. RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-21 13:53:42 UTC
From: <astro_maryland@...>
Topic: 433 Message: 436

Gary, I also recently did the upgrade (3673) and I immediately noticed that the waterfall gain was set way to high (very bright signatures with a lot of 'trash'). I subtracted 5 db by using the gain button on the waterfall and this made it more tolerable.

Initially had some trouble with decodes, but now everything seems to decode just fine.

I also will be going back to the older version.
73, Rich, K3VAT

437. RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-21 15:30:00 UTC
From: <wa4mit@...>
Topic: 433 Message: 437

Mine is working OK but I have noticed the new version has a lot more rec. side gain than the 3590 version did I seem to be adjusting the gain slider a lot more to compensate for this. Have you tried adjusting sound card output and turn that down if you are using a speaker output switch to a line out. Just as a thought make sure your radio is on the USB I have noticed that sometimes when I load everything up I will be on LSB. 1000mp, HRD, and XPpro here. 73 WA4MIT

438. RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-22 09:54:01 UTC
From: <gary.wann714@...>
Topic: 433 Message: 438

Thanks very much for your reply.
I'll do a clean install in a new directory and try again - yes, definitely on USB (or in fact DIG on the FT-817 which is set to 'USER-U') and all running well again with v1.2.1 r3590. The interface is a Signalink USB which has it's own internal sound card, but I'll play with the sound settings again.

As there haven't been any other reports of problems, it's got to be my setup.

73
Gary

439. RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-22 09:56:38 UTC
From: <gary.wann714@...>
Topic: 433 Message: 439

Rich, thanks for that. I'll have another play, but I'll keep v1.2.1 r3590 as my operating version for now.

73
Gary
G0DNI

440. Re: [JT65HF] RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-22 10:05:10 UTC
From: Ian Wade, G3NRW
Topic: 433 Message: 440

Gary

You are asking your questions in the wrong group. This group (JT65HF) is
for discussion of JT65HF software only, not WSJT-X.

WSJT-X is discussed at length in:

https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/wsjtgroup/info

That's where you will find all the action!

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

In message <le9s3p+1t0i37c@...>, gary.wann714@... writes
>Thanks very much for your reply.
>I'll do a clean install in a new directory and try again - yes, definitely on
>USB (or in fact DIG on the FT-817 which is set to 'USER-U') and all
>running well again with v1.2.1 r3590. The interface is a Signalink USB
>which has it's own internal sound card, but I'll play with the sound
>settings again.
>As there haven't been any other reports of problems, it's got to be my
>setup.
>73
>Gary
>

441. RE: [JT65HF] RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-22 10:30:27 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 433 Message: 441

Ian

Can you clarify your statement?

The group header states this group is for JT65 on HF which is a bigger scope than just the JT65HF software.

My memory seems to suggest that the group was formed out of the WSJTgroup as their focus was more on EME / Meteor scatter etc. and not on the HF operating. That is the reason I joined this group also.

If it is only JT65HF software then it is of no use to me as I find that WSJT-X has many more features.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ian Wade, G3NRW
Sent: 22 February 2014 10:05
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Gary

You are asking your questions in the wrong group. This group (JT65HF) is for discussion of JT65HF software only, not WSJT-X.

WSJT-X is discussed at length in:

https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/wsjtgroup/info

That's where you will find all the action!

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

In message <le9s3p+1t0i37c@...>, gary.wann714@... writes
>Thanks very much for your reply.
>I'll do a clean install in a new directory and try again - yes, definitely on
>USB (or in fact DIG on the FT-817 which is set to 'USER-U') and all
>running well again with v1.2.1 r3590. The interface is a Signalink USB
>which has it's own internal sound card, but I'll play with the sound
>settings again.

>As there haven't been any other reports of problems, it's got to be my
>setup.
>73
>Gary
>

442. Re: [JT65HF] RE: WSJTX v1.3, r3673 - Total decode failure

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-22 11:17:35 UTC
From: Ian Wade, G3NRW
Topic: 433 Message: 442

Hi Charlie

You said:
>The group header states this group is for JT65 on HF which is a bigger
>scope than just the JT65HF software.

Yes, that's how it started, but nowadays most of the detailed discussion on WSJT-X startup, CAT control, signal decoding and the user interface (all operational issues, nothing directly to do with HF) takes place in the "wsjtgroup" Yahoo group.

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

443. WSJT on an Argonaut 515

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-25 20:24:13 UTC
From: <alexr0433@...>
Topic: 443 Message: 443

Hello All,

Trying to get an old Argonaut 515 up and running on WSJT and have struck a problem that I have been unable to get over in that the WSJT software has installed OK on my Vista laptop but I have been totally unable to breathe life into the Meinberg NTC which stays firmly STOPPED.

I would be grateful for any assistance which the Group might be able to provide.

Many thanks

Sandy Robertson G4IAO

444. Contacting without CQ

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-27 00:56:18 UTC
From: Al Sheppard
Topic: 444 Message: 444

I am fairly new to JT65-HF, and I have had good luck with the standard or even abbreviated protocol for QSO's. Is there a way to contact a station without calling a CQ or answering a CQ since you are limited to 13 spaces? E.g., I would like to call W4ZSC without hoping for an answer to my CQ or that station's calling CQ. Thanks Al W4ZSC

445. RE: [JT65HF] Contacting without CQ

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-02-27 01:30:35 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 444 Message: 445

Al,

All you need to do is just call the other station. You can either click on an earlier segment where the other station was in QSO, or manually enter the call in the "TX to Call Sign" box. Then click on the "Answer Caller" box.

This is a fairly common event when you see a station that you would like to work who is in QSO. You wait until the QSO is over (both stations sign 73's) and then make sure that you are on the proper minute (odd or even) and call. This is also done when you look at one of the reverse beacon sites and see that another station is reporting your transmissions but not answering your CQ's.

Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: apsent2000@...
Date: Wed, 26 Feb 2014 19:56:18 -0500
Subject: [JT65HF] Contacting without CQ

I am fairly new to JT65-HF, and I have had good luck with the standard or even abbreviated protocol for QSO’s. Is there a way to contact a station without calling a CQ or answering a CQ since you are limited to 13 spaces? E.g., I would like to call W4ZSC without hoping for an answer to my CQ or that station’s calling CQ. Thanks Al W4ZSC

447. RE: [JT65HF] RE: Am I allowed to post a question about JT9 on this bb?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-03-02 08:36:57 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 417 Message: 447

I would also post on the wsjtgroup forum (wsjtgroup@yahoogroups.com)where the creator of JT9 regularly responds. There is also copious information on Joe Taylor’s site (the creator) http://www.physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/K1JT/wsjtx.html where documentation is readily available on WSJT-X which incorporates JT9.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of martrah@...m
Sent: 02 March 2014 07:33
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] RE: Am I allowed to post a question about JT9 on this bb?

go ahead

448. windows 8 upgrade

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-03-11 11:52:40 UTC
From: <jrrebel73@...>
Topic: 448 Message: 448

Hello to the group
I have upgraded to win 8 from xp, and I have jt65hf working how ever since the upgrade I can no long get freq. from dxlab commander. Has anyone had this issue and if so. what was the fix.
Thanks for help
73 K5JRW John

451. Mac Clock Accuracy/stability

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-03-27 18:52:34 UTC
From: <N7RJN@...>
Topic: 451 Message: 451

I am using a Mac mini to run JT9 and JT65 using WSJT-X. Operating system is OSX 10.9.2.

I have been having trouble with my clock drifting by more than 0.5 seconds, and sometimes randomly over 2.0 seconds. Does anyone know how to stabilize the clock in a Mac. I have found programs for Windows based PCs, but nothing for a Mac with OSX.

Thanks and 73,

Bob - N7RJNWrite a message...

452. I cannot transmit JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-03-29 09:56:28 UTC
From: Barry
Topic: 452 Message: 452

Hello, and thanks for letting me join the group.

I am trying to setup a computer running Windows 7 Ultimate for JT65HF
1.0.9.3

I have HRD Version 5.0 build 2893 & DM780 running OK with a SignaLink USB.

I can send & receive PSK etc no problem.

HRD is set to send PTT via soundcard Vox.

I can receive JT65 OK, but I cannot send.

The SignaLink shows the red transmit light as does my Kenwood TS2000, but
there is no power showing up as being transmitted.

Any thoughts to help me get back on air?

73 Barry M0IOW

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus protection is active.
http://www.avast.com

453. Re: [JT65HF] I cannot transmit JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-03-29 10:47:01 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 452 Message: 453

Try installing WSJT-X setup is easy and very much user friendly

Unni
VU2TE

On Sat, Mar 29, 2014 at 3:26 PM, Barry <Barry@...> wrote:

>
>
> Hello, and thanks for letting me join the group.
>
> I am trying to setup a computer running Windows 7 Ultimate for JT65HF
> 1.0.9.3
>
> I have HRD Version 5.0 build 2893 & DM780 running OK with a SignaLink USB.
>
> I can send & receive PSK etc no problem.
>
> HRD is set to send PTT via soundcard Vox.
>
>
>
> I can receive JT65 OK, but I cannot send.
>
> The SignaLink shows the red transmit light as does my Kenwood TS2000, but
> there is no power showing up as being transmitted.
>
> Any thoughts to help me get back on air?
>
>
>
> 73 Barry M0IOW
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------
> <http://www.avast.com/>
>
> This email is free from viruses and malware because avast!

Antivirus<http://www.avast.com/>protection is active.
>
>
>

454. Re: I cannot transmit JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-01 22:00:34 UTC
From: <Barry@...>
Topic: 452 Message: 454

Problem solved, it turned out to be Rig Control in the JT65HF programme needed the Commander box ticked. Just glad to say it works :-)

455. Tinker Toy Tones

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-12 04:30:10 UTC
From: James Ward
Topic: 455 Message: 455

I just listened to my RF out with a separate receiver and was shocked to hear that something is shaping my jt-65 tones to sound like a kids piano. The tones coming out of the computer sound OK so I'm thinking that the ft-2000 must be shaping the wave forms. Any thoughts?

Jim W6AAJ
San Diego

456. Re: [JT65HF] Tinker Toy Tones

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-12 16:39:11 UTC
From: bcarling@...
Topic: 455 Message: 456

It sounds like you are overloading the fornt end of the seraparate receiver OR you could be overdriving the FT-2000. Generally you only want to run it at about 20-30 watts output if you
want a clean signal.

I find if I run my rig at 100 watts on JT65 or any digital mode, if will sound terrible and have a
wide bandwidth and tend to cause interference.

AF4K
Bry

On 11 Apr 2014 at 21:30, James Ward wrote:

>
>
> I just listened to my RF out with a separate receiver and was shocked to hear that something is
> shaping my jt-65 tones to sound like a kids piano. The tones coming out of the computer sound
> OK so I'm thinking that the ft-2000 must be shaping the wave forms. Any thoughts?
>
> Jim W6AAJ
> San Diego
>
>

457. Re: [JT65HF] Tinker Toy Tones

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-13 05:41:27 UTC
From: <captmgik@...>
Topic: 455 Message: 457

Well I've been very careful not to have any ALC displayed.... I'll experiment with what you suggested.

Tnx es 73

458. Double Decode in WSJT-X?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-14 15:38:01 UTC
From: <jerry@...>
Topic: 458 Message: 458
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

While operating JT9 last night with wsjt-x 1.3 r3673, I saw a station (KK5NI) being decoded apparently twice at two different frequencies in the same time interval (0342Z) as shown in the attached screenshots. The signal strengths differ by 34 dB, so I'm thinking the weaker signal is a spur, Does this seem plausible?

73, Jerry

459. RE: [JT65HF] Double Decode in WSJT-X? [2 Attachments]

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-14 16:01:25 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 458 Message: 459

Jerry

Not only plausible but more common than you might think.

My record is 7 decodes from a possibly overmodulating signal at the centre.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of jerry@...
Sent: 14 April 2014 16:38
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Double Decode in WSJT-X? [2 Attachments]

While operating JT9 last night with wsjt-x 1.3 r3673, I saw a station (KK5NI) being decoded apparently twice at two different frequencies in the same time interval (0342Z) as shown in the attached screenshots. The signal strengths differ by 34 dB, so I'm thinking the weaker signal is a spur, Does this seem plausible?

73, Jerry

460. Re: Double Decode in WSJT-X?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-15 09:55:33 UTC
From: <astro_maryland@...>
Topic: 458 Message: 460

Jerry, Yes, when the audio levels on xmit are incorrectly set, i.e., overdriven. One could drop a quick email to those station ops and mention what they observed.

73, Rich, K3VAT

461. JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-17 19:59:23 UTC
From: bcarling@...
Topic: 461 Message: 461

Hello everyone,

I am new here and just wondering what activities are conducted specifically by JT65 enthusiasts. There seem to be a lot of us if you look at any HF band any day. It is easy to make contacts. What a great mode JT65 is!

I am glad to see that there are some Yahoo Groups etc. for discussing JT65,..

Are there any specific clubs or award programs for this mode?

Any special operating events just for JT65?

I wonder - since we log GRID SQUARES - if there is any recoginition for working say 100 or 1,000 grid squares etc.?

Does anyone have DXCC or WAS awards for JT65?

Many thanks - and I look forwrad to contacting many of you on JT65 soon!

73 - Bry Carling, AF4K

Sanford, FL

462. Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-18 11:38:35 UTC
From: <astro_maryland@...>
Topic: 461 Message: 462

Hi Bry, Welcome to JT65!
There are a number of awards for JT65 WAS:
1.(through ARRL) which LoTW separately and easily tracks (under WAS in the AWARDS section of your LoTW). In fact, I believe that a few have 5 Band WAS JT65!
2. (through eQSL) under the eDX100 Award heading.
I have my QRPp (QSO using < 1.000 watts) Award called "Miles per Watt" using JT9.
There are a whole group of awards offered by several European Organizations (hopefully someone from EU will comment on these).
As far as contests - I've only seen one or two 'minor' events ever listed, but I can't recall the specifics - they do exist, but again, I believe that they are more common in EU.
GL, 73, Rich, K3VAT

463. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-18 12:34:14 UTC
From: Barry Cant
Topic: 461 Message: 463

Hi, the 30MDG has digital awards that include JT65

Barry, MØIOW. 30 Meter Digital Group #0013 My web site on Amateur Radio, the History of the Isle of Wight & Newport the capital. www.india-whisky.org.uk

On Apr 18 2014, astro_maryland@... wrote:

> Hi Bry, Welcome to JT65! There are a number of awards for JT65 WAS:
> 1.(through ARRL) which LoTW separately and easily tracks (under WAS in
> the AWARDS section of your LoTW). In fact, I believe that a few have 5
> Band WAS JT65! 2. (through eQSL) under the eDX100 Award heading. I have
> my QRPp (QSO using < 1.000 watts) Award called "Miles per Watt" using
> JT9. There are a whole group of awards offered by several European
> Organizations (hopefully someone from EU will comment on these). As far
> as contests - I've only seen one or two 'minor' events ever listed, but I
> can't recall the specifics - they do exist, but again, I believe that
> they are more common in EU. GL, 73, Rich, K3VAT
>
>
>

464. Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-18 13:48:53 UTC
From: <n2aie@...>
Topic: 461 Message: 464

Got the WAS with JT65. Lots of activity enjoy it ALSO ther are a couple of Facebook groups JT65A Group..look for it and we'll recommend more
Enjoy the 65, but also cut your power down and try JT9
De
N2AIE
Vince

465. Re: WSJT on an Argonaut 515

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-18 13:51:23 UTC
From: <n2aie@...>
Topic: 443 Message: 465

Try Dimension 4 Or is it 5. ???
Vince

466. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-18 14:30:48 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 461 Message: 466

Thank you, yes. I am working on the WAS.

I have also been enjoying JT9.

Best regards - Bry Carling

> On Apr 18, 2014, at 9:48 AM, <n2aie@...> wrote:
>
> Got the WAS with JT65. Lots of activity enjoy it
>
> ALSO ther are a couple of Facebook groups
> JT65A Group..look for it and we'll recommend more
> Enjoy the 65, but also cut your power down and try JT9
> De
> N2AIE
> Vince
>

467. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-21 11:56:56 UTC
From: John Nelson
Topic: 461 Message: 467

I've wanted to try JT9 but I never see any spots out there for it so I have never been able to log any contacts. Am I looking in the wrong places or is it just that much less popular?

John V. Nelson
Sent from my mobile device

> On Apr 18, 2014, at 10:30 AM, "Brian Carling" <bcarling@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
>
> Thank you, yes. I am working on the WAS.
>
> I have also been enjoying JT9.
>
> Best regards - Bry Carling
>
>
>
>> On Apr 18, 2014, at 9:48 AM, <n2aie@arrl.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Got the WAS with JT65. Lots of activity enjoy it
>>
>> ALSO ther are a couple of Facebook groups
>> JT65A Group..look for it and we'll recommend more
>> Enjoy the 65, but also cut your power down and try JT9
>> De
>> N2AIE
>> Vince
>
>

468. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-21 12:13:18 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 461 Message: 468

Look about 2 KHz above the JT65 stations. I see JT9 stations every day and have worked many if them using WSJT-X software!

Best regards - Bry Carling, AF4K

> On Apr 21, 2014, at 7:56 AM, John Nelson <kd8tzc@...> wrote:
>
> I've wanted to try JT9 but I never see any spots out there for it so I have never been able to log any contacts. Am I looking in the wrong places or is it just that much less popular?
>
> John V. Nelson
> Sent from my mobile device
>
>> On Apr 18, 2014, at 10:30 AM, "Brian Carling" <bcarling@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Thank you, yes. I am working on the WAS.
>>
>> I have also been enjoying JT9.
>>
>> Best regards - Bry Carling
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Apr 18, 2014, at 9:48 AM, <n2aie@...> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> Got the WAS with JT65. Lots of activity enjoy it
>>>
>>> ALSO ther are a couple of Facebook groups
>>> JT65A Group..look for it and we'll recommend more
>>> Enjoy the 65, but also cut your power down and try JT9
>>> De
>>> N2AIE
>>> Vince
>
>

469. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-21 12:19:37 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 461 Message: 469

I also use "WSJT" ,and you have an option to use either JT65 or JT9 .
  When you click on JT9,you will see on the screen to move up { or add }
2 KC's .
   73 es GL,
Art

On Monday, April 21, 2014 5:13 AM, Brian Carling <bcarling@...> wrote:

Look about 2 KHz above the JT65 stations. I see JT9 stations every day and have worked many if them using WSJT-X software!

Best regards - Bry Carling, AF4K

On Apr 21, 2014, at 7:56 AM, John Nelson <kd8tzc@...> wrote:

>I've wanted to try JT9 but I never see any spots out there for it so I have never been able to log any contacts. Am I looking in the wrong places or is it just that much less popular?
>
>John V. Nelson
>Sent from my mobile device
>
>On Apr 18, 2014, at 10:30 AM, "Brian Carling" <bcarling@...> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Thank you, yes. I am working on the WAS.
>>
>>
>>I have also been enjoying JT9.
>>
>>Best regards - Bry Carling
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>On Apr 18, 2014, at 9:48 AM, <n2aie@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Got the WAS with JT65. Lots of activity enjoy it
>>>ALSO ther are a couple of Facebook groups
>>>JT65A Group..look for it and we'll recommend more
>>>Enjoy the 65, but also cut your power down and try JT9
>>>De

```
>>>N2AIE
>>>Vince
```

470. RE: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 Involvement

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-04-21 15:13:20 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 461 Message: 470

I use JT9 as a preference at least when the band is open to DX. On the old rig with 3 KHz bandwidth I had to click the ‘+2Khz’ checkbox when on that mode and tuned to the base frequency. Newer rig has a 5kHz bandwidth on RX so both regions are in view.

All is explained in Joe T’s help files found on his site where the latest downloads of WSJT-X are available.

JT9 has given me more DX than JT65 as weaker signals can be resolved.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Art Galvez
Sent: 21 April 2014 13:20
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 Involvement

I also use "WSJT" ,and you have an option to use either JT65 or JT9 .
When you click on JT9,you will see on the screen to move up { or add }
2 KC's .
73 es GL,
Art

On Monday, April 21, 2014 5:13 AM, Brian Carling <bcarling@...> wrote:

Look about 2 KHz above the JT65 stations. I see JT9 stations every day and have worked many if them using WSJT-X software!

Best regards - Bry Carling, AF4K

On Apr 21, 2014, at 7:56 AM, John Nelson <kd8tzc@...> wrote:

I've wanted to try JT9 but I never see any spots out there for it so I have never been able to log any contacts. Am I looking in the wrong places or is it just that much less popular?

John V. Nelson

Sent from my mobile device

On Apr 18, 2014, at 10:30 AM, "Brian Carling" <bcarling@...> wrote:

Thank you, yes. I am working on the WAS.

I have also been enjoying JT9.

Best regards - Bry Carling

On Apr 18, 2014, at 9:48 AM, <n2aie@arrl.net> wrote:

Got the WAS with JT65. Lots of activity enjoy it

ALSO ther are a couple of Facebook groups

JT65A Group..look for it and we'll recommend more

Enjoy the 65, but also cut your power down and try JT9

De

N2AIE

Vince

471. Win 8 drops info

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-16 17:01:24 UTC
From: John E, Feltz
Topic: 471 Message: 471

Howdy I'm new to this site. I down loaded the program and receive the signals OK. I have a Dell Windows 8 machine. At the 47 second time it is supposed to decode but the program drops out. In fact is disappears from the screen completely! My clock is adjusted properly. Any ideas? TU 73 John W9JN

472. Automatic, but surveyed QSO software. Any new releases of JT65-HF?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-18 13:38:41 UTC
From: ox3xr@...
Topic: 472 Message: 472

Hello everybody,

QSO help
I'm searching for JT65 software that can help making JT65 QSO's running more or less automatically but of course monitored by the operator continousely.

The software must be capable to enter an accomplished QSO in log programs like DX4WIN etc., or make an ADIF-file that can be imported by log programs.

JT-65HF
Any new release of the JT65-HF software?

Best regards
Peter
OX3XR / XP3A

473. Re: [JT65HF] Win 8 drops info

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-19 00:22:23 UTC
From: bcarling@...
Topic: 471 Message: 473

I need to buy anew laptop but am thinking about staying with Windows 7 for my ham applications... I use QSJT-X and FL-DIGI among other ham programs...

Anyone have a recommendation for a good laptop under $600?
I was looking at various I5 processor offerings...

Bry AF4K

On 16 May 2014 at 12:01, 'John E, Feltz' jfw9jn@... wrote:

>
>
> Howdy I´m new to this site. I down loaded the program and receive the signals OK. I have a Dell
> Windows 8 machine. At the 47 second time it is supposed to decode but the program drops out.
> In fact is disappears from the screen completely! My clock is adjusted properly. Any ideas? TU
> 73 John W9JN
>
>
>

474. RE: [JT65HF] Win 8 drops info

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-19 08:59:37 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 471 Message: 474

Hi Bry

I have a Lenovo laptop which was W7 but I have now upgraded to W8.1. i5 2Gb as standard and separate graphics card rather than motherboard based.

It is solidly built and the Lenovo site is easy to use for driver upgrades etc.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 19 May 2014 01:22
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Win 8 drops info

I need to buy anew laptop but am thinking about staying with Windows 7 for my ham
applications... I use QSJT-X and FL-DIGI among other ham programs...

Anyone have a recommendation for a good laptop under $600?
I was looking at various I5 processor offerings...

Bry AF4K

On 16 May 2014 at 12:01, 'John E, Feltz' jfw9jn@... wrote:

>
>
> Howdy I´m new to this site. I down loaded the program and receive the signals OK. I have a Dell
> Windows 8 machine. At the 47 second time it is supposed to decode but the program drops out.
> In fact is disappears from the screen completely! My clock is adjusted properly. Any ideas? TU
> 73 John W9JN
>
>
>

475. Re: [JT65HF] Win 8 drops info

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-19 11:33:13 UTC
From: bcarling@...
Topic: 471 Message: 475

Thanks Charlie - it looks like either that or the Toshiba ones...

I just hope I get on ethat works OK for ham applications and doesn't need an additional graphics card.

Going shopping today.

73 - Bry AF4K

On 19 May 2014 at 9:59, 'chas cartmel' chas@cartmel.m wrote:

>
>
> Hi Bry
> I have a Lenovo laptop which was W7 but I have now upgraded to W8.1. i5 2Gb as
> standard and separate graphics card rather than motherboard based.
>
> It is solidly built and the Lenovo site is easy to use for driver upgrades etc.
>
> 73
> Charlie
> www.G4EST.me.uk
>
>
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
> Sent: 19 May 2014 01:22
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Win 8 drops info
>
>
>
>
> I need to buy anew laptop but am thinking about staying with Windows 7 for my ham
> applications... I use QSJT-X and FL-DIGI among other ham programs...
>
> Anyone have a recommendation for a good laptop under $600?
> I was looking at various I5 processor offerings...
>
> Bry AF4K
>
> On 16 May 2014 at 12:01, 'John E, Feltz' jfw9jn@... wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Howdy I´m new to this site. I down loaded the program and receive the signals OK. I
have a Dell

> > Windows 8 machine. At the 47 second time it is supposed to decode but the program drops out.
> > In fact is disappears from the screen completely! My clock is adjusted properly. Any ideas? TU
> > 73 John W9JN
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

476. lotw error message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-21 01:03:23 UTC
From: tuck@...
Topic: 476 Message: 476

I've gotten the message "WAS candidate does not specify a US state" on a couple of recent LoTW sync's. Even though the LoTW rcvd field is now marked "Y", I have no idea what the message means. Can anyone help?
73 Tuck AF6GQ

477. RE: [JT65HF] lotw error message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-21 10:54:18 UTC
From: barry whittemore
Topic: 476 Message: 477

I believe it means that when they set up their LOTW settings they did not specify a state so only the DXCC entity info comes out. I have gotten that a few times and also gotten no Grid square on VHF qsos for the same reason. They just did not pay attention when they were setting it up.

Barry

NF1O

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Tue, 20 May 2014 18:03:23 -0700
Subject: [JT65HF] lotw error message

I've gotten the message "WAS candidate does not specify a US state" on a couple of recent LoTW sync's. Even though the LoTW rcvd field is now marked "Y", I have no idea what the message means. Can anyone help?

73 Tuck AF6GQ

478. windows 8 being used ??

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-22 22:41:48 UTC
From: jfw9jn@...
Topic: 478 Message: 478

Hi members....I didn't receive any reply about my problems with Windows 8 dropping the program at the 47 mark when it try's to decode the message. so the next question is....does any one use Windows 8 and HAVE SUCCESS? FYI: I have a second computer that runs Windows 7 and have no problems. Please advise me! 73 John W9JN

479. Re: [JT65HF] windows 8 being used ??

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-22 22:45:46 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 478 Message: 479

I am having success with a new Windows 8 laptop. I use WSJT-X

Best regards - Bry Carling

> On May 22, 2014, at 6:41 PM, "jfw9jn@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Hi members....I didn't receive any reply about my problems with Windows 8 dropping the program at the 47 mark when it try's to decode the message. so the next question is....does any one use Windows 8 and HAVE SUCCESS? FYI: I have a second computer that runs Windows 7 and have no problems. Please advise me! 73 John W9JN
>
>

480. Re: [JT65HF] windows 8 being used ??

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-23 02:51:47 UTC
From: Ray
Topic: 478 Message: 480

I am successfully using windows 8.1 with jt65hf HB9HQX edition.
73
WD5DHK Ray

481. RE: [JT65HF] windows 8 being used ??

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-23 03:25:15 UTC
From: John E, Feltz
Topic: 478 Message: 481

HI Ray I tried everything I can. I looked at both computers and both are identical. At 47 well really 48 the program is off the screen. I have to hit the icon on the desk top and it appears again. In win 7 I have no problem. I'm thinking it must be a setup problem in my computer, not the down loaded program. BTW: I deleted the original program and down loaded a new one with the same results. I have not tried HQX program. OK TU for your info. JN

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2014 9:52 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] windows 8 being used ??

I am successfully using windows 8.1 with jt65hf HB9HQX edition.
73
WD5DHK Ray

482. RE: [JT65HF] windows 8 being used ??

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-23 17:30:11 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 478 Message: 482

John

Windows 8.0 and subsequently 8.1 used here without any difficulties whatsoever.

At decode time there is a high CPU load, could it be that or a memory fault perhaps?

Are your drivers up to date both devices and motherboard ones? Clutching at straws perhaps here.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 22 May 2014 23:42
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] windows 8 being used ??

Hi members....I didn't receive any reply about my problems with Windows 8 dropping the program at the 47 mark when it try's to decode the message. so the next question is....does any one use Windows 8 and HAVE SUCCESS? FYI: I have a second computer that runs Windows 7 and have no problems. Please advise me! 73 John W9JN

483. All JT65 Users

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-27 14:37:38 UTC
From: wa4mit@...
Topic: 483 Message: 483

When you want to call CQDX or a State you must observe the rules of JT65 software which means
you need to use this format CQ yourcall DX or CQ yourcall CQDX either of these will work while
CQDX your callsign will not this cannot be double clicked and it put the callsign into answer.
JT65 software interprets this as a Philippines callsign. Thanks and 73 Morris WA4MIT

484. Re: All JT65 Users

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-28 21:41:53 UTC
From: Edward S. Clark IV
Topic: 483 Message: 484

Finally! Thank you!!!!

Ed
WD4ED

485. Re: [JT65HF] Re: All JT65 Users

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-28 21:54:17 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 483 Message: 485

??

Best regards - Bry Carling

> On May 28, 2014, at 5:41 PM, "'Edward S. Clark IV' edclarkiv1@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Finally! Thank you!!!!
>
> Ed
> WD4ED
>

486. six meters open

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-29 04:24:49 UTC
From: wa7ag@...
Topic: 486 Message: 486

Hi group.
for the last couple of evenings, six meters has been open from about 03:00 until about 04:30 UTC.

hope to see you on my screen.
wa7ag in Oregon.

487. Re: [JT65HF] six meters open

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-29 15:50:39 UTC
From: n5ztw@...
Topic: 486 Message: 487

50.290 Mhz??

--- Original message ---
Subject: [JT65HF] six meters open
From: "wa7ag@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wednesday, 28/05/2014 11:24 PM

Hi group.
for the last couple of evenings, six meters has been open from about
03:00
until about 04:30 UTC.

hope to see you on my screen.
wa7ag in Oregon.

488. Re: [JT65HF] six meters open

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-29 16:25:04 UTC
From: Darl Deeds
Topic: 486 Message: 488

50.276 was where I found everyone two nights ago.

NA8W

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:50 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] six meters open

50.290 Mhz??

--- Original message ---
Subject: [JT65HF] six meters open
From: "wa7ag@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Wednesday, 28/05/2014 11:24 PM

Hi group.

for the last couple of evenings, six meters has been open from about 03:00

until about 04:30 UTC.

hope to see you on my screen.

wa7ag in Oregon.

489. Re: [JT65HF] six meters open

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-29 16:34:03 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 486 Message: 489

50.276 USB dial setting and audio will be up from there by whatever tones you apply.

Best regards - Bry Carling

> On May 29, 2014, at 12:25 PM, "Darl Deeds na8w@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
wrote:
>
> 50.276 was where I found everyone two nights ago.
>
> NA8W
>
> From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:50 AM
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [JT65HF] six meters open
>
>
> 50.290 Mhz??
>
> --- Original message ---
> Subject: [JT65HF] six meters open
> From: "wa7ag@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> To: <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> Date: Wednesday, 28/05/2014 11:24 PM
>
>
> Hi group.
>
> for the last couple of evenings, six meters has been open from about 03:00
>
> until about 04:30 UTC.
>
> hope to see you on my screen.
>
> wa7ag in Oregon.
>
>

490. six meters open

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-29 17:49:13 UTC
From: wa7ag@...
Topic: 486 Message: 490

to Oregon

491. Re: [JT65HF] six meters open

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-29 17:58:31 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 486 Message: 491

50.276.00

"n5ztw@texasdata.net [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>50.290 Mhz??
>
>--- Original message ---
>Subject: [JT65HF] six meters open
>From: "wa7ag@yahoo.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
>To: <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
>Date: Wednesday, 28/05/2014 11:24 PM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Hi group.
> for the last couple of evenings, six meters has been open from about
>03:00
>until about 04:30 UTC.
>
>hope to see you on my screen.
>wa7ag in Oregon.
>
>
>
>

492. Re: All JT65 Users

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-29 21:49:33 UTC
From: edclarkiv1@...
Topic: 483 Message: 492

Finally somebody that doesn't like "CQ DX WD4ED" setting of alarms. It sets off alarms (if set) by telling me that the Philippines is calling CQ! Now multiply that by all of the others doing it at the same time. Since I'd like to work the PI I sometime I really don't want to have to turn off alarms I have set. I'm probably not the only one getting annoyed by this. Or we could just ask for a minor, common sense change in procedure.

Thanks,

Ed
WD4ED

493. Logging for different modes and updating eQSL and LoTW

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-30 18:44:24 UTC
From: pa0tbr@...
Topic: 493 Message: 493

Hi group,

I am looking for a proper best way for logging and updating eQSL and LoTW.
I do want to maintain a log for all QSO's, not only JT65 QSO's.

I now do the following on a regular basis:
1) Export an ADIF logfile (last QSO's) from JT65-HF HB9HQX edition.
2) Import this Logfile into Logger32.
3) Export an eQSL file from Logger32.
4) Upload the eQSL file into eQSL.cc
5) Download the entire Inbox in ADIF format from eQSL.cc.
6) Use this file Logger32 with to Synchronise eQSL.

This is quite a process.
Step 5 is not straightforward, I need to copy/paste the data in an editor to save it and I also found that I somehow created a lot of duplicated records in Logger32. The duplicates contain the data from received QSL's from eQSL.cc.

Now I want to start with LoTW as well, so this becomes quite a job to maintain.

There must be an easier way to handle this, but how?
I look forward to your suggestions.

73,

Ton PA0TBR

494. RE: [JT65HF] Logging for different modes and updating eQSL and LoTW

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-30 18:49:19 UTC
From: Howard Sidorsky
Topic: 493 Message: 494

I use HRD’s Logbook program and it easily uploads to LOTW and eqsl, as well as, downloads from these QSLs areas.

The Logging function of JT65 automatically loads to HRDs Logbook…

Howard – K6SID

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 11:44 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Logging for different modes and updating eQSL and LoTW

Hi group,

I am looking for a proper best way for logging and updating eQSL and LoTW.

I do want to maintain a log for all QSO's, not only JT65 QSO's.

I now do the following on a regular basis:

1) Export an ADIF logfile (last QSO's) from JT65-HF HB9HQX edition.

2) Import this Logfile into Logger32.

3) Export an eQSL file from Logger32.

4) Upload the eQSL file into eQSL.cc

5) Download the entire Inbox in ADIF format from eQSL.cc.

6) Use this file Logger32 with to Synchronise eQSL.

This is quite a process.

Step 5 is not straightforward, I need to copy/paste the data in an editor to save it and I also found that I somehow created a lot of duplicated records in Logger32. The duplicates contain the data from received QSL's from eQSL.cc.

Now I want to start with LoTW as well, so this becomes quite a job to maintain.

There must be an easier way to handle this, but how?

I look forward to your suggestions.

73,

Ton PA0TBR

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 2014.0.4592 / Virus Database: 3955/7592 - Release Date: 05/30/14

495. Re: [JT65HF] Logging for different modes and updating eQSL and LoTW

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-05-31 01:05:02 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 493 Message: 495

Try WSJT-X

VU2TE

On Sat, May 31, 2014 at 12:14 AM, pa0tbr@... [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Hi group,
>
> I am looking for a proper best way for logging and updating eQSL and LoTW.
> I do want to maintain a log for all QSO's, not only JT65 QSO's.
>
> I now do the following on a regular basis:
> 1) Export an ADIF logfile (last QSO's) from JT65-HF HB9HQX edition.
> 2) Import this Logfile into Logger32.
> 3) Export an eQSL file from Logger32.
> 4) Upload the eQSL file into eQSL.cc
> 5) Download the entire Inbox in ADIF format from eQSL.cc.
> 6) Use this file Logger32 with to Synchronise eQSL.
>
> This is quite a process.
> Step 5 is not straightforward, I need to copy/paste the data in an editor
> to save it and I also found that I somehow created a lot of duplicated
> records in Logger32. The duplicates contain the data from received QSL's
> from eQSL.cc.
>
> Now I want to start with LoTW as well, so this becomes quite a job to
> maintain.
>
> There must be an easier way to handle this, but how?
> I look forward to your suggestions.
>
> 73,
>
> Ton PA0TBR
>
>
>
>

496. Re: Logging for different modes and updating eQSL and LoTW

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-06-02 12:03:05 UTC
From: pa0tbr@...
Topic: 493 Message: 496

Thank you Unni and Howard for your comments.

I will look into your ways of working.

For now, I have cleaned up my database and do the manual up and downloads. I assume that after some time i get used to it and that it will be less of a hassle.
I did get started with LoTW and the procedure is about the same.

I managed Download of the eQSL Inbox in Chrome by Right click an use "Save link as...". That saves the Copy Paste to a text file to it save to a file.

73,
Ton PA0TBR

497. Re: Logging for different modes and updating eQSL and LoTW

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-06-02 21:14:53 UTC
From: edclarkiv1@...
Topic: 493 Message: 497

I use WSJTX for JT65 and then JTAlerts for looking up call data. But JTAlerts also logs directly to you HRD log (once configured of course). So you also get accurate lookups on bands worked and previously worked.

I guess it should also work for JTAlerts for JT65HF.

It becomes pretty much that easy.

Ed
WD4ED

498. Re: Logging for different modes and updating eQSL and LoTW

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-10 15:58:17 UTC
From: pa0tbr@...
Topic: 493 Message: 498

Here is an update to what I did to simplify operation of my station.

I am now using WSJT-X, JTAlert and DXLab/DXKeeper.

JTAlert interacts very nicely with both programs. Decodes are displayed by JTAlert with additional information, for example a new country, new state etc and supplemented by audible information, all of which is configurable. Logging in WSJT-X is communicated to JTAlert which updates the the logbook of DXLab.

DXLab makes it very simple to update to and synchronize with eQSL and LoTW, just by pressing a few buttons. DXLab is packed with a lot of functionality, like checking progress of worked countries, states etc, providing station details from JTAlert, complete with map functionality and many functions I haven't come to yet.

It takes a bit of configuration, but I was surprised by the professional way that questions were answered. All software mentioned is free of charge and very professional.

I am running WSJT-X and JTAlert on 2 bands simultaneously, together with PowerSDR and an ANAN-100D which has a dual receiver.

Many thanks to the people that responded to my questions, also at this mailgroup.

73,
Ton PA0TBR

499. Timewave Navigator Sound Modem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-14 02:00:59 UTC
From: Curtstamp@...
Topic: 499 Message: 499

Does anyone have any experience with this new modem,? It sounds very interesting and perhaps an improvement over a Signalink. But is more expensive at $350. Your comments will be greatly appreciated.

500. Re: [JT65HF] Timewave Navigator Sound Modem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-14 05:29:32 UTC
From: David Meye
Topic: 499 Message: 500

It is a good modem but for the money I do not think it is worth it. I have used it for awhile and was not impressed that it worked better than signal link. It was friends and he wanted to sell it but I wanted to try it first. I prefer the simple modem like I use now.

Dave KL7QW

On Sunday, July 13, 2014 9:01 PM, "Curtstamp@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Does anyone have any experience with this new modem,? It sounds very interesting and perhaps an improvement over a Signalink. But is more expensive at $350. Your comments will be greatly appreciated.

501. Re: [JT65HF] Timewave Navigator Sound Modem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-14 12:07:12 UTC
From: N7RJN
Topic: 499 Message: 501

This modem is highly rated on eHam.net. See
http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/6545

Bob - N7RJN
From: "Curtstamp@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Reply-To: <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Date: Sunday, July 13, 2014 at 19:00
To: <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [JT65HF] Timewave Navigator Sound Modem

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Does anyone have any experience with this new modem,? It sounds very
> interesting and perhaps an improvement over a Signalink. But is more
> expensive at $350. Your comments will be greatly appreciated.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

502. LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-15 02:21:35 UTC
From: aa5jj@...
Topic: 502 Message: 502

Hey Guys I am trying to use a LapTop computer with Flex 3000 for digital modes it works with HRD on PSK31 but when using JT65HF it will only decode every now and then i have read everything I can find and adjusted everything as suggested.

SetUp is
Toshiba Satellite Pro with 1.9 gig processor and 4 gig on ram. I am using a Firewire in a PCMCIA slot and it controls the Flex 3000 very well. I have VAC set 8000-192000 no problem with keying using VCP.

I am seeing signals on the water fall in HT65HF just no decodes and I have it set to ZERO with only back ground noise. Really Guys I have this running on a Flex 5000a and it works great but am using a Desk Top computer but when it comes to this LapTop I am pulling my hair out.

I hope someone else has the answer to this.
Thanks for taking the time to read this and any help.

73 Wayne AA5JJ

503. Re: LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-15 10:59:48 UTC
From: astro_maryland@...
Topic: 502 Message: 503

How are you ensuring an accurate clock?

504. Re: Timewave Navigator Sound Modem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-15 11:12:05 UTC
From: John Becker
Topic: 499 Message: 504

Actually, it is not new. It is a new product for Timewave, but it has been available for years. I am very happy with mine and I have had it for over 5 years.

There is a user group at
https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/NAVIGATOR-Interface/info

Technical support through that group has been excellent.

73,

John, K9MM

JT65HF@yahoogroups.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone have any experience with this new modem,? It sounds very
> interesting and perhaps an improvement over a Signalink. But is more
> expensive at $350. Your comments will be greatly appreciated.
>

505. Re: [JT65HF] Re: LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-15 13:32:29 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 502 Message: 505

There are several sync applications out there.

Best regards - Bry Carling

> On Jul 15, 2014, at 6:59 AM, "astro_maryland@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> How are you ensuring an accurate clock?
>
>

506. Re: [JT65HF] LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-15 13:40:55 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 502 Message: 506

Try WSJT-X

Best regards - Bry Carling

> On Jul 14, 2014, at 10:21 PM, "aa5jj@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Hey Guys I am trying to use a LapTop computer with Flex 3000 for digital modes it works with HRD on PSK31 but when using JT65HF it will only decode every now and then i have read everything I can find and adjusted everything as suggested.
>
> SetUp is
> Toshiba Satellite Pro with 1.9 gig processor and 4 gig on ram. I am using a Firewire in a PCMCIA slot and it controls the Flex 3000 very well. I have VAC set 8000-192000 no problem with keying using VCP.
>
> I am seeing signals on the water fall in HT65HF just no decodes and I have it set to ZERO with only back ground noise. Really Guys I have this running on a Flex 5000a and it works great but am using a Desk Top computer but when it comes to this LapTop I am pulling my hair out.
>
> I hope someone else has the answer to this.
> Thanks for taking the time to read this and any help.
>
> 73 Wayne AA5JJ
>
>
>
>

507. Re: [JT65HF] Re: LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-15 13:56:36 UTC
From: Wayne
Topic: 502 Message: 507

Using D4 for timing.

On 7/15/2014 5:59 AM, astro_maryland@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> How are you ensuring an accurate clock?
>
>
>
> No virus found in this message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com <http://www.avg.com>
> Version: 2014.0.4716 / Virus Database: 3986/7854 - Release Date: 07/14/14
>

508. Re: [JT65HF] Re: LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-15 13:58:37 UTC
From: Wayne
Topic: 502 Message: 508

I have always used D4 on all my computers and never had a problem.

Wayne AA5JJ

On 7/15/2014 8:32 AM, Brian Carling bcarling@... [JT65HF] wrote:
> There are several sync applications out there.
>
> Best regards - Bry Carling
>
>
>
> On Jul 15, 2014, at 6:59 AM, "astro_maryland@...
> <mailto:astro_maryland@...> [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>> wrote:
>
>> How are you ensuring an accurate clock?
>>
>
>
> No virus found in this message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com <http://www.avg.com>
> Version: 2014.0.4716 / Virus Database: 3986/7854 - Release Date: 07/14/14
>

509. Re: LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-19 20:56:20 UTC
From: g0hdb@...
Topic: 502 Message: 509

I used to use Dimension D4 as my NTP client but discovered one day, when I was having problems decoding JT65, that if the specified remote NTP server goes down then D4 doesn't tell you so you carry on blithely assuming your PC is properly sync'ed to a time source.

I now use an NTP client from Meinberg - the s/ware will not only sync your PC to the best of multiple time sources, selecting the source automatically, but will also let your PC act as an NTP server for other machines, eg. on your home network. There's an associated monitor program that lets you see what the offset is between your PC and the selected time source plus lots of other helpful info.

The Meinberg NTP s/ware is available via: http://www.meinbergglobal.com/english/sw/ntp.htm
http://www.meinbergglobal.com/english/sw/ntp.htm
HTH,

73
--
Martin G0HDB

510. Re: [JT65HF] Re: LapTop and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-20 02:03:36 UTC
From: Ray
Topic: 502 Message: 510

JT65-HF-HB9HQX-Edition has the capability of syncing the clock straight in the software. Nothing extra is needed.

73
WD5DHK Ray

511. Newer version of JT65 questions

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-26 12:58:41 UTC
From: vloewen2@...
Topic: 511 Message: 511

Good Day JT65 Users

I am using the JT65HF version 1.0.93 [working great for me]

But I notice on some RED RX connect messages different formatted text from other users? I cannot seem to duplicate this text in my sending ?? Yes I know about the FREE format but I believe this is not received by others in the RED connectionmessage but show up in black text as a general message.

Also notice that clicking on to these red messages (with different formatted text) my TX is HALTED .....or shows on the software as being transmitted but the Transmitter has not been keyed to send .

Using the Signallink interface.....

Just wondering about a few observations during operation.

Another question regarding what I am Seeing some users have connection & calling CQ outside the normal range] -1000 Df & +1000 DF. ?????

Just a few things I am curious to understand.....
Maybe newer version have some additional features ??

Enjoy connecting with many of you when condx are good for me to be heard .....my small vertical antenna on condo balcony (15watts) OPEK HVT400B

Statistics.....4 months operations = 47 countries & 4 47 US states .

Thanks for you comments
73
Vic
VE3JAR

512. Re: Newer version of JT65 questions

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-07-28 17:10:46 UTC
From: vloewen2@...
Topic: 511 Message: 512

Found the answer to most of my questions and observation on previous post . The other add-on available such as the software JT-Alert has extra features docked to JT65 HF .. As well as the newer WSJT-X software with JT9 in combination to JT65 modes.....

I still like the JT65 HF software docked with only with the JT-Macros (special formatted free text messages) .

Thank
Vic

513. Re: Newer version of JT65 questions

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-08-04 21:00:06 UTC
From: philip.g7jur@...
Topic: 511 Message: 513

Hi.

This is the version of JT65 HF I use.

http://abcsolutions.de/jt65hf/ http://abcsolutions.de/jt65hf/

http://abcsolutions.de/jt65hf/ http://abcsolutions.de/jt65hf/ *=new feature, everything else is bug fixes 2014-02-11 (build 393) - added shortcuts - *added MOTD (message of the day) function (can be turned off)

View on abcsolutions.de http://abcsolutions.de/jt65hf/
Preview by Yahoo

It is a forked version, of JT65 HF.

Philip g7jur

514. Re: Newer version of JT65 questions

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-08-04 22:48:25 UTC
From: vloewen2@...
Topic: 511 Message: 514

Thanks for the reply & info.

I am using JT65-HF version 1.0.9.3 for the JT65 qso's and now using WSJT-X for the JT9 qso;s separating the logging part of the different MODES ..

Interesting on the successful connection with 15watts and a mobile vertical OPEK HVT400B on Condo balcony from CANADA around the world 60+ countries in 5 months !!! .

Have fun & enjoy -TNX again !!

73
Vic
VE3JAR

515. JT65 and Vista

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-01 18:45:45 UTC
From: Dave Edwards
Topic: 515 Message: 515

Are there any issues with JT65HF and Vista?
To enable portable operation, I grabbed a laptop, and loaded WSJTx and JT65HF.

I've used both on my desktop without a problem.

On the laptop, WSJT works fine.
JT65 complains about the soundcard settings, trying alternate, and only shuts down when you hit OK.

Using a Tigertronics external soundcard.

Any thoughts on what to try??

....Dave

516. Where has W6CQZ's RB gone?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-12 18:24:27 UTC
From: g0hdb@...
Topic: 516 Message: 516

Hello all, in the last week or so whenever I've fired up the JT65-HF (v1.0.9.3) s/ware it hasn't been able to log into the RB system (and yes, I do have RB enabled in the app, and my Internet connection is fine!).

Anyone know where the RB system has gone? I've done a couple of trawls of t'Internet and haven't found anything about its availability, or even whether it has been discontinued.

73 and thanks in advance,

--
Martin G0HDB

517. Re: [JT65HF] Where has W6CQZ's RB gone?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-12 21:13:44 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 516 Message: 517

What is the RB system??

Best regards - Brian Carling
AF4K Crystals Co.
117 Sterling Pine St.
Sanford, FL 32773

Tel: +USA 321-262-5471

> On Sep 12, 2014, at 2:24 PM, "g0hdb@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Hello all, in the last week or so whenever I've fired up the JT65-HF (v1.0.9.3) s/ware it hasn't been able to log into the RB system (and yes, I do have RB enabled in the app, and my Internet connection is fine!).
>
> Anyone know where the RB system has gone? I've done a couple of trawls of t'Internet and haven't found anything about its availability, or even whether it has been discontinued.
>
> 73 and thanks in advance,
>
> --
> Martin G0HDB
>
>

518. Re: [JT65HF] Where has W6CQZ's RB gone?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-13 08:45:46 UTC
From: g0hdb@...
Topic: 516 Message: 518

Hi Brian, RB is the Reverse Beacon network.

The JT65-HF s/ware can be configured to send information about the stations it decodes not only to the RB network but also to the PSKR (PSK Reporter) network; the information is (was) sent automatically to a web address and was then collected and collated in the RB and PSKR databases which can be queried by users. This enables anyone to see immediately, on a per-band basis, which stations are active, how many other stations are decoding their transmissions, and what the max, min and average decode reports are.

For some reason I can no longer find any working web addresses for the W6CQZ RB site so I can't query the database, and the JT65-HF s/ware is unable to connect and log in to the site so it's got nowhere to send its reports to.

The URL for the W6CQZ RB site is (or was):

http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php#rb

This address now appears to be defunct, as does the entire jt65.w6cqz.org address.

Anyone know where it's gone, please??!!

73
--
Martin G0HDB

519. Re: [JT65HF] Where has W6CQZ's RB gone?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-13 18:49:23 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 516 Message: 519

RBN was down this morning...

Never heard it called RB before.

Best regards - Brian Carling
AF4K Crystals Co.
117 Sterling Pine St.
Sanford, FL 32773

Tel: +USA 321-262-5471

> On Sep 13, 2014, at 4:45 AM, "g0hdb@amdavies.demon.co.uk [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Hi Brian, RB is the Reverse Beacon network.
>
> The JT65-HF s/ware can be configured to send information about the stations it decodes not only to the RB network but also to the PSKR (PSK Reporter) network; the information is (was) sent automatically to a web address and was then collected and collated in the RB and PSKR databases which can be queried by users. This enables anyone to see immediately, on a per-band basis, which stations are active, how many other stations are decoding their transmissions, and what the max, min and average decode reports are.
>
> For some reason I can no longer find any working web addresses for the W6CQZ RB site so I can't query the database, and the JT65-HF s/ware is unable to connect and log in to the site so it's got nowhere to send its reports to.
>
> The URL for the W6CQZ RB site is (or was):
>
> http://jt65.w6cqz.org/receptions.php#rb
>
> This address now appears to be defunct, as does the entire jt65.w6cqz.org address.
>
> Anyone know where it's gone, please??!!
>
> 73
> --
> Martin G0HDB
>
>

520. Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-28 19:52:17 UTC
From: k1fpv@...
Topic: 520 Message: 520

Boy, I've never seen so many discourteous RTTY operators. They are using basically all the JT-65 frequencies to even below the PSK-31 frequency of 14.070 kHz. I decided to try CW since the higher frequencies for JT-65 and PSK-31 were being used by RTTY contest stations. Well, I had a RTTY station come on top of my CW QSO at 14.059 kHz.

Years ago, all the stations stayed within the agreed upon frequencies even for contests. Now.....anything goes! Another example of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio and the all about me (contests) attitude!

K1FPV

521. Re: [JT65HF] Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-28 20:24:02 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 520 Message: 521

RTTY Contests are always among the worst for this.

Best regards - Brian Carling
AF4K Crystals Co.
117 Sterling Pine St.
Sanford, FL 32773

Tel: +USA 321-262-5471

> On Sep 28, 2014, at 3:52 PM, "k1fpv@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Boy, I've never seen so many discourteous RTTY operators. They are using basically all the JT-65 frequencies to even below the PSK-31 frequency of 14.070 kHz. I decided to try CW since the higher frequencies for JT-65 and PSK-31 were being used by RTTY contest stations. Well, I had a RTTY station come on top of my CW QSO at 14.059 kHz.
>
> Years ago, all the stations stayed within the agreed upon frequencies even for contests. Now.....anything goes! Another example of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio and the all about me (contests) attitude!
>
> K1FPV
>
>

522. Re: [JT65HF] Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-28 20:49:38 UTC
From: Gary-WB6BNE
Topic: 520 Message: 522

Hello,
I agree with you. It is sad that we have to live with this problem. Have the same thing with SSTV on 14.230 during a phone contest.
Every body runs Kilo Watts plus to get there call listed in QST. The ARRL endorses all this behavior to keep ham radio alive.
The only thing you can do is turn off the radio and go watch Gomer Pyle. Have had same call since September of 62 and seen amateur
radio dumbing down, becoming like channel 19 used to be.
73 Gary WB6BNE

On Sep 28, 2014, at 3:52 PM, "k1fpv@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Boy, I've never seen so many discourteous RTTY operators. They are using basically all the JT-65 frequencies to even below the PSK-31 frequency of 14.070 kHz. I decided to try CW since the higher frequencies for JT-65 and PSK-31 were being used by RTTY contest stations. Well, I had a RTTY station come on top of my CW QSO at 14.059 kHz.

Years ago, all the stations stayed within the agreed upon frequencies even for contests. Now.....anything goes! Another example of the dumbing down of Amateur Radio and the all about me (contests) attitude!

K1FPV

523. Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-29 12:45:55 UTC
From: astro_maryland@...
Topic: 520 Message: 523

This behavior seems to happen every year, but you're right: this year was especially nasty. That's why I don't even try the 'regular' bands but go to the WARC bands where there was some decent openings including those into central Africa.

Just wait until the bottom of the sunspot cycle on 40M and 80M during these big worldwide contests.

73 Rich K3VAT

524. What Happened to W6CQZ beacon reflector for JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-09-30 12:05:29 UTC
From: Curtstamp@...
Topic: 524 Message: 524

Been Away from JT65 and digital since Signalink had to be sent to factory. What has happened to the W6CQZ.org reflector for spotting? Thanks for comments

525. Re: What Happened to W6CQZ beacon reflector for JT65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-01 12:39:09 UTC
From: Brian Clark
Topic: 524 Message: 525

Just upgraded to the new HB9HQX software ver 0.9.88.0 and PSK Reporter in now the only spotting box that is shown. Prior versions had both & would led me to believe that W6CQZ is no more.

de Brian VE1JBC

526. JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-03 14:56:44 UTC
From: w6vy@...
Topic: 526 Message: 526

I just built a new computer and have been installing all my Ham software without problems until I installed JT65HX-HB9HQX version. It worked fine on my old Windows 7 32 bit machine but I cannot seem to make it work on the new machine. It installs fine and I go configures OK but locks up trying to decode.

Anyone have any ideas? I have no problems with PSK32 or FSK RTTY with DxLabs.

Thanks
Bob W6VY

527. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-03 16:06:31 UTC
From: Brian Carling
Topic: 526 Message: 527

Try WSJT-X

That should work.

Best regards - Brian Carling
AF4K Crystals Co.
117 Sterling Pine St.
Sanford, FL 32773

Tel: +USA 321-262-5471

> On Oct 3, 2014, at 10:56 AM, "w6vy@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@...m> wrote:
>
> I just built a new computer and have been installing all my Ham software without problems until I installed JT65HX-HB9HQX version. It worked fine on my old Windows 7 32 bit machine but I cannot seem to make it work on the new machine. It installs fine and I go configures OK but locks up trying to decode.
>
>
>
> Anyone have any ideas? I have no problems with PSK32 or FSK RTTY with DxLabs.
>
>
>
> Thanks
>
> Bob W6VY
>
>
>
>

528. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-03 17:37:29 UTC
From: Robert Dorchuck W6VY
Topic: 526 Message: 528

Thanks Brian. I tried it briefly some time ago but liked the HB9HQX version better for HF. Maybe I'll have to try it again.
73
Bob W6VY

From: "Brian Carling bcarling@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, October 3, 2014 9:06 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Try WSJT-X

That should work.

Best regards - Brian Carling
AF4K Crystals Co.
117 Sterling Pine St.
Sanford, FL 32773

Tel: +USA 321-262-5471

On Oct 3, 2014, at 10:56 AM, "w6vy@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>I just built a new computer and have been installing all my Ham software without problems until I installed JT65HX-HB9HQX version. It worked fine on my old Windows 7 32 bit machine but I cannot seem to make it work on the new machine. It installs fine and I go configures OK but locks up trying to decode.
>
>
>Anyone have any ideas? I have no problems with PSK32 or FSK RTTY with DxLabs.
>
>
>Thanks
>Bob W6VY
>
>

529. Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-05 19:27:36 UTC
From: edclarkiv1@...
Topic: 520 Message: 529

Hello Gents,

A while back I made contact with a Gent who was contesting RTTY right dead smack on 14.076. I setup the RTTY decoder in my radio to find out who it was stomping on all of the JT65 stations. Once I had the time, frequency and callsign, I sent him an email. He replied almost instantly. Turns out he was some sort of an ARRL official in some capacity. I don't recall exactly, but I do still have the emails if it's important. Anyway, he contacted a buddy of his that was supposed to be the ARRL frequency coordinator. They explained to me that the ARRL as of yet does not recognize the JT-65/JT-9 sub-band. Okay fine, whatever, but what about the handful of QRP and low power stations he was intentionally transmitting over top of? That was pretty much the end of that exchange.

My point is... that I don't know exactly how to go about this, but the sub-band needs official recognition or it will only continue and even get worse.

Thanks, 73s

Ed
WD4ED

530. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-05 22:58:48 UTC
From: Gary-WB6BNE
Topic: 520 Message: 530

Hello Ed:

The person that you contacted gave you a big crock. The ARRL does recognize JT65 and many other modes.
Just go to ARRL site and type in JT65. it all over there site. Have read articles in QST about JT65 and other modes.
There is no real mode plan except for the word DATA. Were CW, PSK and RTTY reside. You have a typical answer from a contester.
Had same problem on SSTV at 14.230 during phone contest. Contester calls CQ on top of you while sending and receiving pictures.
His reply was I can call CQ where ever I want..
When a contest comes along, common since (brains) go out the door. The reason for this, is getting there call printed in QST.
They could wait for there call in the SK section of QST (larger print) if they have some time.
The ARRL encourages this behavior. Contest sell magazines and books.
You can tell I don’t think much of contests. I get a kick out of (Your 599. Please repeat call and name).
The best way to avoid contest is to turn radio off and go watch Gomer Pyle.

73 Gary WB6BNE

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2014 12:27 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Hello Gents,

A while back I made contact with a Gent who was contesting RTTY right dead smack on 14.076. I setup the RTTY decoder in my radio to find out who it was stomping on all of the JT65 stations. Once I had the time, frequency and callsign, I sent him an email. He replied almost instantly. Turns out he was some sort of an ARRL official in some capacity. I don't recall exactly, but I do still have the emails if it's important. Anyway, he contacted a buddy of his that was supposed to be the ARRL frequency coordinator. They explained to me that the ARRL as of yet does not recognize the JT-65/JT-9 sub-band. Okay fine, whatever, but what about the handful of QRP and low power stations he was intentionally transmitting over top of? That was pretty much the end of that exchange.

My point is... that I don't know exactly how to go about this, but the sub-band needs official recognition or it will only continue and even get worse.

Thanks, 73s

Ed

WD4ED

531. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-06 01:12:33 UTC
From: BC
Topic: 520 Message: 531

I find it quite unbelieveable that the ARRL has officials who are THAT out of touch! I mean the JT65 - JT9 sub band is TINY and very well recognized.
You can usually find 8 - 10 stations talking on JT65/JT9 when no other stations are coming in on any other mode!!

Just flabbergasting that there is not some official recognition.

This weekend I have heard CW and RTTY stations stepping all over an OBVIOUSLY busy frequency with JT65 stations coming in S9 plus here. I fixed the CW station on 7076.100 MHz by politely contacting him and asking him to QSY.
He replied that he was using his ONLY crystal! Fair enough - I politely explained to him about JT65 and mailed him TWO free FT243 crystals for farther down the band! That should fix that problem!

Best regards - Brian Carling, AF4K CRYSTALS
http://www.af4k.com

---- "edclarkiv1@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> Hello Gents,
>
>
> A while back I made contact with a Gent who was contesting RTTY right dead smack on 14.076. I setup the RTTY decoder in my radio to find out who it was stomping on all of the JT65 stations. Once I had the time, frequency and callsign, I sent him an email. He replied almost instantly. Turns out he was some sort of an ARRL official in some capacity. I don't recall exactly, but I do still have the emails if it's important. Anyway, he contacted a buddy of his that was supposed to be the ARRL frequency coordinator. They explained to me that the ARRL as of yet does not recognize the JT-65/JT-9 sub-band. Okay fine, whatever, but what about the handful of QRP and low power stations he was intentionally transmitting over top of? That was pretty much the end of that exchange.
>
>
> My point is... that I don't know exactly how to go about this, but the sub-band needs official recognition or it will only continue and even get worse.
>
>
> Thanks, 73s
>
> Ed
> WD4ED
>

532. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-06 01:19:25 UTC
From: BC
Topic: 520 Message: 532

Or the ARRL causing QRM all over the WARC bands like a contest operation with W1AW/#

These guys will frequently park 1 kHz below a recognized spot and call CQ, such as 18.080 where the SKCC group meets... Then the W1AW/# station will call for "UP 1" and the guys running high power at 30 wpm stomp all over an SKCC contact. A frenzy of calls ensues wth people desperate for a contact with W1AW and you can just forget your QSO in progress. It has happened multiple times to me. I just QSY and start over.

Bad operating practices and a bad example by ARRL officials.

Best regards - Brian Carling, AF4K

---- "'Gary-WB6BNE' wb6bne@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> Hello Ed:
>
> The person that you contacted gave you a big crock. The ARRL does recognize JT65 and many other modes.
> Just go to ARRL site and type in JT65. it all over there site. Have read articles in QST about JT65 and other modes.
> There is no real mode plan except for the word DATA. Were CW, PSK and RTTY reside. You have a typical answer from a contester.
> Had same problem on SSTV at 14.230 during phone contest. Contester calls CQ on top of you while sending and receiving pictures.
> His reply was I can call CQ where ever I want..
> When a contest comes along, common since (brains) go out the door. The reason for this, is getting there call printed in QST.
> They could wait for there call in the SK section of QST (larger print) if they have some time.
> The ARRL encourages this behavior. Contest sell magazines and books.
> You can tell I don’t think much of contests. I get a kick out of (Your 599. Please repeat call and name).
> The best way to avoid contest is to turn radio off and go watch Gomer Pyle.
>
> 73 Gary WB6BNE
>
>
>
> From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, October 05, 2014 12:27 PM
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !
>
>
> Hello Gents,
>
>

>
> A while back I made contact with a Gent who was contesting RTTY right dead smack on 14.076. I setup the RTTY decoder in my radio to find out who it was stomping on all of the JT65 stations. Once I had the time, frequency and callsign, I sent him an email. He replied almost instantly. Turns out he was some sort of an ARRL official in some capacity. I don't recall exactly, but I do still have the emails if it's important. Anyway, he contacted a buddy of his that was supposed to be the ARRL frequency coordinator. They explained to me that the ARRL as of yet does not recognize the JT-65/JT-9 sub-band. Okay fine, whatever, but what about the handful of QRP and low power stations he was intentionally transmitting over top of? That was pretty much the end of that exchange.
>
>
>
> My point is... that I don't know exactly how to go about this, but the sub-band needs official recognition or it will only continue and even get worse.
>
>
>
> Thanks, 73s
>
>
> Ed
>
> WD4ED
>
>

533. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-06 02:08:27 UTC
From: Charles Bennett
Topic: 520 Message: 533

I think that was a darn nice gesture on your part. I really do.

Back when I was WN8CEE I remember using a lead pencil or a bit of emory cloth to 'move' a crystal down or up band a little bit always hoping I wouldn't break it and have to ask my dad to mail order a 'new' one from fair radio. Ah the good old days.

Chuck WB8CEE hopefully /AE after the 11th
> asking him to QSY.
> He replied that he was using his ONLY crystal! Fair enough - I politely explained to him about JT65 and mailed him TWO free FT243 crystals for farther down the band! That should fix that problem!
>
> Best regards - Brian Carling, AF4K CRYSTALS
> http://www.af4k.com
>
>
>
>

534. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-06 21:07:57 UTC
From: w6ky@...
Topic: 520 Message: 534

JT65 is a recognized ARRL digital mode for DXCC credit.
In fact, any digital mode is recognized by the DXCC desk.
There is WAS certificates for JT65, but only CW, Phone
and Digital for DXCC. So, if you confirm 100+ countries
via JT65, your award will read Digital.....
73, Art W6KY
www.w6ky.com

535. USB connection to ICOM 7410

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-13 20:57:58 UTC
From: p.potter@...
Topic: 535 Message: 535

Hi all,

My name is Phil / N6OMM and I have a crazy problem with JT65-HF!

Last night I got all the settings in my radio and computer working together in HRD, then I started JT6-HF. I set the audio in/out to USB codec and bingo, it worked!

I spent the rest of the afternoon and evening working 10, 15, and 20M, adding many countries to my log.

THEN, this morning I turned on the rig, computer, HRD, and JT65-HF.... no connection to HRD! No audio in or out! JT65-HF refused to start!

What gives? It ran so good last night... what happened between last night and this morning??? I can't think of a thing!

I am suspecting the Silicon Labs CP210X UART to USB Bridge driver.... this is the driver from ICOM, but there must be something going on, since I can't connect the USB to JT65-HF directly or through HRD, now.

Is anyone using an FTDI driver?

Any help would be appreicated,

Phil
N6OMM

536. Re: [JT65HF] USB connection to ICOM 7410

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-14 01:14:55 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 535 Message: 536

Dear phil
Both HRD JT65 may not work together as they share same port. So s/w off only HRD (You can keep the logbook window on) and try. This is my experience

Unni
VU2TE

On Tue, Oct 14, 2014 at 2:27 AM, p.potter@sbcglobal.net [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Hi all,
>
> My name is Phil / N6OMM and I have a crazy problem with JT65-HF!
>
> Last night I got all the settings in my radio and computer working
> together in HRD, then I started JT6-HF. I set the audio in/out to USB
> codec and bingo, it worked!
>
> I spent the rest of the afternoon and evening working 10, 15, and 20M,
> adding many countries to my log.
>
> THEN, this morning I turned on the rig, computer, HRD, and JT65-HF.... no
> connection to HRD! No audio in or out! JT65-HF refused to start!
>
> What gives? It ran so good last night... what happened between last night
> and this morning??? I can't think of a thing!
>
> I am suspecting the Silicon Labs CP210X UART to USB Bridge driver....
> this is the driver from ICOM, but there must be something going on, since I
> can't connect the USB to JT65-HF directly or through HRD, now.
>
> Is anyone using an FTDI driver?
>
>
> Any help would be appreicated,
>
>
> Phil
>
> N6OMM
>
>
>

537. Re: [JT65HF] USB connection to ICOM 7410

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-14 15:06:05 UTC
From: Phillip Potter
Topic: 535 Message: 537

Thanks Unni, I tired running JT65-HF by itself, but it still wouldn't start. This does not explain how they (HRD and JT65) worked together for probably six hours and won't now, however.

Oh, and BTW, my rig is an ICOM7410, with the USB driver from ICOM (Silicon Labs CP210X UART to USB Bridge).

Working then not working... sounds like a flaky driver?!?

Phil

On 10/13/2014 6:14 PM, Unni Tharakkal unnitharakkal@... [JT65HF] wrote:
> Dear phil
> Both HRD JT65 may not work together as they share same port. So s/w
> off only HRD (You can keep the logbook window on) and try. This is
> my experience
>
> Unni
> VU2TE
>

538. How to Configure JT65 HB9HQX

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-14 15:33:43 UTC
From: hjmatheson@...
Topic: 538 Message: 538

I am trying to use JT65 HB9HQX with Windows8 on a Dell Optiplex 3010 and a TENTEC 539. I have had it working in the past. I did not save the settings. Now I can not get the PTT command to talk to the computer. I can use a soundcard USB device furnished by TENTEC to connect and/or a direct USB cable. Would some kind soul suggest setting I might try?

539. Re: [JT65HF] USB connection to ICOM 7410

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-14 16:09:02 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 535 Message: 539

Check the port No. Both the port on HRD and JT 65 must be same. S/w off HRD. Open JT 65. If it is WSJT-X go to set up where you can configure and test whether the rig is keying or not. Best of luck

VU2TE

On Tue, Oct 14, 2014 at 8:36 PM, Phillip Potter p.potter@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Thanks Unni, I tired running JT65-HF by itself, but it still wouldn't
> start. This does not explain how they (HRD and JT65) worked together for
> probably six hours and won't now, however.
>
> Oh, and BTW, my rig is an ICOM7410, with the USB driver from ICOM (Silicon
> Labs CP210X UART to USB Bridge).
>
> Working then not working... sounds like a flaky driver?!?
>
> Phil
>
>
> On 10/13/2014 6:14 PM, Unni Tharakkal unnitharakkal@... [JT65HF]
> wrote:
>
>
> Dear phil
> Both HRD JT65 may not work together as they share same port. So s/w off
> only HRD (You can keep the logbook window on) and try. This is my
> experience
>
> Unni
> VU2TE
>
>
>
>

540. Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-20 19:22:51 UTC
From: g3rce1@...
Topic: 520 Message: 540

Just a point of recent observation.
I am fairly new to JT65 and JT9
but am fed up with high powered rtty signals almost obliterating the small JT bandwidths.
It's the height of arrogance, but perhapst these rude rtty operators are unaware?
73
Rob G3RCE
---

541. JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-20 19:29:54 UTC
From: g3rce1@...
Topic: 541 Message: 541

Can anyone explain why JT9, with it's much smaller bandwidth is not used more?

I am fairly recent to using JT65 and JT9 but am amazed at the consistent bad behaviour of RTTY operators who seem blissfully unaware of our small few KHz and blast away regardless, bloody irritating! and the height of ignorance!
73
Rob G3RCE

542. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-20 19:32:10 UTC
From: g3rce1@...
Topic: 520 Message: 542

I don't know why I am a member of ARRL?
they need to tidy up their operating act!
73
Rob G3RCE

543. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-20 19:32:48 UTC
From: Rory
Topic: 520 Message: 543

And fix LoTW too!

On Mon, Oct 20, 2014 at 12:32 PM, g3rce1@... [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> I don't know why I am a member of ARRL?
> they need to tidy up their operating act!
> 73
> Rob G3RCE
>
>

--

“Tesla was one of the greatest geniuses to come out of the earth. He did things they said couldn't be done... He was the real father of radio, not Marconi. A U.S. Supreme Court patent decision, the year after Tesla's death, awarded him that honor.”

Ken Strickfaden

544. Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-20 19:44:45 UTC
From: Rory
Topic: 541 Message: 544

Hello Rob, I think most of it comes down to the unknown. i think a few ops are not sure about JT9 yet, for me I don't call very much I'm more comfortable answering, but I 'll will do both. Being in 6 land I see more US JT9 activity than overseas but if I see a JT9 DX I'm all over over it.

Here's my pledge, all this week I will call CQ on JT9 10 - 40mtrs my 80M is not so hot right now.

73
Rory
N6OIL

On Mon, Oct 20, 2014 at 12:29 PM, g3rce1@googlemail.com [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Can anyone explain why JT9, with it's much smaller bandwidth is not used
> more?
>
> I am fairly recent to using JT65 and JT9 but am amazed at the consistent
> bad behaviour of RTTY operators who seem blissfully unaware of our small
> few KHz and blast away regardless, bloody irritating! and the height of
> ignorance!
> 73
> Rob G3RCE
>
>
>
>

--

“Tesla was one of the greatest geniuses to come out of the earth. He did things they said couldn't be done... He was the real father of radio, not Marconi. A U.S. Supreme Court patent decision, the year after Tesla's death, awarded him that honor.”

Ken Strickfaden

545. RE: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-20 21:54:01 UTC
From: jeryl steinhardt
Topic: 541 Message: 545

THE SAD THING IS THAT THE OPERATING FREQ OF JT9 AND JT65 ARE SO CLOSE TO THE OPERATING FREQ OF RTTY. BUT I HAVE SEEN RTTY WAY DOWN IN THE CW PART. THERE IS NO RULES AS TO WHERE RTTY HAS TO STAY AT OR NEAR THE .080 FREQ. SO WE HAVE TO SHARE AND DEAL WITH IT.

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Mon, 20 Oct 2014 12:44:45 -0700
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Hello Rob, I think most of it comes down to the unknown. i think a few ops are not sure about JT9 yet, for me I don't call very much I'm more comfortable answering, but I 'll will do both. Being in 6 land I see more US JT9 activity than overseas but if I see a JT9 DX I'm all over over it.
Here's my pledge, all this week I will call CQ on JT9 10 - 40mtrs my 80M is not so hot right now.
73RoryN6OIL
On Mon, Oct 20, 2014 at 12:29 PM, g3rce1@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Can anyone explain why JT9, with it's much smaller bandwidth is not used more?

I am fairly recent to using JT65 and JT9 but am amazed at the consistent bad behaviour of RTTY operators who seem blissfully unaware of our small few KHz and blast away regardless, bloody irritating! and the height of ignorance!
73
Rob G3RCE

--
“Tesla was one of the greatest
geniuses to come out of the earth. He did things they said couldn't be
done... He was the real father of radio, not Marconi. A U.S. Supreme
Court patent decision, the year after Tesla's death, awarded him that
honor.”

Ken Strickfaden

546. Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-20 22:05:09 UTC
From: Brian G3VGZ
Topic: 541 Message: 546

"g3rce1@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

> Can anyone explain why JT9, with it's much smaller bandwidth is not used
> more?

I find JT65 more robust with frequency drift and QRM. I'd use JT9 more if
more peple used it.

>
> I am fairly recent to using JT65 and JT9 but am amazed at the consistent
> bad behaviour of RTTY operators who seem blissfully unaware of our small
> few KHz and blast away regardless, bloody irritating! and the height of
> ignorance! 73 Rob G3RCE

I operated RTTY in the JA contest last weekend and was careful not to drift
into the JT segments. A small minority did, but it only takes a little to
wipe out the band segment.

--
Brian D
G3VGZ

547. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-21 08:09:10 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 520 Message: 547

Rob

A point I made on my blog last year with a visual of the Weak Signal mode zones swamped by PSK63 signals.

I have written to the RSGB to try and remind contesters that they should have consideration for other users on our bands but this was ignored.

Used WARC bands instead, but not that much activity.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 20 October 2014 20:23
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Just a point of recent observation.
I am fairly new to JT65 and JT9
but am fed up with high powered rtty signals almost obliterating the small JT bandwidths.
It's the height of arrogance, but perhapst these rude rtty operators are unaware?
73
Rob G3RCE

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8423 - Release Date: 10/20/14

548. RE: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-21 08:11:47 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 541 Message: 548

I’ll look out for you Rory as i always monitor JT9 and JT65 on the highest MUF available. 10m not so bad here lately.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 20 October 2014 20:45
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Hello Rob, I think most of it comes down to the unknown. i think a few ops are not sure about JT9 yet, for me I don't call very much I'm more comfortable answering, but I 'll will do both. Being in 6 land I see more US JT9 activity than overseas but if I see a JT9 DX I'm all over over it.

Here's my pledge, all this week I will call CQ on JT9 10 - 40mtrs my 80M is not so hot right now.

73

Rory

N6OIL

On Mon, Oct 20, 2014 at 12:29 PM, g3rce1@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Can anyone explain why JT9, with it's much smaller bandwidth is not used more?

I am fairly recent to using JT65 and JT9 but am amazed at the consistent bad behaviour of RTTY operators who seem blissfully unaware of our small few KHz and blast away regardless, bloody irritating! and the height of ignorance!
73
Rob G3RCE

--

“Tesla was one of the greatest geniuses to come out of the earth. He did things they said couldn't be done... He was the real father of radio, not Marconi. A U.S. Supreme Court patent decision, the year after Tesla's death, awarded him that honor.”

Ken Strickfaden

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8423 - Release Date: 10/20/14

549. RE: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-21 08:14:19 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 541 Message: 549

My take on the whole bandplan thing is that it's adherence is via a 'gentleman's agreement' but that there are too few gentlemen around when contests rear their heads.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 20 October 2014 22:54
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

THE SAD THING IS THAT THE OPERATING FREQ OF JT9 AND JT65 ARE SO CLOSE TO THE OPERATING FREQ OF RTTY. BUT I HAVE SEEN RTTY WAY DOWN IN THE CW PART. THERE IS NO RULES AS TO WHERE RTTY HAS TO STAY AT OR NEAR THE .080 FREQ. SO WE HAVE TO SHARE AND DEAL WITH IT.

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Mon, 20 Oct 2014 12:44:45 -0700
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Hello Rob, I think most of it comes down to the unknown. i think a few ops are not sure about JT9 yet, for me I don't call very much I'm more comfortable answering, but I 'll will do both. Being in 6 land I see more US JT9 activity than overseas but if I see a JT9 DX I'm all over over it.

Here's my pledge, all this week I will call CQ on JT9 10 - 40mtrs my 80M is not so hot right now.

73

Rory

N6OIL

On Mon, Oct 20, 2014 at 12:29 PM, g3rce1@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Can anyone explain why JT9, with it's much smaller bandwidth is not used more?

I am fairly recent to using JT65 and JT9 but am amazed at the consistent bad behaviour of RTTY operators who seem blissfully unaware of our small few KHz

and blast away regardless, bloody irritating! and the height of ignorance!
73
Rob G3RCE

--
"Tesla was one of the greatest geniuses to come out of the earth. He did things they said couldn't be done... He was the real father of radio, not Marconi. A U.S. Supreme Court patent decision, the year after Tesla's death, awarded him that honor."
Ken Strickfaden

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8423 - Release Date: 10/20/14

550. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-21 09:20:08 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 520 Message: 550

There are no JT65 frequencies . JT65A is simply a digital mode entitled to transmit over the same wide range of spectrum that RTTY, PSK, and other modes can use. If I was to use RTTY mid-week with no contests , right in the middle of frequencies used by JT65A...I would be perfectly entitled to.
Andy K3UK

On Tue, Oct 21, 2014 at 4:09 AM, 'chas cartmel' chas@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Rob
>
> A point I made on my blog last year with a visual of the Weak Signal mode
> zones swamped by PSK63 signals.
>
> I have written to the RSGB to try and remind contesters that they should
> have consideration for other users on our bands but this was ignored.
>
>
>
> Used WARC bands instead, but not that much activity.
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Charlie
>
> www.G4EST.me.uk
>
>
>
> *From:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
> *Sent:* 20 October 2014 20:23
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !
>
>
>
>
>
> Just a point of recent observation.
> I am fairly new to JT65 and JT9
> but am fed up with high powered rtty signals almost obliterating the small

> JT bandwidths.
> It's the height of arrogance, but perhapst these rude rtty operators are
> unaware?
> 73
> Rob G3RCE
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8423 - Release Date: 10/20/14
>
>
>

551. Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-21 09:23:26 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 541 Message: 551

JT9 has a few advantages over JT65A on HF but not enough to persuade JT65A users to stray from a popular mode. Additionally, only WSJT has JT9 whereas Multipsk and JT65-HF packages do not (last I checked)
Andy K3UK

On Tue, Oct 21, 2014 at 4:14 AM, 'chas cartmel' chas@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> My take on the whole bandplan thing is that it’s adherence is via a
> ‘gentleman’s agreement’ but that there are too few gentlemen around when
> contests rear their heads.
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Charlie
>
> www.G4EST.me.uk
>
>
>
> *From:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
> *Sent:* 20 October 2014 22:54
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* RE: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.
>
>
>
>
>
> THE SAD THING IS THAT THE OPERATING FREQ OF JT9 AND JT65 ARE SO CLOSE TO
> THE OPERATING FREQ OF RTTY. BUT I HAVE SEEN RTTY WAY DOWN IN THE CW PART.
> THERE IS NO RULES AS TO WHERE RTTY HAS TO STAY AT OR NEAR THE .080 FREQ. SO
> WE HAVE TO SHARE AND DEAL WITH IT.
> ------------------------------
>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Mon, 20 Oct 2014 12:44:45 -0700
> Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.
>
>

>
>
>
> Hello Rob, I think most of it comes down to the unknown. i think a few ops
> are not sure about JT9 yet, for me I don't call very much I'm more
> comfortable answering, but I 'll will do both. Being in 6 land I see more
> US JT9 activity than overseas but if I see a JT9 DX I'm all over over it.
>
>
>
> Here's my pledge, all this week I will call CQ on JT9 10 - 40mtrs my 80M
> is not so hot right now.
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Rory
>
> N6OIL
>
>
>
> On Mon, Oct 20, 2014 at 12:29 PM, g3rce1@... [JT65HF] <
> JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Can anyone explain why JT9, with it's much smaller bandwidth is not used
> more?
>
> I am fairly recent to using JT65 and JT9 but am amazed at the consistent
> bad behaviour of RTTY operators who seem blissfully unaware of our small
> few KHz and blast away regardless, bloody irritating! and the height of
> ignorance!
> 73
> Rob G3RCE
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> “Tesla was one of the greatest geniuses to come out of the earth. He did
> things they said couldn't be done... He was the real father of radio, not
> Marconi. A U.S. Supreme Court patent decision, the year after Tesla's
> death, awarded him that honor.”
> Ken Strickfaden

>
>
>
> No virus found in this message.
> Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
> Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8423 - Release Date: 10/20/14
>
>
>

552. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-21 09:33:57 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 520 Message: 552

The bandplan is a gentleman’s agreement. Apparently you consider this an irrelevance so I am sure you will be OK with Phone conversations in the area used by RTTY. If we all RESPECT each others use of the spectrum allocated to us then there will be no need for the hostility to other users which you are generating.

The attitude of ‘I will transmit where i damn well want to and tough on other users’ is despicable and you should be ashamed of yourself.

Amateur radio is a community where each individual should consider others in that community.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@...m]
Sent: 21 October 2014 10:20
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

There are no JT65 frequencies . JT65A is simply a digital mode entitled to transmit over the same wide range of spectrum that RTTY, PSK, and other modes can use. If I was to use RTTY mid-week with no contests , right in the middle of frequencies used by JT65A...I would be perfectly entitled to.

Andy K3UK

On Tue, Oct 21, 2014 at 4:09 AM, 'chas cartmel' chas@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Rob

A point I made on my blog last year with a visual of the Weak Signal mode zones swamped by PSK63 signals.

I have written to the RSGB to try and remind contesters that they should have consideration for other users on our bands but this was ignored.

Used WARC bands instead, but not that much activity.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 20 October 2014 20:23
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Just a point of recent observation.
I am fairly new to JT65 and JT9
but am fed up with high powered rtty signals almost obliterating the small JT bandwidths.
It's the height of arrogance, but perhapst these rude rtty operators are unaware?
73
Rob G3RCE

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8423 - Release Date: 10/20/14

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8426 - Release Date: 10/21/14

553. RE: [wsjtgroup] RE: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-21 19:31:32 UTC
From: charlie cartmel
Topic: 553 Message: 553

Ok Andy

I abide by the mode delineated bandplan for the data/digital segments of the bands which is readily available on a google search. Perhaps this is wrong and I should just transmit where I want to because I am entitled to, but I don’t think this is right. This necessitates that I look for a clear frequency within the suggested range and do not operate outside of these regions, and sometimes this is a pain, especially JT65 region which is crowded when the band is open. The beauty of this mode though is that overlapping transmissions are decoded individually so space is more plentiful than first appears. If no slot available I then change mode and find space elsewhere, be it on RTTY or PSK, or even phone on 40m. The onus is on all operators to work within the published GUIDELINES and therefore be able to co-exist in harmony. Another advantage of staying within the suggested zone is that you can check if the frequency is in use before blasting away on high power and therefore swamping QRP stations or putting ALC into overdrive and desensitising the front end. The problem with Weak Signal modes is by their very nature they are difficult to hear audibly, and sometimes even visibly on a waterfall, a situation exacerbated by high power transmissions within the receiver’s passband. It is probably for this reason that JT65 and JT9 users (latter < 30W recommended power) get frustrated when the band is inoperable during contests. Not everybody can move to the WARC bands.

An example of the many data breakdown available is at : http://www.bandplans.com/index.php?band=20. This is not one persons crusade as many versions of this ‘agreed convention’ is available.

In response to other posts on ‘tit for tat’ I totally agree, but it doesn’t make it right.

I have written to the RSGB on whose website is published outline bandplans and have asked them to either publish or refer to the mode delineations which will hopefully inform those who are unaware of the de-facto protocols where the modes generally termed as narrow band digital are operating and therefore help to help the data allocations to become self governing. I seem to remember that one condition of contest operation was that you should not cause interference to other stations.

As data modes become more plentiful and more popular the bandwidth will become even more crowded which will either cause more interference to others possibly leading to the loss of interest in the hobby and a loss to the community. We should be bringing new blood into the hobby and not discouraging them with infighting over bandwidth.

There seems to be many views on how we should treat data modes, but if we don’t co-operate and consider any interference which we may cause to others then the hobby is in danger of becoming a free-for-all.

I think we have all exhausted the topic and many views expressed. Probably never agree unless it becomes embodied in licences, but I still believe that co-operation rather than enforcement is a much better approach.

73

Charlie

<http://www.G4EST.me.uk> www.G4EST.me.uk

From: Andrew O'Brien [mailto:k3ukandy@...]
Sent: 21 October 2014 16:56
To: Jack
Cc: chas cartmel; WSJT Group
Subject: Re: [wsjtgroup] RE: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

There has been NO agreement about where JT65A operates , the original handful of experimenters grabbed some space based on where things like weak signal slow CW was taking place. The average RTTY operator has NO clue about such "traditions" . I could move my JT65A operations today to 14088 and 21088 and could start a new attempt to collect everyone there. That would NOT be an agreement. For example the RSGB's "band plan" says "14,070-14,089 500 Hz Narrow band modes", no mention of JT65A . Thus a RTTY contest operator has the same right to use the frequencies we JT65A operators use. Not very nice, but they have every right to do so.

Andy K3UK

On Tue, Oct 21, 2014 at 11:44 AM, Jack vhfplus@... [wsjtgroup] <wsjtgroup-noreply@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

I agree, Charlie.

As a member of the Northern California Contest Club, I know good contest operators try to be aware of bandplans and abide by them. Mistakes do occur in the heat of battle but, for the people I know, they are entirely accidental. The club even specifically mentions honoring of bandplans in announcements for contests. My XYL is a RTTY contest operator and is scrupulous about avoiding frequencies below .080 on all bands. Likewise, she tries to remember the HF beacon frequencies at .100.

That said, it cuts both ways. I have heard her operating on a good run frequency, outside the JT/PSK/etc bandplan frequencies, and have a digital mode operator fire up while she is calling CQ. We only assume that this is a "tit for tat" to retaliate for some perceived wrong. Sadly, this sort of purposeful behaviour does not help to encourage the RTTY contest community to honor bandplans.

As you see in the signature I am also licensed in Canada, where I can operate SSB on frequencies unavailable to me when I am in the US. While the urge is strong to ignore the bandplan and find a nice clear frequency to run EU on 40 I stifle myself and don't do it. :>)

Jack, W6NF/VE4SNA

On 10/21/2014 2:43 AM, 'chas cartmel' chas@... [wsjtgroup] wrote:

The bandplan is a gentleman’s agreement. Apparently you consider this an irrelevance so I am sure you will be OK with Phone conversations in the area used by RTTY. If we all RESPECT each others use of the spectrum allocated to us then there will be no need for the hostility to other users which you are generating.

The attitude of ‘I will transmit where i damn well want to and tough on other users’ is despicable and you should be ashamed of yourself.

Amateur radio is a community where each individual should consider others in that community.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 21 October 2014 10:20
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

There are no JT65 frequencies . JT65A is simply a digital mode entitled to transmit over the same wide range of spectrum that RTTY, PSK, and other modes can use. If I was to use RTTY mid-week with no contests , right in the middle of frequencies used by JT65A...I would be perfectly entitled to.

Andy K3UK

On Tue, Oct 21, 2014 at 4:09 AM, 'chas cartmel' chas@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Rob

A point I made on my blog last year with a visual of the Weak Signal mode zones swamped by PSK63 signals.

I have written to the RSGB to try and remind contesters that they should have consideration for other users on our bands but this was ignored.

Used WARC bands instead, but not that much activity.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 20 October 2014 20:23
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Just a point of recent observation.
I am fairly new to JT65 and JT9
but am fed up with high powered rtty signals almost obliterating the small JT bandwidths.
It's the height of arrogance, but perhapst these rude rtty operators are unaware?
73
Rob G3RCE

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8423 - Release Date: 10/20/14

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8426 - Release Date: 10/21/14

<http://www.avast.com/>

This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus
<http://www.avast.com/> protection is active.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5315 / Virus Database: 4181/8427 - Release Date: 10/21/14

554. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-22 21:15:11 UTC
From: g3rce1@...
Topic: 520 Message: 554

Thanks Charlie
73
Robert G3RCE

555. Re: [JT65HF] JT9? + igonorant RTTY operators.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-22 21:16:18 UTC
From: g3rce1@...
Topic: 541 Message: 555

Thanks for your efforts Brian
73
Rob G3RCE

556. [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-23 01:19:16 UTC
From: Joe
Topic: 520 Message: 556

On 10/22/2014 05:34 AM, wsjtgroup@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> There are 13 messages in this issue.
> Topics in this digest:
> 1a. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Too Many Contests !
Folks:

You are engaging in a useless argument for which no agreement seems to be possible.

Since the amateur bands are shared frequencies among individual amateur users, the only real possibility of resolving the issue of limited resources involves internationally agreed to regulations. Why are there 3 ITU regions? Why aren't national sub-bands congruent? The inconsistencies go on and on.

We can't even agree to agree on those high levels, let alone a 2KHz segment in each of our bands. I suggest we focus more on things that can be improved in our sandbox.

How many times have you seen a pair of JT65 signals overlap each other? How many times have your QSOs been stepped on by another JT65 signal? Is that not the exact same issue this thread has beaten to death? Overcrowding begets anti-social behavior.

The JT65 on JT65 QRM scenario describes the conditions under which the contest crowd spreads out. In some respects we are constrained by the software we use. The initial suggested frequencies have become the agreed to "watering holes". However we have no agreed upon strategy as to what to do when the 2 KHz JT65 sub-band is filled, QRT or turn up the wick.

WSJT-X provides us with JT9, a superior alternative to the JT65 protocol while still supporting JT65. The reduction in bandwidth reduces the QRM factor manyfold and without any serious negative side effects. It solves one of our problems, overcrowding due to the success of JT65 in both of the forms, JT65-HF and WSJT-X.

There is still a place for JT65, but as I understand it, it is not in HF amateur radio. The JT65-HF program did a great job of popularizing the mode. It is my belief that it no longer serves a useful purpose, and in fact is impeding progress, primarily because JT65-HF is blind to JT9.

I suggest we all operate more on JT9. There are extended periods where no JT9 signals are seen/reported on most all of our bands while the 2KHz lower JT65 sub-band is active. In the amateur radio history in the US, we saw rise to "activity nights" or "activity hours" to promote contacts on lightly populated VHF/UHF bands. There is reason to believe that this

would also work for JT9.

I suspect "activity days" might be best for JT9, where the 10 bands 160 thru 10 meters would be the target on the 1st of the month thru the 10th, with 160 starting the cycle over on the 11th and 21st. And on the 31st we could all operate JT65 just for old times sake. 8-)

Joe, K9HDE

557. JT65 software for Windows 2000?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-23 20:04:19 UTC
From: tharlam@...
Topic: 557 Message: 557

Can someone tell me which JT65 software will run on Windows 2000? I have MultiPsk, which works, but am looking for something more focussed on the JT65 mode and which will decode more than one signal at a time. I tried WSPR-X and one of the JT65HF's, neither of which seems to advise on which versions of Windows are required, neither of which ran.
Thank you.

558. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 software for Windows 2000?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-23 20:30:22 UTC
From: Bill Wagaman
Topic: 557 Message: 558

Have you tried JT65-HF-HB9HQX-Edition nice program hope it works for you 73 Bill N3GTY

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, October 23, 2014 4:04 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 software for Windows 2000?

Can someone tell me which JT65 software will run on Windows 2000? I have MultiPsk, which works, but am looking for something more focussed on the JT65 mode and which will decode more than one signal at a time. I tried WSPR-X and one of the JT65HF's, neither of which seems to advise on which versions of Windows are required, neither of which ran.

Thank you.

559. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 software for Windows 2000?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-10-24 23:00:01 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 557 Message: 559

I use wsjtx1.3 and run windows7,no problems

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

560. Proper operation of JT65 on 60m in US?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-09 14:52:40 UTC
From: n1ilz@...
Topic: 560 Message: 560

Some questions re use of JT65 and/or JT9 on 60m in the US:

ARRL FAQs seem to indicate JT65 and JT9 are legal because their bandwidth is (substantially) less than 2.8 kHz and the protocol is documented publicly. Did I read that correctly?

The FAQs say to center your digital signal at 1500 Hz. Does that mean I should set my JT65 software so that the bottom (sync signal) of my JT65 transmission is at 1500 Hz?

I sometimes observe multiple JT65 sigs on one 60m channel. This seems to violate the "only 1 signal on a channel" rule in the FAQs. Do I read that right?

Thanks and 73 de

--Jon N1ILZ

561. Re: [JT65HF] Proper operation of JT65 on 60m in US?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-09 15:43:38 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 560 Message: 561

You are correct, only ONE at a time.
Andy K3UK

On Sun, Nov 9, 2014 at 9:52 AM, n1ilz@... [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Some questions re use of JT65 and/or JT9 on 60m in the US:
>
>
> ARRL FAQs seem to indicate JT65 and JT9 are legal because their bandwidth
> is (substantially) less than 2.8 kHz and the protocol is documented
> publicly. Did I read that correctly?
>
>
> The FAQs say to center your digital signal at 1500 Hz. Does that mean I
> should set my JT65 software so that the bottom (sync signal) of my JT65
> transmission is at 1500 Hz?
>
>
> I sometimes observe multiple JT65 sigs on one 60m channel. This seems to
> violate the "only 1 signal on a channel" rule in the FAQs. Do I read that
> right?
>
>
> Thanks and 73 de
>
> --Jon N1ILZ
>
>
>
>

562. Re: Too Many Contests !

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-16 14:19:08 UTC
From: WB4CIW@...
Topic: 520 Message: 562

Hi, I'm a little late, but just getting started in Yahoo...
Whenever a contest occurs, I simply QSY to the "non-traditional bands" and operate on 12, 17, 30 M.
While it works, the only problem is those that also want to escape the contest show up there, and those bands also become crowded.

73, Frank
WB4CIW

563. I am puzzled

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-16 17:10:07 UTC
From: n3gty@...
Topic: 563 Message: 563

Hello all maybe someone could offer some insight to this issue. I run JT65 and JT9 most of the time and have notice that even though I run a QRP rig and no ALC signal reports from my QTH in North Carolina to mid Texas
are very high. My antenna is an Antron 99 vertical for 10 meters cut for the digital portion of the Band and Rig is FT-817nd I do run 5 watts. The signal reports that I get from all other locations are normal -05 to -24 and the stations from Texas are to me rather strong also. This has angered a station in Texas and the last thing I want to do at my age is raise someones blood pressure. 73 for now de N3GTY Bill

564. Re: [JT65HF] I am puzzled

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-16 17:29:25 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 563 Message: 564

Bill,

This is a social problem, and it is not yours.

The fact that you are decoding to -24 speaks well of your setup
including the rig, cabling and the cpu soundcard.
If someone decodes at -5, then they are -5. Sit back and enjoy Joe's
gift to us.
Seriously, whoever is upset at you, isn't thankful for enough in their
life.

Tom, K8TB

On 11/16/2014 12:10 PM, n3gty@yahoo.com [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> Hello all maybe someone could offer some insight to this issue. I run
> JT65 and JT9 most of the time and have notice that even though I run a
> QRP rig and no ALC signal reports from my QTH in North Carolina to mid
> Texas
>
> are very high. My antenna is an Antron 99 vertical for 10 meters cut
> for the digital portion of the Band and Rig is FT-817nd I do run 5
> watts. The signal reports that I get from all other locations are
> normal -05 to -24 and the stations from Texas are to me rather strong
> also. This has angered a station in Texas and the last thing I want to
> do at my age is raise someones blood pressure. 73 for now de N3GTY Bill
>
>

565. Re: [JT65HF] I am puzzled

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-16 17:40:20 UTC
From: Robert Dorchuck W6VY
Topic: 563 Message: 565

I'll second that. Don't let it bother you.Bob W6VY
From: "Tom k8tb@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, November 16, 2014 9:29 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] I am puzzled

Bill,

This is a social problem, and it is not yours.

The fact that you are decoding to -24 speaks well of your setup including the rig, cabling and the cpu soundcard.
If someone decodes at -5, then they are -5. Sit back and enjoy Joe's gift to us.
Seriously, whoever is upset at you, isn't thankful for enough in their life.

Tom, K8TB

On 11/16/2014 12:10 PM, n3gty@... [JT65HF] wrote:

Hello all maybe someone could offer some insight to this issue. I run JT65 and JT9 most of the time and have notice that even though I run a QRP rig and no ALC signal reports from my QTH in North Carolina to mid Texas are very high. My antenna is an Antron 99 vertical for 10 meters cut for the digital portion of the Band and Rig is FT-817nd I do run 5 watts. The signal reports that I get from all other locations are normal -05 to -24 and the stations from Texas are to me rather strong also. This has angered a station in Texas and the last thing I want to do at my age is raise someones blood pressure. 73 for now de N3GTY Bill

567. Re: Where has W6CQZ's RB gone?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-17 13:16:03 UTC
From: johnb3030@...
Topic: 516 Message: 567

If you look up W6CQZ on QRZ.com, you will find this in the Biography section:

"I no longer have the ability to work with or offer support for JT65-HF. All available sourcode may be found at https://github.com/ by searching for my call in the search github box." This update is dated 2014-10-13.

Not being a programmer, I can't make much sense of what's on the github site. Perhaps someone can figure out how to resurrect the Reverse Beacon Network on a different server? It was a very useful feature when it was running.

73,

John, K9MM

568. Re: I am puzzled

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-18 11:11:08 UTC
From: g3rce1@...
Topic: 563 Message: 568

Yes
Don't let rude ignorant people get you down!
73 Rob G3RCE

569. Re: [JT65HF] Where has W6CQZ's RB gone?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-18 23:43:58 UTC
From: Tony VK2AJF
Topic: 516 Message: 569

Yes, long gone I am afraid. I recommend using

HamSpots:
hamspots.net/

and PSKReporter:
pskreporter.info/pskmap.html

W6CQZ's Jt65-HF is no longer supported. I recommend Joe Taylor's WSJT-X 1.4. It is excellent (does JT65 plus JT9) and reports to PSKReporter. You can add the impressive program JTAlert from Laurie VK3AMA, which provides useful info, helps with logging and reports to HamSpots.

Cheers,

Tony VK2AJF

On Tuesday, November 18, 2014, johnb3030@... [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> If you look up W6CQZ on QRZ.com, you will find this in the Biography
> section:
>
> "I no longer have the ability to work with or offer support for JT65-HF.
> All available sourcode may be found at https://github.com/ by searching
> for my call in the search github box." This update is dated 2014-10-13.
>
> Not being a programmer, I can't make much sense of what's on the github
> site. Perhaps someone can figure out how to resurrect the Reverse Beacon
> Network on a different server? It was a very useful feature when it was
> running.
>
> 73,
>
> John, K9MM
>
>

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

570. AT1JCB Sp. Event Callsign - Exclusively on Digital Modes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-19 18:42:12 UTC
From: vu2exp@...
Topic: 570 Message: 570

AT1JCB Sp. Event Callsign – Exclusively on Digital Modes

Special Callsign AT1JCB Activation from Rajkot (Gujarat – INDIA), Starting 21st November 2014 to 9th December 2014.

Primary aim is to celebrate Birth Anniversary (30 Nov.) of Great Indian Scientist Aacharya Jagdish Chandra Bose & aware the world for his scientific achievements. He is well known as the “Father of Wireless Communication”. His demonstration in 1895 & 1897 predates all.

AT1JCB specially activated on Digital Modes by active Amateur Radio Operator VU2EXP – Rajesh Vagadia, from Rajkot (Gujarat) INDIA.

The Details of operation:

Period: 21st November 2014 [from 0000 UTC] to 9th December 2014 [2359 UTC].

Modes: PSK31, PSK63, PSK125, JT65, JT9, RTTY, SIM31, ROS, Olivia etc

Bands: 10 M/ 15M / 20M / 40M

QSL: LOTW / eQSL / Direct to VU2EXP

[QSL POLICY: I encourage DXCC Program & upload all logs to LOTW. Plus 100% direct reply to QSL received with return postage]

Hope to see you on WATERFALL!!!

I am thankful to VU2DSI & VU2JAU for their wonderful support & cooperation on my AT1JCB activation.

AT1JCB
(Operator VU2EXP)
Rajesh P. Vagadia
Rajkot (Gujarat – INDIA)

email: vu2exp @ gmail . com

AT1JCB Callsign Page http://www.qrz.com/db/at1jcb

http://www.qrz.com/db/at1jcb

AT1JCB Callsign Page http://www.qrz.com/db/at1jcb RAJESH VAGADIA - VU2EXP, AT1JCB personal biography

View on www.qrz.com http://www.qrz.com/db/at1jcb
Preview by Yahoo

573. Sound card controler like PSKmeter for JT65 and JT9

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-21 16:57:52 UTC
From: n3gty@...
Topic: 573 Message: 573

Hello I was wondering if there is a device like PSKmeter that would adjust output of the sound device in the computer or interface for JT65 and JT9 I run QRP with my FT817nd and get disturbing reports and threats from a station in Texas about my overpowering HF station. Or if there is a better way to see the ALC setting with my rig then what is built into the Radio. Thanks in advance Bill N3GTY

574. Re: Sound card controler like PSKmeter for JT65 and JT9

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-11-21 19:14:04 UTC
From: wa4mit@...
Topic: 573 Message: 574

Your computer should have software that allows you to adjust the sound card output. If your using the speaker out then the volume control is the one to use if your using a line out there should be a control for that also. There preferred method is to use the line out as there is usually lower level. I have a attenuator in the cable to my radio as well which helps. Remember radios are looking for millivolts that a microphone puts out not volts that a sound card is capable of. 73 wa4mit

575. HB9HQX Edition question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-03 20:58:34 UTC
From: n3gty@...
Topic: 575 Message: 575

Hello I have been using the HB9HQX Edition for a while and with the latest version after calling CQ it sounds like a CW (HI) is being transmitted as a tail. I am really bad with CW and my Hearing is really bad has anyone else experienced this the version that I am running is 0.9.90.0 Thanks in advance Bill N3GTY

576. JT65hf on 20 meters

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-07 10:03:48 UTC
From: ben_setter@...
Topic: 576 Message: 576

I have been using JT65HF for about a year. In the past few months I have had to reinstall it on a new computer. Now I can get everything to work except 20 meters. The waterfall display has all reversed images and I cannot seem to work anybody on that frequency.

577. JT65hf on windows 8.1

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-07 10:05:41 UTC
From: ben_setter@...
Topic: 577 Message: 577

I have loaded jt65 on windows 8.1 machine and now I can't find the jt65hf.log file to send to the various log books. Any ideas?

578. RE: [JT65HF] JT65hf on 20 meters

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-07 12:04:37 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 576 Message: 578

May be stating something obvious to you but are you on the correct sideband?

Have fallen foul of this myself before now when changing bands from 40m SSB to HF data modes.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 07 December 2014 10:04
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65hf on 20 meters

I have been using JT65HF for about a year. In the past few months I have had to reinstall it on a new computer. Now I can get everything to work except 20 meters. The waterfall display has all reversed images and I cannot seem to work anybody on that frequency.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5577 / Virus Database: 4235/8695 - Release Date: 12/07/14

579. RE: [JT65HF] JT65hf on 20 meters

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-07 18:42:04 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 576 Message: 579

JT65 and JT9 use USB on ALL bands .
73es gud luk

"'chas cartmel' chas@cartmel.me.uk [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>May be stating something obvious to you but are you on the correct sideband?
>
>
>
>Have fallen foul of this myself before now when changing bands from 40m SSB to HF data modes.
>
>
>
>73
>
>Charlie
>
>www.G4EST.me.uk
>
>
>
>From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
>Sent: 07 December 2014 10:04
>To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [JT65HF] JT65hf on 20 meters
>
>
>
>
>
>I have been using JT65HF for about a year. In the past few months I have had to reinstall it on a new computer. Now I can get everything to work except 20 meters. The waterfall display has all reversed images and I cannot seem to work anybody on that frequency.
>
>
>
>No virus found in this message.
>Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
>Version: 2015.0.5577 / Virus Database: 4235/8695 - Release Date: 12/07/14
>

580. RE: [JT65HF] JT65hf on 20 meters

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-07 21:44:27 UTC
From: ben_setter@...
Topic: 576 Message: 580

Which brings me back to the original problem. ONLY on 20 meters do I have this problem. ben

581. JT65 on an Apple

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-08 03:11:56 UTC
From: willgord@...
Topic: 581 Message: 581

I have been running JT65/JT9 on a PC for several years with great success. Question is, can I run JT65/9 on a Macbook Pro and how do I go about it?

Gordon
K2GLW

582. Re: JT65 on an Apple

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-08 11:05:18 UTC
From: Neil Zampella
Topic: 581 Message: 582

You'll probably have to switch to WSJT-X, which has a Mac version:
http://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/k1jt/wsjtx.html

583. Re: JT65hf on windows 8.1

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-08 19:32:52 UTC
From: Brian Clark
Topic: 577 Message: 583

If you are using Version 9.90.0 just click on the JT65-Log on the Menu Bar at the top of the program above the waterfall. Click on Export Log and save the ADIF to the folder of your choice on your hard drive. Then go to your regular logging program and import the ADIF that JT65hf has created for you. If you are looking for a specific file in Windows 8.1, I can't help you as I am running Win 7.

Brian, VE1JBC

584. Re: JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-19 20:51:07 UTC
From: powerplaytwo@...
Topic: 526 Message: 584

Yes, I have a Win 7, 64 bit machine and it locks up on me as well. On mine it happens right after the TX signal is sent. It sends about 1 second and then freezes and I have to shut it down and reboot it. I haven't found a solution yet.

585. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-19 23:05:55 UTC
From: Charles Bennett
Topic: 526 Message: 585

I run wsjt-x on win 7 using signallink usb with no issues at all.

I didnt let it install in programs but forced it to c:/ otherwise nothing special

Chuck - WB8CEE

Sent from my iPhone

> On Dec 19, 2014, at 15:51, powerplaytwo@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Yes, I have a Win 7, 64 bit machine and it locks up on me as well. On mine it happens right after the TX signal is sent. It sends about 1 second and then freezes and I have to shut it down and reboot it. I haven't found a solution yet.
>
>

586. NTP security concerns

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-20 09:27:34 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 586 Message: 586

Guys

Saw this article on an aviation monitoring site where NTP is also necessary.
May be of interest or even concern.

http://www.zdnet.com/article/major-ntp-security-holes-appears-and-are-being-exploited/

Sent to WSJT JT65 and HamApps groups

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

587. RE: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-20 09:30:25 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 526 Message: 587

Have you tried putting chokes on any interconnecting leads? Or rerouting away from co-ax etc.

Also do you have a single point eart connection which the computer is also connected to. This helped for me but in reducing RFI from the PC into the RX.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 19 December 2014 20:51
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yes, I have a Win 7, 64 bit machine and it locks up on me as well. On mine it happens right after the TX signal is sent. It sends about 1 second and then freezes and I have to shut it down and reboot it. I haven't found a solution yet.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5577 / Virus Database: 4253/8770 - Release Date: 12/19/14

588. RE: NTP security concerns

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-20 09:52:29 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 586 Message: 588

Follow up.

I use Meinberg and have contacted them to see if this has any impact with their software.

Reading through a few links from the original article suggests that it is not linked to any specific software, although commercial usage is mentioned.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: chas cartmel [mailto:chas@...]
Sent: 20 December 2014 09:28
To: 'wsjtgroup@yahoogroups.com'; 'HamApps@yahoogroups.com.au'; 'JT65HF@yahoogroups.com'
Subject: NTP security concerns

Guys

Saw this article on an aviation monitoring site where NTP is also necessary. May be of interest or even concern.

http://www.zdnet.com/article/major-ntp-security-holes-appears-and-are-being-exploited/

Sent to WSJT JT65 and HamApps groups

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

589. [JT65HF] Re: JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-20 17:03:51 UTC
From: Phillip Potter
Topic: 526 Message: 589

I am running Win7/64bit and have had the same issue with my computer freezing up. I found an old computer cable that had a choke, stripped the choke off of it and placed it on the keyboard cable, down near the the case. This cleared up the issue. YMMV.

Phil

On 12/20/2014 1:30 AM, 'chas cartmel' chas@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> Have you tried putting chokes on any interconnecting leads? Or
> rerouting away from co-ax etc.
>
> Yes, I have a Win 7, 64 bit machine and it locks up on me as well. On
> mine it happens right after the TX signal is sent. It sends about 1
> second and then freezes and I have to shut it down and reboot it. I
> haven't found a solution yet.
>
>

590. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 on Windows 7 64 Bit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-20 18:00:02 UTC
From: powerplaytwo@...
Topic: 526 Message: 590

Thanks for the replies. I will try a choke or two and I'm sure that will solve the problem.

Scott

> On Dec 20, 2014, at 12:03 PM, Phillip Potter p.potter@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> I am running Win7/64bit and have had the same issue with my computer freezing up. I found an old computer cable that had a choke, stripped the choke off of it and placed it on the keyboard cable, down near the the case. This cleared up the issue. YMMV.
> Phil
>
> On 12/20/2014 1:30 AM, 'chas cartmel' chas@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>>
>> Have you tried putting chokes on any interconnecting leads? Or rerouting away from co-ax etc.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yes, I have a Win 7, 64 bit machine and it locks up on me as well. On mine it happens right after the TX signal is sent. It sends about 1 second and then freezes and I have to shut it down and reboot it. I haven't found a solution yet.
>>
>
>

593. Logging files

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-28 15:24:45 UTC
From: roadrunner@...
Topic: 593 Message: 593

I am a newbie to JT65HF and this group and I have a question about the location of the log file. I thought there would be an .adif format file entry generated when the "Log QSO" radio button is pushed. I can find a .csv file but it includes all the received and transmitted messages in .csv format. I am running JT65-HF by Joe Lange, W6CQZ. I use a MAC and run the program under Crossover which allows Windows programs to operate on a MAC with OS X.

Everything works well except for the log. I would like to import the contact entries into Aether, my logging program.

Any suggestions??

Don, KM5XK

594. Re: [JT65HF] Logging files

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-28 15:51:55 UTC
From: Robert Dorchuck W6VY
Topic: 593 Message: 594

The log file is ADIF and should be located in jt65hf_log.adi and located in the directory you selected. (According to the setup and operation guide).
Bob W6VY

From: "roadrunner@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 28, 2014 7:24 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Logging files

I am a newbie to JT65HF and this group and I have a question about the location of the log file. I thought there would be an .adif format file entry generated when the "Log QSO" radio button is pushed. I can find a .csv file but it includes all the received and transmitted messages in .csv format. I am running JT65-HF by Joe Lange, W6CQZ. I use a MAC and run the program under Crossover which allows Windows programs to operate on a MAC with OS X.
Everything works well except for the log. I would like to import the contact entries into Aether, my logging program.
Any suggestions??
Don, KM5XK

595. QSL Window not showing QSOs

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-28 16:04:15 UTC
From: Kiran Dasgupta
Topic: 595 Message: 595
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

My JT65-HF QSO window is completely blank. Waterfall is showing those correctly. Did I set some configuration wrong or did not set up. My computer is Window 8.1/ 64 bit. Screenprint attached. Any help would be appreciated.
73,Kiran NU2E

596. Re: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-28 16:07:02 UTC
From: Darl Deeds
Topic: 595 Message: 596

Looks like your clock is off.

NA8W

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 28, 2014 11:04 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs [1 Attachment]

My JT65-HF QSO window is completely blank. Waterfall is showing those correctly. Did I set some configuration wrong or did not set up. My computer is Window 8.1/ 64 bit. Screenprint attached. Any help would be appreciated.

73,
Kiran NU2E

597. Re: QSL Window not showing QSOs

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-28 16:15:02 UTC
From: powerplaytwo@...
Topic: 595 Message: 597

As said, check your clock first. Make sure you are updating your computer clock to sync with the contacts on your screen. Meinberg works well and that is what I use. Also your audio levels are a little low. They should be zero when you are not receiving any signals.

Good luck.

Scott
KB1UFY

598. Re: [JT65HF] Logging files

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-28 16:53:24 UTC
From: roadrunner@...
Topic: 593 Message: 598

Bob,

Thanks for the reply.

I think it must be my lack of knowledge of Crossover that is the problem. I only have a .csv file in the directory specified in the set-up routine. I will change that to a new location, like my Desktop, and later today will be able to test it.

The prudent thing to do might be switching to an application specific to OS X and get the emulation layer out of the way.

Don, KM5XK

599. Re: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs [1 Attachment]

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-28 22:04:04 UTC
From: Tony VK2AJF
Topic: 595 Message: 599

Hi Kiran,

Your photo clearly shows the signal traces extending into the next second, showing that your clock needs to be synchronised. I would suggest that you install Dimension 4 or Meinberg NTP to do this automatically. Or you can synchronise manually using windows clock.

Cheers,

Tony VK2AJF

On Monday, December 29, 2014, Kiran Dasgupta dasguptak@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> My JT65-HF QSO window is completely blank. Waterfall is showing those
> correctly. Did I set some configuration wrong or did not set up. My
> computer is Window 8.1/ 64 bit. Screenprint attached. Any help would be
> appreciated.
>
> 73,
> Kiran NU2E
>
>
>

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

600. Re: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-29 21:11:40 UTC
From: Kiran Dasgupta
Topic: 595 Message: 600
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Hi Tony VK2AJF,
WOW! You are absolutely right. I downloaded and installed Meinberg NTP and increased the audio level. Now QSO window is live and kicking. Screenprint attached
Thank you very much for your help.
73,Kiran NU2E
  From: "Tony VK2AJF vk2ajf@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 28, 2014 5:04 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs

  Hi Kiran,
Your photo clearly shows the signal traces extending into the next second, showing that your clock needs to be synchronised. I would suggest that you install Dimension 4 or Meinberg NTP to do this automatically. Or you can synchronise manually using windows clock.
Cheers,
Tony VK2AJF

On Monday, December 29, 2014, Kiran Dasgupta dasguptak@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  My JT65-HF QSO window is completely blank. Waterfall is showing those correctly. Did I set some configuration wrong or did not set up. My computer is Window 8.1/ 64 bit. Screenprint attached. Any help would be appreciated.
73,Kiran NU2E

--
Sent from Gmail Mobile

601. Re: [JT65HF] Re: QSL Window not showing QSOs

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-29 21:12:28 UTC
From: Kiran Dasgupta
Topic: 595 Message: 601

Hi Scot,
I downloaded and installed Meinberg NTP and increased the audio level. Now QSO window is live and kicking.
Thank you very much for your help.
73,Kiran
From: "powerplaytwo@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 28, 2014 11:15 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: QSL Window not showing QSOs

As said, check your clock first. Make sure you are updating your computer clock to sync with the contacts on your screen. Meinberg works well and that is what I use. Also your audio levels are a little low. They should be zero when you are not receiving any signals.
Good luck.
ScottKB1UFY

602. Re: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2014-12-29 21:13:42 UTC
From: Kiran Dasgupta
Topic: 595 Message: 602

Hi NA8W,
Thank you very much for your help.
73,Kiran NU2E
  From: "Darl Deeds na8w@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 28, 2014 11:07 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs

  Looks like your clock is off. NA8W

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com Sent: Sunday, December 28, 2014 11:04 AMTo: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com Subject: [JT65HF] QSL Window not showing QSOs [1 Attachment] My JT65-HF QSO window is completely blank. Waterfall is showing those correctly. Did I set some configuration wrong or did not set up. My computer is Window 8.1/ 64 bit. Screenprint attached. Any help would be appreciated. 73,Kiran NU2E

603. ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 16:07:00 UTC
From: yv5of.ve@...
Topic: 603 Message: 603

Hi Team,

Happy New Year for all ....

What is the recommended level of ALC have for JT65 operations?

Thank...

Severino - YV5OF

604. RE: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 16:12:02 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 603 Message: 604

The best way to run JT65/JT9 is to set your rig at max power and use the software to reduce power.

That way you never hit the ALC. It should be pretty rare that you go over 25W even 50W shouldn't trigger your ALC.

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 04, 2015 10:07 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Hi Team,

Happy New Year for all ....

What is the recommended level of ALC have for JT65 operations?

Thank...

Severino - YV5OF

605. RE: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 16:14:06 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 603 Message: 605

I should add that doing it that way also minimizes intermod on PSK modes too.

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 04, 2015 10:07 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Hi Team,

Happy New Year for all ....

What is the recommended level of ALC have for JT65 operations?

Thank...

Severino - YV5OF

606. RE: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 16:26:24 UTC
From: yv5of.ve@...
Topic: 603 Message: 606

Many Tanks Mike,

Regularly I operate my radio (FT-950) with a power of 10W, and ALC bar shows 4 points when I am transmitting, that is equivalent to having the Mic Gain in value of 10.

So my question if recomenabe have that level, or that the ALC is in its most minimal level. As in operations as EASYPAL or SSTV.

Severino - YV5OF

607. RE: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 16:38:37 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 603 Message: 607

Your FT-950 has an ALC meter. So you want to keep it such that you never see it hit the right side of that meter. Just like they describe in the manual using your voice on SSB.

As long as you are not hitting the max on your ALC meter you'll be OK.

You may want to set the menu item "009 DISP PKH ALC" for peak hold to ensure you are keeping it below the max.

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 04, 2015 10:26 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Many Tanks Mike,

Regularly I operate my radio (FT-950) with a power of 10W, and ALC bar shows 4 points when I am transmitting, that is equivalent to having the Mic Gain in value of 10.

So my question if recomenabe have that level, or that the ALC is in its most minimal level. As in operations as EASYPAL or SSTV.

Severino - YV5OF

608. RE: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 16:44:59 UTC
From: yv5of.ve@...
Topic: 603 Message: 608

Great Michael,

Thank you very much for your comments ...

Severino - YV5OF

609. RE: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 17:52:34 UTC
From: ve7vv@...
Topic: 603 Message: 609

Severino. For my transceiver, for all digital modes, the transmitter operates in SSB mode with the software providing audio to the microphone input. I have the transceiver power set for maximum (100W) and reduce the microphone gain (or the software audio line out level) to get the power desired, e.g. 10 W for JT65, 40W for PSK31. The ALC meter never shows any indication under these conditions. I believe having ALC reduce power (by reducing the power output knob and then "overdriving with too much audio so ALC reduces power) would cause distortion.

610. Re: [JT65HF] ALC Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-04 20:32:07 UTC
From: Severino Mastracci
Topic: 603 Message: 610

Many thank for your reply.

73.

Enviado desde mi iPhone

> El 4/1/2015, a las 1:22 p.m., ve7vv@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> escribió:
>
> Severino. For my transceiver, for all digital modes, the transmitter operates in SSB mode with the software providing audio to the microphone input. I have the transceiver power set for maximum (100W) and reduce the microphone gain (or the software audio line out level) to get the power desired, e.g. 10 W for JT65, 40W for PSK31. The ALC meter never shows any indication under these conditions. I believe having ALC reduce power (by reducing the power output knob and then "overdriving with too much audio so ALC reduces power) would cause distortion.
>
>

611. Requesting assistance for tracking of JT9 /WSPR balloon

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-05 20:29:52 UTC
From: Andy Nguyen
Topic: 611 Message: 611

Hi all,

Our long range PICO balloon PS-30 has been in the air since 27 December 2014 from Melbourne Australia.
Currently it is heading towards South America

http://picospace.net/tracker
http://picospace.net/?p=519

If you are along the balloon path and have access to HF receivers, we would love to have your assistance with tracking.
Info on tracking can be found here http://picospace.net/?cat=34

you will need to download a modified version of WSJTX to allow uploading of packets to the tracking server
http://picospace.net/wp-content/uploads/files/wsjtx-1.5.0-devel-win32.exe

The balloon transmits on 20m and 30m on alternate time slots with the following dial frequencies:
30m : 10.141000Mhz
20m: 14.074000Mhz

TX Schedule (minute in the hour/TX band)

0 30m // Mandatory JT9 and WSPR, two per hour
6 30m // Optional JT9/JT65 – if batt full
10 20m // Optional JT9/JT65 and WSPR – unless batt low
16 20m // Optional JT9/JT65 – if batt full
20 30m // Optional JT9/JT65 and WSPR – unless batt low
26 30m // Optional JT9/JT65 – if batt full
30 20m // Mandatory JT9/JT65 and WSPR, two per hour
36 20m // Optional JT9/JT65 – if batt full
40 30m // optional JT9/JT65 and WSPR – unless batt low
46 30m // Optional JT9/JT65 – if batt full
50 20m // Optional JT9/JT65 and WSPR – unless batt low
56 20m // Optional JT9/JT65 and WSPR – if batt full

Regards,
Andy VK3YT

612. PS-30 balloon tracking from Africa

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-08 20:53:02 UTC
From: Andy Nguyen
Topic: 612 Message: 612

Hi all,

PS-30 balloon is due to reach Africa around 18:00 9/1/2015 UTC.
It would be great if you can have a listen and try to decode any JT9 signal on the designated frequency, starting at around 2:00UTC when the balloon will be in the sun and transmitting.

If you leave your dial at 14.074Mhz, please check visually for any faint JT9 signal around the 1kHz mark on the audio passband, at the following minutes past the hour:
10, 16, 30, 36, 50, 56

We would also appreciate a signal report without decoding.

Alternatively, having WSPR receiver on the standard WSPR dial frequency 14.095600Mhz will also help us greatly if you are in the region.

73,
Andy VK3YT

613. Re: PS-30 balloon tracking from Africa

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-08 21:05:28 UTC
From: Andy Nguyen
Topic: 612 Message: 613

Hi,

Correction to transmitting time, should start around 6:00UTC

Regards,
Andy

On 9 Jan 2015, at 7:53 am, Andy Nguyen <an@...> wrote:

> Hi all,
>
> PS-30 balloon is due to reach Africa around 18:00 9/1/2015 UTC.
> It would be great if you can have a listen and try to decode any JT9 signal on the designated frequency, starting at around 2:00UTC when the balloon will be in the sun and transmitting.
>
> If you leave your dial at 14.074Mhz, please check visually for any faint JT9 signal around the 1kHz mark on the audio passband, at the following minutes past the hour:
> 10, 16, 30, 36, 50, 56
>
> We would also appreciate a signal report without decoding.
>
> Alternatively, having WSPR receiver on the standard WSPR dial frequency 14.095600Mhz will also help us greatly if you are in the region.
>
> 73,
> Andy VK3YT
>

614. Re: Requesting assistance for tracking of JT9 /WSPR balloon

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-18 00:29:46 UTC
From: Andy Nguyen
Topic: 611 Message: 614

Hi all,

FYI, PS-30 went down near Madagascar at 5:51am 16/1/2015 AEST, 25H short of 3 weeks in the air.

Thank you all for the assistance we received through this news group when that was most needed.

73,
Andy VK3YT

615. JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-25 20:26:44 UTC
From: KC3AK@...
Topic: 615 Message: 615

Does anyone know if there might either be a bridge available or in the planning to link JT65-HF and DXKeeper? I would really like to have JT65-HF log directly into DXKeeper. Now I import my log after every session on the radio, but that does become a bother, and direct logging sure would be nice. Thanks,
Terry
KC3AK

616. RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-25 20:34:23 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 615 Message: 616

If you use JTAlert it will do it for you.

DXKeeper is one of the logging options in JTAlert.

Great program if you haven't seen it before.

http://hamapps.com/

73

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2015 2:27 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Does anyone know if there might either be a bridge available or in the planning to link JT65-HF and DXKeeper? I would really like to have JT65-HF log directly into DXKeeper. Now I import my log after every session on the radio, but that does become a bother, and direct logging sure would be nice. Thanks,

Terry

KC3AK

617. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-26 12:40:01 UTC
From: powerplaytwo@...
Topic: 615 Message: 617

This software does not seem to work on Win 7, 64 bit machine. It won't install. Has anyone been successful in installing it on this?

Scott KB1UFY

> On Jan 25, 2015, at 3:34 PM, 'Michael Black' mdblack98@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> If you use JTAlert it will do it for you.
>
> DXKeeper is one of the logging options in JTAlert.
>
> Great program if you haven't seen it before.
>
> http://hamapps.com/
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
> Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2015 2:27 PM
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab
>
>
>
>
>
> Does anyone know if there might either be a bridge available or in the planning to link JT65-HF and DXKeeper? I would really like to have JT65-HF log directly into DXKeeper. Now I import my log after every session on the radio, but that does become a bother, and direct logging sure would be nice. Thanks,
>
> Terry
>
> KC3AK
>
>
>

618. RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-26 13:19:03 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 615 Message: 618

Lots of people using JTAlert on Win7/64 (like me)….your antivirus is probably stopping it. Disable your antivirus and try again.

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 6:40 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

This software does not seem to work on Win 7, 64 bit machine. It won't install. Has anyone been successful in installing it on this?

Scott KB1UFY

On Jan 25, 2015, at 3:34 PM, 'Michael Black' mdblack98@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

If you use JTAlert it will do it for you.

DXKeeper is one of the logging options in JTAlert.

Great program if you haven't seen it before.

http://hamapps.com/

73

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2015 2:27 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Does anyone know if there might either be a bridge available or in the planning to link JT65-HF and DXKeeper? I would really like to have JT65-HF log directly into DXKeeper. Now I import my log after every session on the radio, but that does become a bother, and direct logging sure would be nice. Thanks,

Terry

KC3AK

619. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-26 13:27:19 UTC
From: powerplaytwo@...
Topic: 615 Message: 619

Thanks!!! Just what I needed to know. I really want to try using it.

Scott

> On Jan 26, 2015, at 8:19 AM, 'Michael Black' mdblack98@... [JT65HF]
<JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Lots of people using JTAlert on Win7/64 (like me)….your antivirus is probably stopping
it. Disable your antivirus and try again.
>
>
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
> Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 6:40 AM
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab
>
>
>
>
>
> This software does not seem to work on Win 7, 64 bit machine. It won't install. Has
anyone been successful in installing it on this?
>
> Scott KB1UFY
>
>
> On Jan 25, 2015, at 3:34 PM, 'Michael Black' mdblack98@... [JT65HF]
<JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> If you use JTAlert it will do it for you.
>
> DXKeeper is one of the logging options in JTAlert.
>
> Great program if you haven't seen it before.
>
> http://hamapps.com/
>
>

>
> 73
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
> Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2015 2:27 PM
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab
>
>
>
>
>
> Does anyone know if there might either be a bridge available or in the planning to link JT65-HF and DXKeeper? I would really like to have JT65-HF log directly into DXKeeper. Now I import my log after every session on the radio, but that does become a bother, and direct logging sure would be nice. Thanks,
>
> Terry
>
> KC3AK
>
>
>

620. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-26 15:20:43 UTC
From: powerplaytwo@...
Topic: 615 Message: 620

Works great! Thanks again for the reply.

Scott

> On Jan 26, 2015, at 8:27 AM, powerplaytwo@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Thanks!!! Just what I needed to know. I really want to try using it.
>
> Scott
>
>> On Jan 26, 2015, at 8:19 AM, 'Michael Black' mdblack98@... [JT65HF]
<JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Lots of people using JTAlert on Win7/64 (like me)….your antivirus is probably stopping
it. Disable your antivirus and try again.
>>
>>
>>
>> Mike W9MDB
>>
>>
>>
>> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
>> Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 6:40 AM
>> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> This software does not seem to work on Win 7, 64 bit machine. It won't install. Has
anyone been successful in installing it on this?
>>
>> Scott KB1UFY
>>
>>
>> On Jan 25, 2015, at 3:34 PM, 'Michael Black' mdblack98@... [JT65HF]
<JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> If you use JTAlert it will do it for you.
>>

>> DXKeeper is one of the logging options in JTAlert.
>>
>> Great program if you haven't seen it before.
>>
>> http://hamapps.com/
>>
>>
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Mike W9MDB
>>
>>
>>
>> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
>> Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2015 2:27 PM
>> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Does anyone know if there might either be a bridge available or in the planning to link JT65-HF and DXKeeper? I would really like to have JT65-HF log directly into DXKeeper. Now I import my log after every session on the radio, but that does become a bother, and direct logging sure would be nice. Thanks,
>>
>> Terry
>>
>> KC3AK
>>
>
>

621. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-27 12:45:02 UTC
From: KC3AK@...
Topic: 615 Message: 621

I am running Win 7 64 bit and after I heard about it, I installed it and it works fine for what I want. I do not know if it lists spots or not, but it does log each contact to DXK for me. I like it, it sure makes logging much simpler for me. Thanks for the information.
KC3AK

622. RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-01-27 13:01:06 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 615 Message: 622

OM

If you log into the Hamspots site (http://hamspots.net/) there are many pages of data relating to stations heard or hearing you.

Very useful information across several jt mode pages.

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 27 January 2015 12:45
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF and DXLab

I am running Win 7 64 bit and after I heard about it, I installed it and it works fine for what I want. I do not know if it lists spots or not, but it does log each contact to DXK for me. I like it, it sure makes logging much simpler for me. Thanks for the information.

KC3AK

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2015.0.5646 / Virus Database: 4273/9007 - Release Date: 01/27/15

623. JT65-HF / Cannot Compute Text Error Message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-02-17 18:15:43 UTC
From: k3ssb@...
Topic: 623 Message: 623

Good Afternoon;

I am a novice to digital communications. With that said, I have installed and have been operating for a few day the older version and the Comfort type.

Up until last evening everything was going well. No problems to speak of.

On my final attempt at a QSO I replied to a CQ (by double clicking) and received a reply... however, when I attempted to offer my signal report there was no acknowledgement.

I tried repeatedly to no avail...

After several attempts (double clicking) I received the following error message:

"Cannot Compute Text Message"

In short, I can successfully call CQ or answer one. The issue occurs in what is step two of an exchange. RF out, but no response from the other end of the QSO.

PS: one gentleman suggested that I should employ the push buttons to reply, NOT to double click.

Any and all constructive advise is appreciated.

tnx es 73

Tom G, Sr.

K3SSB

624. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF / Cannot Compute Text Error Message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-02-17 20:12:29 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 623 Message: 624

Hi Tom, Does your PC time match your UTC time to + or - 2 seconds ? The closer to UTC your time is,the better the Decode .73,gud luk,Art

On Tuesday, February 17, 2015 10:15 AM, "k3ssb@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  Good Afternoon;
I am a novice to digital communications. With that said, I have installed and have been operating for a few day the older version and the Comfort type.
Up until last evening everything was going well. No problems to speak of.
On my final attempt at a QSO I replied to a CQ (by double clicking) and received a reply... however, when I attempted to offer my signal report there was no acknowledgement.
I tried repeatedly to no avail...
After several attempts (double clicking) I received the following error message:
"Cannot Compute Text Message"
In short, I can successfully call CQ or answer one. The issue occurs in what is step two of an exchange. RF out, but no response from the other end of the QSO.
PS: one gentleman suggested that I should employ the push buttons to reply, NOT to double click.
Any and all constructive advise is appreciated.
tnx es 73
Tom G, Sr. K3SSB

625. Late tx with WSJTx1.3

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-02-19 14:16:42 UTC
From: wa7ag@...
Topic: 625 Message: 625

I have been using WSJTX 1.3 for about 1 or 2 years,and just recently { within the last week } the transmit to key the Yeasu FT857D starts transmitting at 29 seconds past the minuet ,and stops transmitting at 52
seconds past the minuet .
My PC's clock is within 2 seconds with UTC ,running Windows 7 on a Gateway Laptop,500G HDD,and
4G DDr3 of memory.
AHs anyone had this happen,and what was the "fix" ?
Thanks in advance,
Art

626. RE: [JT65HF] Late tx with WSJTx1.3

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-02-19 14:27:49 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 625 Message: 626

Art,
Weird problem. I have been using WSJTx1.3 for 2 years and have experienced several anomaly's which all seemed to clear up after a system reboot.

Just switched to WSJT-X 1.4.0-rc2 last week and so far no problems. Also several great improvements over 1.3.

Bob, KG6MC
kg6mc@...

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2015 06:16:42 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] Late tx with WSJTx1.3

I have been using WSJTX 1.3 for about 1 or 2 years,and just recently { within the last week } the transmit to key the Yeasu FT857D starts transmitting at 29 seconds past the minuet ,and stops transmitting at 52
seconds past the minuet .
My PC's clock is within 2 seconds with UTC ,running Windows 7 on a Gateway Laptop,500G HDD,and
4G DDr3 of memory.
AHs anyone had this happen,and what was the "fix" ?
Thanks in advance,
Art

627. Re: [JT65HF] Late tx with WSJTx1.3

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-02-19 17:54:58 UTC
From: Art Galvez
Topic: 625 Message: 627

problem solved ! In " SETTINGS " ,I changed the default from " PTT" to "VOX" ,and also from the systems audio in and audio out from the default to " CODEX" . saved the changes,closed the program, and started the program , tried to " TX CQ " and it worked ! Made a contact on JT65 ,logged it,and closed the program,restarted the computer, brought up "WSJT" again,made a contact on JT9 this time,and it worked ! Thanks to the folk's who responded ,and hope my experience with this problem and solutionwill help someone else who encounters this problem .73,Art

On Thursday, February 19, 2015 9:32 AM, "Bob Boroughs kg6mc@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  Art,
Weird problem. I have been using WSJTx1.3 for 2 years and have experienced several anomaly's which all seemed to clear up after a system reboot.

Just switched to WSJT-X 1.4.0-rc2 last week and so far no problems. Also several great improvements over 1.3.

Bob, KG6MC
kg6mc@...

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2015 06:16:42 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] Late tx with WSJTx1.3

  I have been using WSJTX 1.3 for about 1 or 2 years,and just recently { within the last week } the transmit to key the Yeasu FT857D starts transmitting at 29 seconds past the minuet ,and stops transmitting at 52
seconds past the minuet .
  My PC's clock is within 2 seconds with UTC ,running Windows 7 on a Gateway Laptop,500G HDD,and
4G DDr3 of memory.
  AHs anyone had this happen,and what was the "fix" ?
  Thanks in advance,
Art

628. WSJTX v1.1, r3496 by K1JT on Linux help!!!!

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-02-20 23:26:04 UTC
From: kj4rwz@...
Topic: 628 Message: 628

Am running WSJTX v1.1, r3496 by K1JT on linux 14.10 64bit, I keep getting decode error or the program closes off, what can be done to fix this?

77 de Anthony 9z4a

629. Updating the "30m Band Utilization Chart"

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-03-19 08:09:59 UTC
From: Ian_Wade_G3NRW
Topic: 629 Message: 629

```
Updating the "30m Band Utilization Chart"
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Seeing this the other day in a message from Tony, K2MO:

> The 30 meter (10144.0 USB) frequency appears to be the
> watering hole for FSQCALL.

reminds me that it is about time I updated the "30m Band Utilization
Chart". The "current" (19 February 2014) version is here:

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/140219_30m_Band_Utilization_Chart.pdf

Please let me know if any modes should be added/changed/removed from the
chart.

Reminder: the chart is not a bandplan. It is an attempt to show the
*actual* utilization of the band, which isn't necessarily where you
think activity should or should not be.

--
73
Ian, G3NRW
mailto: g3nrw-radio@...

The 30m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm
```

630. Re: Pink semi circle on pskreporter.info

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-03-31 16:33:48 UTC
From: jes148@...
Topic: 135 Message: 630

The author called it the pink blob and there ia a control for it under Display Options near the right end of the line for your call. I 've not seen an explanation either but it seems to indicate where the bulk of the stations hearing you are located.
John KA5BJC

631. Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-19 01:57:23 UTC
From: w2xyz@...
Topic: 631 Message: 631

Hi All,
I'm wondering what should be the optimum audio input level? I've successfully used very low levels and very high levels and notice no difference in the ability to decode. Currently, I have it set in the 20's, but have been unable to find written guidance for what level is best.
73,
Frank W2XYZ

632. RE: [JT65HF] Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-19 13:35:19 UTC
From: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE
Topic: 631 Message: 632

73/

From my experience the best input to your rig is a level that just begins to show on the ALC meter, anything else is going to be throttled back by your rig. On the output from your rig to your computer the best level is to show less than about “3” when there are no signals present. This will prevent very loud signals from swamping the ALC in the software but will give enough gain to hear and decode signals at or below the noise level.

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE

EL09uf

Eagle Creek Observatory

http://www.eaglecreekobservatory.org

I am Voltohm of Borg! Resistance is E/I, Power is EI, you will be attenuated!

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 8:57 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Optimum Input Level

Hi All,

I'm wondering what should be the optimum audio input level? I've successfully used very low levels and very high levels and notice no difference in the ability to decode. Currently, I have it set in the 20's, but have been unable to find written guidance for what level is best.

73,

Frank W2XYZ

633. RE: [JT65HF] Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-19 14:50:08 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 631 Message: 633

I use the same settings on JT65/JT9 as I do on PSK/FSK.

I've monitored the intermod distortion on PSK/FSK using kk7qu's IMD meter.

I found that you need to keep the output power at least 75% below where the ALC starts kicking in otherwise you get intermod distortion on PSK/FSK.

I don't believe JT65/JT9 suffers from intermod like PSK/FSK does but those same settings do a really good job on my system.

And I've found that keeping the rig at max power output (100W) and adjusting your output power from the output level from the software/computer side produces a really clean signal since you're not coming anywhere close to the power limit envelope. I adjust my software limits so that max power output is 50W (for those cases when somebody is on the hairy edge).

73

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2015 8:35 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] Optimum Input Level

73/

From my experience the best input to your rig is a level that just begins to show on the ALC meter, anything else is going to be throttled back by your rig. On the output from your rig to your computer the best level is to show less than about “3” when there are no signals present. This will prevent very loud signals from swamping the ALC in the software but will give enough gain to hear and decode signals at or below the noise level.

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE

EL09uf

Eagle Creek Observatory

http://www.eaglecreekobservatory.org

I am Voltohm of Borg! Resistance is E/I, Power is EI, you will be attenuated!

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 8:57 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Optimum Input Level

Hi All,

I'm wondering what should be the optimum audio input level? I've successfully used very low levels and very high levels and notice no difference in the ability to decode. Currently, I have it set in the 20's, but have been unable to find written guidance for what level is best.

73,

Frank W2XYZ

634. Re: Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-21 02:21:37 UTC
From: w2xyz@...
Topic: 631 Message: 634

Thanks for the suggestions. I do keep the ALC about nothing, what I was specifically trying to find out is the best setting for audio out of the rig to the software. In the top left corner of the program is a white rectangle and to the left of it reads "Input Level" units are expressed in p.m. I'm looking for the best value to use in that white rectangle.

Thanks,
Frank W2XYZ

635. Re: Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-21 02:36:33 UTC
From: w2xyz@...
Topic: 631 Message: 635

PS, I forgot to mention the software being used is the JT65-HF by HB9HQX 73,
Frank W2XYZ

636. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-21 02:50:57 UTC
From: Robert Dorchuck W6VY
Topic: 631 Message: 636

Frank,I use the HB9HQX version also and I think the recommended input values are between 10 and 20 but see what works best for you.Bob W6VY

From: "w2xyz@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2015 7:21 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

Thanks for the suggestions. I do keep the ALC about nothing, what I was specifically trying to find out is the best setting for audio out of the rig to the software. In the top left corner of the program is a white rectangle and to the left of it reads "Input Level" units are expressed in p.m. I'm looking for the best value to use in that white rectangle.
Thanks,Frank W2XYZ

637. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-21 13:51:07 UTC
From: Deanf
Topic: 631 Message: 637

I too use the same version you do. I like the fact it shows me what I have outputted and the ability to look at and edit my log. Back to the question mine just sets itself. I use 5W’s of power.

I sure would like to find a better understanding of how to use my free text.

73, WY6L
Dean
DM07dg

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2015 7:21 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

Thanks for the suggestions. I do keep the ALC about nothing, what I was specifically trying to find out is the best setting for audio out of the rig to the software. In the top left corner of the program is a white rectangle and to the left of it reads "Input Level" units are expressed in p.m. I'm looking for the best value to use in that white rectangle.

Thanks,
Frank W2XYZ

638. JT65 on MacBook Air

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-21 13:58:33 UTC
From: willgord@...
Topic: 638 Message: 638

I have been very successful in using JT-65 on a Windows machine. Have successfully installed FLdigi on my new Macbook Pro, but installing JT 65 has defeated me totally,

Notes on installing JT65 on a Mac tell me if I click on Terminal I will find a file sysctl.conf. I find no such file and Apple tech support insists there is no such file. I apparently need the file to increase shared memory for JT65 to download, but can't find a file which will increase the shared memory I need.

Tried installing Parallels to give the machine a Windows capability. When I try to download JT65 using Parallels running Chrome, I get a message saying, "This file type is not supported by Google Chrome. Please visit the Chrome web store to find an app that can open this type of file." That, too, is a dead end.

Any thoughts? I am nine contacts away from DXCC on digital and without JT65 running, that is a long shot.

Gordon Williams
K2GLW

639. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-21 17:54:28 UTC
From: Michael Black
Topic: 631 Message: 639

Maybe you aren't aware of JTAlert and the default macros built into it's macro window that use the free text field?

Main thing I use it for is to confirm how many bands I show with someone.

Also reporting signal problems or lack of them sending a signal report.

73

Mike W9MDB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, June 21, 2015 8:51 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

I too use the same version you do. I like the fact it shows me what I have outputted and the ability to look at and edit my log. Back to the question mine just sets itself. I use 5W’s of power.

I sure would like to find a better understanding of how to use my free text.

73, WY6L

Dean

DM07dg

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com

Sent: Saturday, June 20, 2015 7:21 PM

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com

Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

Thanks for the suggestions. I do keep the ALC about nothing, what I was specifically trying to find out is the best setting for audio out of the rig to the software. In the top left corner of the program is a white rectangle and to the left of it reads "Input Level" units are expressed in p.m. I'm looking for the best value to use in that white rectangle.

Thanks,

Frank W2XYZ

640. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 on MacBook Air

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-21 18:05:26 UTC
From: Roger Graves
Topic: 638 Message: 640

Can download the instal file (“save link as”) instead of trying to open it with Chrome? Or have I misunderstood what you meant? Once you get it downloaded you can try to instal it in your Parallels Windows virtual machine.

On Jun 21, 2015, at 6:58 AM, willgord@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
> I have been very successful in using JT-65 on a Windows machine. Have successfully installed FLdigi on my new Macbook Pro, but installing JT 65 has defeated me totally,
>
>
>
> Notes on installing JT65 on a Mac tell me if I click on Terminal I will find a file sysctl.conf. I find no such file and Apple tech support insists there is no such file. I apparently need the file to increase shared memory for JT65 to download, but can't find a file which will increase the shared memory I need.
>
>
>
> Tried installing Parallels to give the machine a Windows capability. When I try to download JT65 using Parallels running Chrome, I get a message saying, "This file type is not supported by Google Chrome. Please visit the Chrome web store to find an app that can open this type of file." That, too, is a dead end.
>
>
>
> Any thoughts? I am nine contacts away from DXCC on digital and without JT65 running, that is a long shot.
>
>
>
> Gordon Williams
>
> K2GLW
>
>
>

641. Re: JT65 on MacBook Air

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-22 12:15:02 UTC
From: Neil Zampella
Topic: 638 Message: 641

Have you looked at WSJT-X? I believe there is a 1.5 version for the MAC using MacOS v10.7 and up.

642. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Optimum Input Level

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-22 14:23:41 UTC
From: w2xyz@...
Topic: 631 Message: 642

Mike,
I didn't realize JT Alerts had those capabilities. I think I will re-look at that program.
Thanks.
73,
Frank

643. Re: JT65 on MacBook Air

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-23 12:15:34 UTC
From: willgord@...
Topic: 638 Message: 643

Installing the Mac version of JT65 requires more shared memory devoted to the program. The download notes refer you to file that should be in Terminal. I have never been able to fin this find and Apple techs claim not to have hear of it.

The program is downloaded but not installed. For the moment, running JT 65 on my old PC, but mostly I am using PSK31 when I work digital.

Thanks for your suggestion, though.

Gordon
K2GLW

644. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65 on MacBook Air

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-23 14:59:59 UTC
From: Roger Graves
Topic: 638 Message: 644

Googling “sysctl.conf” provides lots of info. I am not a Linux person so I do not understand this, but I am sure you can find someone to help, maybe on another group. I have heard that Apple OS is based on a Linux core.

On Jun 23, 2015, at 5:15 AM, willgord@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

> Installing the Mac version of JT65 requires more shared memory devoted to the program. The download notes refer you to file that should be in Terminal. I have never been able to fin this find and Apple techs claim not to have hear of it.
>
>
> The program is downloaded but not installed. For the moment, running JT 65 on my old PC, but mostly I am using PSK31 when I work digital.
>
> Thanks for your suggestion, though.
>
> Gordon
> K2GLW
>
>

645. 30m Band Utilization Chart Updated (30 June 2015)

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-06-30 20:22:00 UTC
From: Ian_Wade_G3NRW
Topic: 645 Message: 645

```
30m Band Utilization Chart Updated (30 June 2015)
-------------------------------------------------

I have just updated the 30m Band Utilization Chart, at:

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm

CHANGES
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Removed V4
Added ARDOP at 10.134
Added SIM31 at 10.142
Added FSQcall at 10.144
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

If you spot any errors or omissions, please let me know, at:

g3nrw-radio@...

--
73
Ian, G3NRW

The 30m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/30m_band_utilization.htm

The UK 60m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/UK_60m_band_utilization.htm

The 160m Band Utilization Chart:
http://homepage.ntlworld.com/wadei/160m_band_utilization.htm
```

646. Transfer Data to New Computer

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-07-13 18:36:43 UTC
From: jfull1@...
Topic: 646 Message: 646

I am using WSJT-X - any suggestions on what files I need to transfer outside of downloading and installing a new copy of the software?

647. Re: [JT65HF] Transfer Data to New Computer

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-07-13 20:09:44 UTC
From: Gary's
Topic: 646 Message: 647

Hello jfull1,
You don't have to do a thing the files are in your APP files.
Just install new version on top of old and away you go.

73 Gary WB6BNE SE Arizona

On 7/13/2015 11:36 AM, jfull1@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> I am using WSJT-X - any suggestions on what files I need to transfer
> outside of downloading and installing a new copy of the software?
>
>

648. Re: [JT65HF] Transfer Data to New Computer

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-07-20 14:26:44 UTC
From: Deanf
Topic: 646 Message: 648

I exported my file as an ADI file and then imported it back in. Lots of fun.

73, WY6L
Dean
DM07dg

From: mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2015 11:36 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Transfer Data to New Computer

I am using WSJT-X - any suggestions on what files I need to transfer outside of downloading and installing a new copy of the software?

649. JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 20:21:03 UTC
From: k6eid@...
Topic: 649 Message: 649

I have used the program on Win 7 for years. A couple of weeks ago, I upgraded to Win 10. JT-65-HF worked fine until 3 days ago. Now when I try to open it, I get a flash message that disappears before I can read it. The program does not open. I have uninstalled the program, restarted the PC, and reinstalled. Same thing, the instant message and the program does not open.

Anyone experience this?

650. Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 20:49:02 UTC
From: Howard Sidorsky
Topic: 649 Message: 650

Well, it sure would be nice to know if Win 10 supports JT65... I was just about ready to do the upgrade.

Howard - K6SID

651. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 21:00:24 UTC
From: Gary's
Topic: 649 Message: 651

You have to delete the entire program they are your settings etc in you app files. You JT-65 HF App files are corrupted. Open App files and delete any file that with JT-65 HF on the folder.
Reinstall JT65 HF again and set it up.
I don't use JT-65 HF here, use the other. but both have App files.

Gary WB6BNE

On 8/18/2015 1:21 PM, k6eid@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> I have used the program on Win 7 for years. A couple of weeks ago, I
> upgraded to Win 10. JT-65-HF worked fine until 3 days ago. Now when I
> try to open it, I get a flash message that disappears before I can
> read it. The program does not open. I have uninstalled the program,
> restarted the PC, and reinstalled. Same thing, the instant message and
> the program does not open.
>
>
> Anyone experience this?
>
>

652. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 21:19:38 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 649 Message: 652

I can tell you WSJT-X works just fine. There have been LOTS of improvement in WSJT-X with faster/better decoding that makes it well worth the change from JT65-HF
73Mike W9MDB

From: "'Howard Sidorsky' howard@sidorsky.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 3:49 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

  Well, it sure would be nice to know if Win 10 supports JT65….. I was just about ready to do the upgrade… Howard – K6SID

653. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 21:21:43 UTC
From: <wd5dhk@...>
Topic: 649 Message: 653

The hb9hqx version works great on

win 10.

Wd5dhk Ray

.

654. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 21:59:29 UTC
From: Phil
Topic: 649 Message: 654
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

On 8/18/2015 5:21 PM, wd5dhk@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> The hb9hqx version works great on
>
>> win 10.
>> Wd5dhk _Ray_
>>
>> .
>>
>>
>>
>
Tnx. I think that one does not (or did not) have the real sensitive
detector K

--

655. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 22:03:15 UTC
From: Phil
Topic: 649 Message: 655
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

On 8/18/2015 5:00 PM, Gary's wb6bne@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> You have to delete the entire program they are your settings etc in
> you app files. You JT-65 HF App files are corrupted. Open App files
> and delete any file that with JT-65 HF on the folder.
> Reinstall JT65 HF again and set it up.
> I don't use JT-65 HF here, use the other. but both have App files.
>
> Gary WB6BNE
>
> On 8/18/2015 1:21 PM, k6eid@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>>
>> I have used the program on Win 7 for years. A couple of weeks ago, I
>> upgraded to Win 10. JT-65-HF worked fine until 3 days ago. Now when I
>> try to open it, I get a flash message that disappears before I can
>> read it. The program does not open. I have uninstalled the program,
>> restarted the PC, and reinstalled. Same thing, the instant message
>> and the program does not open.
>>
>>
>> Anyone experience this?
>>
>
>
Thanks.

--

656. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-18 22:13:46 UTC
From: Phil
Topic: 649 Message: 656
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

On 8/18/2015 5:00 PM, Gary's wb6bne@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> You have to delete the entire program they are your settings etc in
> you app files. You JT-65 HF App files are corrupted. Open App files
> and delete any file that with JT-65 HF on the folder.
> Reinstall JT65 HF again and set it up.
> I don't use JT-65 HF here, use the other. but both have App files.
>
> Gary WB6BNE
>
> On 8/18/2015 1:21 PM, k6eid@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>>
>> I have used the program on Win 7 for years. A couple of weeks ago, I
>> upgraded to Win 10. JT-65-HF worked fine until 3 days ago. Now when I
>> try to open it, I get a flash message that disappears before I can
>> read it. The program does not open. I have uninstalled the program,
>> restarted the PC, and reinstalled. Same thing, the instant message
>> and the program does not open.
>>
>>
>> Anyone experience this?
>>
>
>
Checked the Apps files I could find and saw none relating to JT65-HF.
Guess I will use WSJT X

--

657. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-19 00:03:01 UTC
From: Gary's
Topic: 649 Message: 657

JT65 HF should work just fine. If you try to uninstall wrong you will
get in jam. like any other program.
Gary WB6BNE

On 8/18/2015 1:49 PM, 'Howard Sidorsky' howard@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> Well, it sure would be nice to know if Win 10 supports JT65?.. I was
> just about ready to do the upgrade?
>
> Howard ? K6SID
>
>

658. RE: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-19 03:18:41 UTC
From: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE
Topic: 649 Message: 658

Where can I get WSJT-X?

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE

EL09

Eagle Creek Observatory

http://www.eaglecreekobservatory.org

Poetry would be a lot harder if Violets were orange.

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 4:20 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

I can tell you WSJT-X works just fine. There have been LOTS of improvement in WSJT-X with faster/better decoding that makes it well worth the change from JT65-HF

73

Mike W9MDB

From: "'Howard Sidorsky' howard@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 3:49 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Well, it sure would be nice to know if Win 10 supports JT65….. I was just about ready to do the upgrade…

Howard – K6SID

659. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-19 03:27:55 UTC
From: Gary's
Topic: 649 Message: 659

Come on. It on the internet. Use your search engine just this one time.
73 Gary

On 8/18/2015 8:18 PM, 'Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE'
kevin@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> Where can I get WSJT-X?
>
> Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE
>
> EL09
>
> Eagle Creek Observatory
>
> http://www.eaglecreekobservatory.org
>
> Poetry would be a lot harder if Violets were orange.
>
> *From:*JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
> *Sent:* Tuesday, August 18, 2015 4:20 PM
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Subject:* Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10
>
> I can tell you WSJT-X works just fine. There have been LOTS of
> improvement in WSJT-X with faster/better decoding that makes it well
> worth the change from JT65-HF
>
> 73
>
> Mike W9MDB
>
> ---
>
> *From:*"'Howard Sidorsky' howard@...
> <mailto:howard@...> [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>>
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> *Sent:* Tuesday, August 18, 2015 3:49 PM
> *Subject:* [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10
>
> Well, it sure would be nice to know if Win 10 supports JT65….. I was
> just about ready to do the upgrade…
>
> Howard – K6SID
>

>

660. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-19 03:33:55 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 649 Message: 660

WSJT Home Page
| |
| | | | | | | |
| WSJT Home PageSoftware for Amateur Radio Weak-Signal Communication |
| |
| View on physics.princeton.edu | Preview by Yahoo |
| |
| |

73
Mike W9MDB
From: "'Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE' kevin@eaglecreekobservatory.org [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 10:18 PM
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Where can I get WSJT-X? Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUEEL09Eagle Creek Observatoryhttp://www.eaglecreekobservatory.orgPoetry would be a lot harder if Violets were orange.

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 4:20 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10 I can tell you WSJT-X works just fine. There have been LOTS of improvement in WSJT-X with faster/better decoding that makes it well worth the change from JT65-HF 73Mike W9MDB From: "'Howard Sidorsky' howard@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 3:49 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10 Well, it sure would be nice to know if Win 10 supports JT65….. I was just about ready to do the upgrade… Howard – K6SID

661. Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-08-19 04:15:51 UTC
From: David Meye
Topic: 649 Message: 661

on the wsjt web sitedave kl7qw

On Tuesday, August 18, 2015 10:34 PM, "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  WSJT Home Page
| |
| | | | | | | |
| WSJT Home PageSoftware for Amateur Radio Weak-Signal Communication |
| |
| View on physics.princeton.edu | Preview by Yahoo |
| |
| |

73
Mike W9MDB
From: "'Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE' kevin@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 10:18 PM
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10

  Where can I get WSJT-X? Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUEEL09Eagle Creek Observatoryhttp://www.eaglecreekobservatory.orgPoetry would be a lot harder if Violets were orange.

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 4:20 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10 I can tell you WSJT-X works just fine. There have been LOTS of improvement in WSJT-X with faster/better decoding that makes it well worth the change from JT65-HF 73Mike W9MDB From: "'Howard Sidorsky' howard@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 3:49 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: JT65-HF on Windows 10 Well, it sure would be nice to know if Win 10 supports JT65….. I was just about ready to do the upgrade… Howard – K6SID

664. WSJT-X won't decode JT9

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-12-10 18:28:52 UTC
From: wd4kav@...
Topic: 664 Message: 664

I've got the latest version of WSJT-X software and have been having problems decoding JT9 transmissions. I only decode them very infrequently, despite signals that are usually quite strong.

I have no problem decoding JT65 signals...

Any help would be appreciated.

73
Joe
WD4KAV

665. JT65 HF - Setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-12-18 21:14:50 UTC
From: k4hcp@...
Topic: 665 Message: 665

I just installed V 1.0.9.3. How do I get the audio Input levels down to 0. I am seeing CQ.

The lowest level I have seen is L or R 14 in Red. Should the Sample rates be adjusted on station setup

I am using Signalink USB as sound card and radio is set to USB-D on 14076

Thanks,

Cliff -K4HCP

666. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 HF - Setup

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2015-12-18 21:20:01 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 665 Message: 666

Did you try turning down your Signalink RX volume?

73Mike W9MDB

From: "k4hcp@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 18, 2015 3:14 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 HF - Setup

I just installed V 1.0.9.3. How do I get the audio Input levels down to 0. I am seeing CQ.

The lowest level I have seen is L or R 14 in Red. Should the Sample rates be adjusted on station setup

I am using Signalink USB as sound card and radio is set to USB-D on 14076

Thanks,

Cliff -K4HCP

667. JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-01 14:58:02 UTC
From: va3guy@...
Topic: 667 Message: 667

Hi,

I have installed version 1.0.9.3 and I can not seem to get it configured correctly. It appears that I have RX but have no TX capability. I am not using HRD. I have tried following the PDF instructions but no matter what configuration I try, I can not get any TX. I am using the Silicon Labs driver (which is functioning properly) as my USB driver (COM4) for my PTT port in Rig Control. When I try 'Test PTT', nothing happens. I do not see my frequency either. I am using 'USB Audio Codec' in both the sound input/output devices.

Any suggestions?

Thank you...

73,
Guy

668. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-01 16:44:44 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 667 Message: 668

Have you considered switching to WSJT-X? It bay be heresy for this group but the improvements in the decoder make the pain worthwhile.You also get much better support IMHO. For example, this email group has hardly any activity.

RRR
Mike W9MDB

From: "va3guy@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 1, 2016 8:58 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Hi,

I have installed version 1.0.9.3 and I can not seem to get it configured correctly. It appears that I have RX but have no TX capability. I am not using HRD. I have tried following the PDF instructions but no matter what configuration I try, I can not get any TX. I am using the Silicon Labs driver (which is functioning properly) as my USB driver (COM4) for my PTT port in Rig Control. When I try 'Test PTT', nothing happens. I do not see my frequency either. I am using 'USB Audio Codec' in both the sound input/output devices.

Any suggestions?

Thank you...

73,
Guy

669. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-01 17:29:45 UTC
From: John Nelson
Topic: 667 Message: 669

Did you make sure your audio is not muted?

John V. Nelson
Sent from my mobile device

> On Jan 1, 2016, at 9:58 AM, va3guy@hotmail.com [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> I have installed version 1.0.9.3 and I can not seem to get it configured correctly. It appears that I have RX but have no TX capability. I am not using HRD. I have tried following the PDF instructions but no matter what configuration I try, I can not get any TX. I am using the Silicon Labs driver (which is functioning properly) as my USB driver (COM4) for my PTT port in Rig Control. When I try 'Test PTT', nothing happens. I do not see my frequency either. I am using 'USB Audio Codec' in both the sound input/output devices.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> Thank you...
>
> 73,
> Guy
>
>
>
>

670. RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-01 15:17:10 UTC
From: Bob Boroughs
Topic: 667 Message: 670

I have the same problem if I forget to exit certain other programs before starting JT65-HF. (Logging Program especially)
I would try restarting your computer and only open JT65-HF with no other programs running. If that does not do it you may have misconfigured something in either your station setup or rig control.
Bob, KG6MC

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Fri, 1 Jan 2016 06:58:02 -0800
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Hi,

I have installed version 1.0.9.3 and I can not seem to get it configured correctly. It appears that I have RX but have no TX capability. I am not using HRD. I have tried following the PDF instructions but no matter what configuration I try, I can not get any TX. I am using the Silicon Labs driver (which is functioning properly) as my USB driver (COM4) for my PTT port in Rig Control. When I try 'Test PTT', nothing happens. I do not see my frequency either. I am using 'USB Audio Codec' in both the sound input/output devices.

Any suggestions?

Thank you...

73,
Guy

671. RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-02 03:47:42 UTC
From: Guy Langlois
Topic: 667 Message: 671

Hi Mike,

As a matter of fact, after sending my question to this group, I learned about WSJT-X and installed it. I seem to be experiencing some config problems with it as well. I, again, have RX but it seems that (if I understand correctly) the 1 minute passes and it then shows my rig is transmitting my CQ (green and yellow fields in bottom left corner) but the rig does not actually transmit. I believe I have the rig parameters correct but maybe not. What I need is someone that is currently using an IC-7200 successfully and find out their working parameters.

Thanks much...

73,
Guy

Don't talk about what you want to do...do what you want to talk about!
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Fri, 1 Jan 2016 16:44:44 +0000
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Have you considered switching to WSJT-X? It bay be heresy for this group but the improvements in the decoder make the pain worthwhile.You also get much better support IMHO. For example, this email group has hardly any activity.

RRR
Mike W9MDB

From: "va3guy@hotmail.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 1, 2016 8:58 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Hi,I have installed version 1.0.9.3 and I can not seem to get it configured correctly. It appears that I have RX but have no TX capability. I am not using HRD. I have tried following the PDF instructions but no matter what configuration I try, I can not get any TX. I am using the Silicon Labs driver (which is functioning properly) as my USB driver (COM4) for my PTT port in Rig Control. When I try 'Test PTT', nothing happens. I do not see my frequency either. I am using 'USB Audio Codec' in both the sound input/output devices.Any suggestions?Thank you...73,Guy

672. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-02 06:30:11 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 667 Message: 672

Off list now...If you install TeamViewer I can connect to your machine and we can debug WSJT-X.
You'll find me in the about box in WSJT-X

RRR
Mike W9MDB

From: "Guy Langlois va3guy@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <jt65hf@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 1, 2016 9:47 PM
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Hi Mike,

As a matter of fact, after sending my question to this group, I learned about WSJT-X and installed it. I seem to be experiencing some config problems with it as well. I, again, have RX but it seems that (if I understand correctly) the 1 minute passes and it then shows my rig is transmitting my CQ (green and yellow fields in bottom left corner) but the rig does not actually transmit. I believe I have the rig parameters correct but maybe not. What I need is someone that is currently using an IC-7200 successfully and find out their working parameters.

Thanks much...

73,
Guy

Don't talk about what you want to do...do what you want to talk about!
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Date: Fri, 1 Jan 2016 16:44:44 +0000
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Have you considered switching to WSJT-X? It bay be heresy for this group but the improvements in the decoder make the pain worthwhile.You also get much better support IMHO. For example, this email group has hardly any activity.

RRR
Mike W9MDB

From: "va3guy@hotmail.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 1, 2016 8:58 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Hi,

I have installed version 1.0.9.3 and I can not seem to get it configured correctly. It appears that I have RX but have no TX capability. I am not using HRD. I have tried following the PDF instructions but no matter what configuration I try, I can not get any TX. I am using the Silicon Labs driver (which is functioning properly) as my USB driver (COM4) for my PTT port in Rig Control. When I try 'Test PTT', nothing happens. I do not see my frequency either. I am using 'USB Audio Codec' in both the sound input/output devices.

Any suggestions?

Thank you...

73,
Guy

673. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-02 06:55:58 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 667 Message: 673

Dear Mike
Check the ports assigned. The Digital control and PTT works on different ports.
If you are using HRD the control can be assigned to HRD if not you have to install Omni rig and use it for digital work
Try it wish you success
73s HNY
VU2TE
Unni

On Sat, Jan 2, 2016 at 12:00 PM, Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Off list now...
> If you install TeamViewer I can connect to your machine and we can debug
> WSJT-X.
>
> You'll find me in the about box in WSJT-X
>
> RRR
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
> ----------
> *From:* "Guy Langlois va3guy@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> >
> *To:* "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <jt65hf@yahoogroups.com>
> *Sent:* Friday, January 1, 2016 9:47 PM
> *Subject:* RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200
>
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> As a matter of fact, after sending my question to this group, I learned
> about WSJT-X and installed it. I seem to be experiencing some config
> problems with it as well. I, again, have RX but it seems that (if I
> understand correctly) the 1 minute passes and it then shows my rig is
> transmitting my CQ (green and yellow fields in bottom left corner) but the
> rig does not actually transmit. I believe I have the rig parameters
> correct but maybe not. What I need is someone that is currently using an
> IC-7200 successfully and find out their working parameters.
>
> Thanks much...

>
> 73,
> Guy
>
>
> *Don't talk about what you want to do...do what you want to talk about!*
> ---
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Fri, 1 Jan 2016 16:44:44 +0000
> Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200
>
>
>
> Have you considered switching to WSJT-X? It bay be heresy for this group
> but the improvements in the decoder make the pain worthwhile.
> You also get much better support IMHO. For example, this email group has
> hardly any activity.
>
> RRR
> Mike W9MDB
>
>
> ---
> *From:* "va3guy@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> *To:* JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> *Sent:* Friday, January 1, 2016 8:58 AM
> *Subject:* [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200
>
>
> Hi,
>
> I have installed version 1.0.9.3 and I can not seem to get it configured
> correctly. It appears that I have RX but have no TX capability. I am not
> using HRD. I have tried following the PDF instructions but no matter what
> configuration I try, I can not get any TX. I am using the Silicon Labs
> driver (which is functioning properly) as my USB driver (COM4) for my PTT
> port in Rig Control. When I try 'Test PTT', nothing happens. I do not see
> my frequency either. I am using 'USB Audio Codec' in both the sound
> input/output devices.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
> Thank you...
>
> 73,
> Guy
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

675. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-04 17:20:57 UTC
From: paulnicster@...
Topic: 667 Message: 675

Is there a WSJT-X group? I've looked but haven't found one.

Thanks,
Paul AF7HL

676. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-04 17:28:18 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 667 Message: 676

There are two groups.
A yahoo general grouphttps://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/wsjtgroup/info
And a developer's group which has a lot more discussion of more technical points.http://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/k1jt/devel.html

RRRMike W9MDB

From: "paulnicster@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 4, 2016 11:20 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Is there a WSJT-X group? I've looked but haven't found one.
Thanks,Paul AF7HL

677. RE: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-04 17:27:39 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 667 Message: 677
Attachments: 2 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

Yes, there is. It's called " wsjtgroup "

Ed K7AAT

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Monday, January 04, 2016 9:21 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Is there a WSJT-X group? I've looked but haven't found one.

Thanks,

Paul AF7HL

678. Re: [JT65HF] JT65-HF with IC-7200

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-04 17:28:51 UTC
From: David Meye
Topic: 667 Message: 678

YES WSJTGROUP@... ALL SMALL LETTERSDAVE KL7QW

On Monday, January 4, 2016 11:21 AM, "paulnicster@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  Is there a WSJT-X group? I've looked but haven't found one.
Thanks,Paul AF7HL

679. Too many characters in "QSL_DX ITEM" in DX Keeper

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-04 23:00:48 UTC
From: wd4kav@...
Topic: 679 Message: 679
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I've started running version 3.1 of JT65-HF again after an absence, and when I try and upload any contacts placed in DXKeeper by JT65-HF I receive an error message saying that a field has too many characters in it. I've no idea what to do to correct this problem.

I'd appreciate any help you can give.

The exact error is in the screen grab attached to this message.

73
Joe
WD4KAV

680. Starting JT-Alert Ver 2.6.27

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-15 04:49:13 UTC
From: tmwebb@...
Topic: 680 Message: 680

Just installed Ver 2.6.27 of JT-Alert. When I start it with the shortcut on the desktop, I get a 'nag box' asking which program I want it to open/run with, i.e. JT65-HF. Is there a fix to let JT-Alert open by itself or at least autostart with JT65-HF?

681. Re: [JT65HF] Starting JT-Alert Ver 2.6.27

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-15 04:51:09 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 680 Message: 681

Try installing again and pay close attention to the selections. You have to check which program you are using during installation.
RRRMike W9MDB

From: "tmwebb@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 10:49 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Starting JT-Alert Ver 2.6.27

  Just installed Ver 2.6.27 of JT-Alert. When I start it with the shortcut on the desktop, I get a 'nag box' asking which program I want it to open/run with, i.e. JT65-HF. Is there a fix to let JT-Alert open by itself or at least autostart with JT65-HF?

682. Re: [JT65HF] Starting JT-Alert Ver 2.6.27

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-15 15:26:28 UTC
From: tmwebb@...
Topic: 680 Message: 682

Michael,

I'll give that a go! I loaded the new version of JT-Alert late at night, so I likely wasn't paying close attention.

TMW

683. Re: [JT65HF] Starting JT-Alert Ver 2.6.27

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-15 16:33:36 UTC
From: tmwebb@...
Topic: 680 Message: 683

Michael,

That did it!!!! During the update install, I had passed on the window which had you select the decode software (JT65-HF). This time I selected JT65-HF and it all opens like a champ! TNX

Tom, WA9AFM/5

685. DLL issue when starting JT65HF with DXLAB Launcher

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-25 05:52:34 UTC
From: wd4kav@...
Topic: 685 Message: 685

Since switching to windows 8, I am no longer able to start version 1.4 from DXlabs launcher software. Doing so results in a error message that says a DLL is missing (If you need the exact text, let me know).

I contacted the DXLab author and he said it was a problem with HB9HQX's software.

If I start JT65-HF normally (via the desktop icon) everything runs as it should.

If you can suggest a fix for this, I'd appreciate it.

73
Thanks
Joe

686. Waterfall flickers JT65-HF-HB9HQX

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-01-26 19:05:38 UTC
From: David Sanders
Topic: 686 Message: 686

I downloaded and installed JT65-HF-HB9HQX version 2.0. I’m runningWindows 7 and using a Timewave Navigator interface. Everything seems to work fine except one issue.

The waterfall screen flickers at one to two second intervals and then stopsflickering while decoding.
What may be going on?
Dave K6KNS

687. Out of bound error

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-02 00:27:26 UTC
From: <drmikeh@...>
Topic: 687 Message: 687

Was playing with JT65-HF all day yesterday without any problems. Using the software W6CQZ that I downloaded from the ARRL. Today, started my Win 7 and MKII but when I loaded up the software, I got the error: “ List index (-1) out of bounds”.... The date was several years ago, no waterfall, and everything frozen. Deleted the program, restarted the computer, and reloaded the software but still the same problem. Not much on Google. Any suggestion ?

Mike,

K5HDU

drmikeh@...

688. New HT-65A User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-02 01:02:25 UTC
From: W B McCarty
Topic: 688 Message: 688

I hope it isn't judged as contributing more to noise than signal. But I want to share that I don't yet have a full QSO but I do have two replies to my general CQs today on 20m. This isn't just my first reply to a JT65A CQ but rather the first reply to my CQ ever. I was licensed the middle of last week and got together the last necessary piece to support JT65A last night, Earning my license had been on my to-do list for a bit over 50 years.

--
Bill McCarty, KK6ZZP

689. Re: [JT65HF] New HT-65A User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-02 03:52:39 UTC
From: Art
Topic: 688 Message: 689

According to the FCC data base, your call sign comes back to a Technician class operator,and if you are a Tech,the only band you can operate on digital modes is 10 Meters . if you are a Technician, you jeopardize anyone you make contact with of talking to an unauthorized party . may I suggest you up grading to at least a GENERAL class licensee .
. Thanks,
Art

"W B McCarty wbmccarty@gmail.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>Ihopeitisntjudgedascontributingmoretonoisethansignal.ButIwanttosharethatIdontyethaveafull QSObutIdohavetworepliestomygeneralCQstodayon20m.ThisisntjustmyfirstreplytoaJT65ACQbutrathe rthefirstreplytomyCQever.Iwaslicensedthemiddleoflastweekandgottogetherthelastnecessarypiec etosupportJT65Alastnight,Earningmylicensehadbeenonmyto-dolistforabitover50years.--BillMcCa rty,KK6ZZPPostedby:WBMcCartywbmccarty@gmail.comReplyviawebpostReplytosenderReplytogroupSta rtaNewTopicMessagesinthistopic(1)VisitYourGroupNewMembers5<divid="ft"style="font-family:Ar ial;font-size:11px;margin-top:5px;padding:02p

690. Re: [JT65HF] New HT-65A User

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-02 03:57:44 UTC
From: wa7ag@yahoo.com
Topic: 688 Message: 690

My error ! My fat old fingers hit the letter B not the letter P ! Sorry,Art

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

On Mon, Feb 1, 2016 at 5:02 PM, W B McCarty wbmccarty@... [JT65HF]<JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

I hope it isn't judged as contributing more to noise than signal. But I want to share that I don't yet have a full QSO but I do have two replies to my general CQs today on 20m. This isn't just my first reply to a JT65A CQ but rather the first reply to my CQ ever. I was licensed the middle of last week and got together the last necessary piece to support JT65A last night, Earning my license had been on my to-do list for a bit over 50 years.
--
Bill McCarty, KK6ZZP

691. Re: [JT65HF] Out of bound error

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-02 04:47:19 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 687 Message: 691

Try WSJT-X instead. More capability, version 1.7 will offer MUCH better decoding. And it is still very actively developed.
RRRMike W9MDB

From: "drmikeh@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2016 6:27 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Out of bound error

  Was playing with JT65-HF all day yesterday without any problems. Using the software W6CQZ that I downloaded from the ARRL. Today, started my Win 7 and MKII but when I loaded up the software, I got the error: “ List index (-1) out of bounds”.... The date was several years ago, no waterfall, and everything frozen. Deleted the program, restarted the computer, and reloaded the software but still the same problem. Not much on Google. Any suggestion ? Mike, K5HDU drmikeh@...

692. Non Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-03 16:19:39 UTC
From: m6twx@...
Topic: 692 Message: 692

I have recently been getting replies to my cq on the waterfall but they dont decode is it something my end i should address i have version 2

693. Re: [JT65HF] Non Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-03 16:30:23 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 692 Message: 693

Check your clock. Are you running a decent time client like Meinberg or Dimension 4?

RRRMike W9MDB

From: "m6twx@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2016 10:19 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Non Decodes

I have recently been getting replies to my cq on the waterfall but they dont decode is it something my end i should address i have version 2

694. Re: Non Decodes

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-04 15:28:43 UTC
From: m6twx@...
Topic: 692 Message: 694

```
yes dimension 4
```

696. Monitoring

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-06 18:26:26 UTC
From: kb1ydm@...
Topic: 696 Message: 696

Hello,
Since I'm kind of new to this, I was wondering if there is a way to monitor my signal so I can see what it looks like going out? I have an Icom IC-730 going through an easy-digi interface to JT65-HF. Contacts have been great and I haven't heard any bad reports but would like to see for myself.

73
Dave
KB1YDM

697. Re: [JT65HF] Monitoring

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-06 18:38:48 UTC
From: Roger Graves
Topic: 696 Message: 697

You could use one of the web based SDR monitors to listen to your signal from someone’s receiver.

On Feb 6, 2016, at 10:26 AM, kb1ydm@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
> Hello,
>
> Since I'm kind of new to this, I was wondering if there is a way to monitor my signal so I can see what it looks like going out? I have an Icom IC-730 going through an easy-digi interface to JT65-HF. Contacts have been great and I haven't heard any bad reports but would like to see for myself.
>
>
>
> 73
>
> Dave
>
> KB1YDM
>
>
>

698. Re: Monitoring

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-06 18:46:30 UTC
From: kb1ydm@...
Topic: 696 Message: 698

Well that was easy! Thanks!!

700. decode ok, transmit real fast

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-02-24 00:04:42 UTC
From: bwebster@...
Topic: 700 Message: 700

Hi guys,

Just got my ft857 back from being repaired, hooked it back up to my Timewave Navigator and..... I'm decoding jt65 traffic, hear the nice 'slow' tones, can read stations calling cq. When I double click a station, when it goes into transmit, it is real fast, not the nice slow tones like decode...

I'm running HRD 5.0.24.036 to control the rig, 7076 'dialed' in on usb

JT65 HF 1.0.9.3 is the jt65 software.

It's gotta be a setting somewhere... what am I doing wrong?

Ben N2ROQ

701. Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-14 16:10:20 UTC
From: divchief07@...
Topic: 701 Message: 701

Microsoft (in its great wisdom) installed Win 10 onto my computer one night while I was sleeping!

One of the immediate problems I noticed was that JT65HF stopped working. But after removing and reinstalling it at least showed a waterfall. Now I have no decode.
Assuming a time sync problem I opened Dimension 4, or at least I thought I did since it appeared to launch. However it does not show on the processes window of Task Manager. And still no decode.

Any helpful suggestions?

Thanks
Steve
KK6NTL

702. Re: [JT65HF] Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-14 16:17:51 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 701 Message: 702

Try removing Dimension 4 and try Meinberg NTP instead.It's working wonderfully for me on Windows 10.I use WSJT-X so can't help you with JT65HF. You may want to consider switching to WSJT-X...especially after 1.7.0 comes out as the decoding has been improved tremendously.I

RRRMike W9MDB

From: "divchief07@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 11:10 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

  Microsoft (in its great wisdom) installed Win 10 onto my computer one night while I was sleeping!

One of the immediate problems I noticed was that JT65HF stopped working. But after removing and reinstalling it at least showed a waterfall. Now I have no decode.
Assuming a time sync problem I opened Dimension 4, or at least I thought I did since it appeared to launch. However it does not show on the processes window of Task Manager. And still no decode.

Any helpful suggestions?

Thanks
Steve
KK6NTL

703. Re: [JT65HF] Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-14 16:20:04 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 701 Message: 703

Also, you can do a quick time check at http://time.isIt's easy enough to see if you're at least within 1 second with that.
RRRMike W9MDB

From: "divchief07@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 11:10 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

  Microsoft (in its great wisdom) installed Win 10 onto my computer one night while I was sleeping!

One of the immediate problems I noticed was that JT65HF stopped working. But after removing and reinstalling it at least showed a waterfall. Now I have no decode. Assuming a time sync problem I opened Dimension 4, or at least I thought I did since it appeared to launch. However it does not show on the processes window of Task Manager. And still no decode.

Any helpful suggestions?

Thanks
Steve
KK6NTL

704. Re: [JT65HF] Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-14 16:44:56 UTC
From: divchief07@...
Topic: 701 Message: 704

The Meinberg did it!
Thanks all!!!

Steve
KK6NTL

705. Re: [JT65HF] Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-14 16:48:32 UTC
From: Roger Graves
Topic: 701 Message: 705

I have so far escaped Win 10, but I have heard it allows running older programs in “Compatibility mode” (e.g. for Win 7) and that this has worked for people when their programs stopped working with the “upgrade”.

On Mar 14, 2016, at 9:10 AM, divchief07@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

> Microsoft (in its great wisdom) installed Win 10 onto my computer one night while I was sleeping!
>
> One of the immediate problems I noticed was that JT65HF stopped working. But after removing and reinstalling it at least showed a waterfall. Now I have no decode.
> Assuming a time sync problem I opened Dimension 4, or at least I thought I did since it appeared to launch. However it does not show on the processes window of Task Manager. And still no decode.
>
> Any helpful suggestions?
>
> Thanks
> Steve
> KK6NTL
>
>
>
>
>

706. Re: [JT65HF] Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-14 19:07:18 UTC
From: Bill Wagaman
Topic: 701 Message: 706

Hello this is Bill N3GTY Dimension 4 stopped for me also after upgrade
to windows 10 now I use net time
is is a better alternative

http://www.timesynctool.com/

On 3/14/2016 12:10 PM, divchief07@... [JT65HF] wrote:
>
> Microsoft (in its great wisdom) installed Win 10 onto my computer one
> night while I was sleeping!
>
> One of the immediate problems I noticed was that JT65HF stopped
> working. But after removing and reinstalling it at least showed a
> waterfall. Now I have no decode.
> Assuming a time sync problem I opened Dimension 4, or at least I
> thought I did since it appeared to launch. However it does not show on
> the processes window of Task Manager. And still no decode.
>
> Any helpful suggestions?
>
> Thanks
> Steve
> KK6NTL
>
>
>

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
https://www.avast.com/antivirus

707. Re: Windows10, Dimensions 4 and JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-21 17:33:55 UTC
From: martrah@...
Topic: 701 Message: 707

I'm running Windows 10 clean install and Dimension 10 seems to work fine on my comp.

73, Mart

708. My apologise

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-23 21:03:36 UTC
From: Jim Irving
Topic: 708 Message: 708

Hello All.

Having been a 'ham' for 36 yrs, mostly digital work, I was introduced to JT65 by VK3XZ (Mike). Yesterday I sent my first signal but unbeknown to me I never sent my callsign. I received a QRZ back. I apologise to that person as I was completely unaware, if you happen to be reading this, and remember the incident, then I was the culprit.

A quick e-mail to Mike and he put me right.

I am finding this a very refreshing mode and are really enjoying it, so I look forward to meeting as many of you as I can.

I am putting out 30watts, and am wondering if this sounds about right. Having watched most of the tutorials on youtube it appears anything from 2-30watts is the norm.

Regards to all, Jim ZL2BMH
-- -- -- - - - - - - - - - - - - -
-- - - -- - - - - - - - -- --
Amateur Radio Station: ZL2BMH
AWARDS:ARRL: DXCC MIXED, DXCC 20 meters, DXCC SSB: ARRL WAS
WAP:
- -- - - - - - - - - - -
- - - - - - - - - - - - .- - - - -

709. Atomic Time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-24 23:21:17 UTC
From: ZL2BMH@...
Topic: 709 Message: 709

I am new to JT65. Could someone please give me the web page of a good atomic time clock that will update my computer.

Many thanks,
Jim ZL2BMH

710. Re: [JT65HF] Atomic Time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-24 23:36:45 UTC
From: Rory
Topic: 709 Message: 710

Go here and install this on your computer
http://www.thinkman.com/dimension4/

On Thu, Mar 24, 2016 at 4:21 PM, ZL2BMH@... [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> I am new to JT65. Could someone please give me the web page of a good
> atomic time clock that will update my computer.
>
> Many thanks,
> Jim ZL2BMH
>
>
>
>

--

“Tesla was one of the greatest geniuses to come out of the earth. He did things they said couldn't be done... He was the real father of radio, not Marconi. A U.S. Supreme Court patent decision, the year after Tesla's death, awarded him that honor.”

Ken Strickfaden

711. Re: My apologise

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-25 00:05:40 UTC
From: n1ilz@...
Topic: 708 Message: 711

Hi Jim, and welcome to the wonderful world of JT65 and JT9!

Remember that the JT modes are, by design, a QRP / QRPp mode. If you're running more than about 5 watts into a decent antenna, you're probably using a LOT more power than you need, and likely making life tougher for others in the relatively narrow sub-band. The page at

http://dwestbrook.net/projects/ham/dBCalc/ http://dwestbrook.net/projects/ham/dBCalc/

has good advice about JT power levels and let's you see, graphically, what your signal report would have been had you been running different power levels. E.g., if you're running 30W and consistently getting -01 signal reports, this page shows what sorts of reports you can expect at lower power levels.

To be clear: I'm not saying that QRO on JT modes is illegal. If you have a "compromise" (read "lousy") antenna, that may be what you have to do to make QSOs. Just please consider using lower power if you don't really need QRO.

Take care and 73 de
--Jon N1ILZ

712. Re: [JT65HF] Re: My apologise

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-25 03:17:32 UTC
From: Jim Irving
Topic: 708 Message: 712

Hello Jon.

Thank you for this very important point, I very much appreciate it. Thank you too for the hyperlink which I shall read this very minute. Mike VK2ZX, has been a wonderful help and he has explained to me exactly what you wrote.

thank you again and I very much look forward to putting you in my log.

Best 73's,
Jim ZL2BMH
-- - - -

713. Re: [JT65HF] Re: My apologise

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-25 14:05:28 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 708 Message: 713

Your power level very much depends on who you're trying to QSO with.
JT9 is much more tolerant of high power levels and is better suited for HF work. But I don't believe JT65HF has this mode...WSJT-X does.
If you want to know what your signal looks like take a look at http://www.hamspots.net under the "Digital Modes" JT65/JT9 + Chat or just JT65 if that's all you have.
That will show you the sig reports that are reported to hamspots. So, if you see several -01 reports you're probably too high on the power curve.
Personally...I'll accept one -01 report but not more than one.
Here's an example where I was pushing 10W. You can see one -01 report. If I dropped to 5W I'd lose VE3ODZ and maybe KB3TC. I've found -26dB on WSJT-X-1.7.0 to be about the minimum for a fairly reliable QSO but still have problems at that level. I'd say a 50-50 shot of completing a QSO at -26dB in my experience. JT9 signal levels pretty much match JT65 levels at the same power.

2m	40	JT9	-19	AA5WH
2m	40	JT9	-15	AD0X
2m	40	JT9	-16	K0GRC
2m	40	JT9	-17	K5JBT
2m	40	JT9	-16	K6MSM
2m	40	JT9	-16	K6RF
2m	40	JT9	-19	KA1LM
2m	40	JT9	-01	KA5YCM
2m	40	JT9	-10	KA8G
2m	40	JT9	-23	KB3TC
2m	40	JT9	-06	KC3AZX
2m	40	JT9	-08	KC5TY
2m	40	JT9	-9	KD5FOY
2m	40	JT9	-04	KF4RWA
2m	40	JT9	-22	KG7LKI
2m	40	JT9	-15	KK6AYK
2m	40	JT9	-06	KV4PC
2m	40	JT9	-22	N1MGO
2m	40	JT9	-13	N3JHS
2m	40	JT9	-08	N5LYJ
2m	40	JT9	-10	N8MSA
2m	40	JT9	-18	N9JY
2m	40	JT9	-24	VE3ODZ
2m	40	JT9	-04	W1RET
2m	40	JT9	-18	W6MSU

RRRMike W9MDB

From: "'Jim Irving' ZL2BMH@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@...m>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 10:17 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: My apologise

Hello Jon. Thank you for this very important point, I very much appreciate it. Thank you too for the hyperlink which I shall read this very minute. Mike VK2ZX, has been a wonderful help and he has explained to me exactly what you wrote. thank you again and I very much look forward to putting you in my log. Best 73's,Jim ZL2BMH-- - - -

714. Re: [JT65HF] Re: My apologise

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-03-25 21:40:10 UTC
From: Jim Irving
Topic: 708   Message: 714

Excellent Mike. It is very much appreciated.

Jim
-- -- -- - - - - - - - - - - - - -
-- - - - -- - - - - - - - -- --
Amateur Radio Station: ZL2BMH
AWARDS:ARRL: DXCC MIXED, DXCC 20 meters, DXCC SSB: ARRL WAS
WAP:
- -- - - - - - - - - - -
- - - - - - - - - - - - .- - - - - -

From: Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]
Sent: Saturday, March 26, 2016 3:05 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: My apologise

Your power level very much depends on who you're trying to QSO with.

JT9 is much more tolerant of high power levels and is better suited for HF work. But I don't believe JT65HF has this mode...WSJT-X does.

If you want to know what your signal looks like take a look at http://www.hamspots.net under the "Digital Modes" JT65/JT9 + Chat or just JT65 if that's all you have.

That will show you the sig reports that are reported to hamspots. So, if you see several -01 reports you're probably too high on the power curve.

Personally...I'll accept one -01 report but not more than one.

Here's an example where I was pushing 10W. You can see one -01 report. If I dropped to 5W I'd lose VE3ODZ and maybe KB3TC. I've found -26dB on WSJT-X-1.7.0 to be about the minimum for a fairly reliable QSO but still have problems at that level. I'd say a 50-50 shot of completing a QSO at -26dB in my experience. JT9 signal levels pretty much match JT65 levels at the same power.

2m 40 JT9 -19 AA5WH
2m 40 JT9 -15 AD0X
2m 40 JT9 -16 K0GRC
2m 40 JT9 -17 K5JBT
2m 40 JT9 -16 K6MSM
2m 40 JT9 -16 K6RF
2m 40 JT9 -19 KA1LM
2m 40 JT9 -01 KA5YCM
2m 40 JT9 -10 KA8G
2m 40 JT9 -23 KB3TC
2m 40 JT9 -06 KC3AZX
2m 40 JT9 -08 KC5TY

2m 40 JT9 -9 KD5FOY
2m 40 JT9 -04 KF4RWA
2m 40 JT9 -22 KG7LKI
2m 40 JT9 -15 KK6AYK
2m 40 JT9 -06 KV4PC
2m 40 JT9 -22 N1MGO
2m 40 JT9 -13 N3JHS
2m 40 JT9 -08 N5LYJ
2m 40 JT9 -10 N8MSA
2m 40 JT9 -18 N9JY
2m 40 JT9 -24 VE3ODZ
2m 40 JT9 -04 W1RET
2m 40 JT9 -18 W6MSU

RRR
Mike W9MDB

From: "'Jim Irving' ZL2BMH@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@...m
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2016 10:17 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Re: My apologise

Hello Jon.

Thank you for this very important point, I very much appreciate it. Thank you too for the hyperlink which I shall read this very minute. Mike VK2ZX, has been a wonderful help and he has explained to me exactly what you wrote.

thank you again and I very much look forward to putting you in my log.

Best 73's,
Jim ZL2BMH
-- -- -- - - - - - - - - - - - - -
-- - - -- - - - - - - - -- --
Amateur Radio Station: ZL2BMH
AWARDS:ARRL: DXCC MIXED, DXCC 20 meters, DXCC SSB: ARRL WAS
WAP:
- -- - - - - - - - - - -
- - - - - - - - - - - - .- - - - -

From: n1ilz@... [JT65HF]
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 1:05 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: My apologise

Hi Jim, and welcome to the wonderful world of JT65 and JT9!

Remember that the JT modes are, by design, a QRP / QRPp mode. If you're running more than about 5 watts into a decent antenna, you're probably using a LOT more power than you need, and likely making life tougher for others in the relatively narrow sub-band. The page at

http://dwestbrook.net/projects/ham/dBCalc/

has good advice about JT power levels and let's you see, graphically, what your signal report would have been had you been running different power levels. E.g., if you're running 30W and consistently getting -01 signal reports, this page shows what sorts of reports you can expect at lower power levels.

To be clear: I'm not saying that QRO on JT modes is illegal. If you have a "compromise" (read "lousy") antenna, that may be what you have to do to make QSOs. Just please consider using lower power if you don't really need QRO.

Take care and 73 de
--Jon N1ILZ

717. IC-7300

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-04-12 05:49:13 UTC
From: wbmccarty@...
Topic: 717 Message: 717

Does anyone know how to extend the filter bandwidth of the new Icom IC-7300 beyond 3 kHz, in order to handle the full range of JT-65 HF traffic?

718. Problem getting JT65 to work with Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-04-15 15:38:25 UTC
From: willgord@...
Topic: 718 Message: 718

Been using JT65 for years on earlier versions of windows, with no issues. Bought a new Dell laptop with Windows 10 installed. Installed WSJT-X and suddenly I start getting this blue screen error message: "Page fault in non-paged area (usbaudio.sys)"

Does not happen all the time but happens often. The computer shuts down and has to reboot.

Dell tech could now solve the problems. Signalink tech had never experienced it, made some suggestions but that did not work.

This is JT65 version 1.6. Does not occur with version 1.21, even running with Windows 10. Does not happen on the laptop running JT65-HF--only version "X" running Windows 10.

Any thoughts or ideas?

Gordon
K2GLW

719. JT65HF with IC-7300

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-04-15 20:04:26 UTC
From: dougmay6@...
Topic: 719 Message: 719

Anyone using an IC-7300 with JT65HF without HRD or other software? Thanks.

Doug, WA2DX

720. Re: JT65HF with IC-7300

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-04-16 12:17:14 UTC
From: k5rhd.73@...
Topic: 719 Message: 720

Yes, I am. K5RHD
/randy

721. JT-Macros

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-04-19 22:40:54 UTC
From: Art - W6KY
Topic: 721 Message: 721

```
How to connect JT-Macro to JT-65HF?
It was working. Had to reinstall and can't
get it to transfer to JT-65HF..
I have JT-65HF start JT-Macro and JT-Alert
with a delay.
Tnx, Art  W6KY ..............................................................................
.........................
```

722. Re: JT65HF with IC-7300

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-04-22 06:55:38 UTC
From: martrah@...
Topic: 719 Message: 722

Hi Randy!

Do you use 7300 in USB-D (DATA) mode or USB? USB-D bandwith is rather limited but in DATA mode you can disable MIC and ACC inputs. Can you disable somehow MIC in USB mode while receiving audio from computer either via ACC or USB port?

73, Mart

723. Re: JT65HF with IC-7300

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-04-22 13:38:37 UTC
From: k5rhd.73@...
Topic: 719 Message: 723

Hi,

I use USB-D. It IS possible to widen the bandwidth of the TX. It is detailed in the larger manual that came on the CD with the radio. I am at work and do not have it readily available at the moment. USB-D will retain its own settings apart from SSB/voice settings which is nice!

If I remember right, press and hold the Filter icon on the main screen. Select the Fil1, then touch the BW to enable it and then use the big VFO knob to adjust width. That is as good as I can remember it.

Good luck!

/randy

726. JT65-HF Setup for Kenwood TS-990S/Microham Digi Keyer II

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-05-15 13:56:10 UTC
From: pastormg2@...
Topic: 726 Message: 726

Good Morning,
This is Mark Griffin KB3Z. I have installed the JT65-HF Program and I am able to receive audio via my Digi Keyer II in the Sound Input/Sound Output Selections. But Rig Control seems somewhat limited. I say limited because it is looking for a Transmit PTT/Com port. I see the writing above that has you enter in the Com Port such as Com11. I also see the key where you can test the transmit. I assume if you depress the button and it keys the transmitter, you have a connection. In my Digi Keyer setup, I use Com 6 to have AC Log communicate with my Radio. And I also use Com 8 for PTT. Should I use Com 8 for the Rig Control?

Hopefully someone has a similar setup with regards to the 990-S and Microham Device. Thanks for any assistance you can offer.
Mark Griffin, KB3Z

727. Re: Atomic Time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-05-31 05:51:08 UTC
From: hb9hqx@...
Topic: 709 Message: 727

I use JT65-HF HB9HQ-Edition and have configuered time synchronization via SNTP (tab Internet on configuration window). This don't touch the system time. Only the program time would be corrected within 100 ms. That suffices.

728. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Atomic Time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-05-31 07:55:57 UTC
From: chas cartmel
Topic: 709 Message: 728

Just a comment: Why would you not use an application to correct system time, then all time-synchronous software would automatically be configured correctly?

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

Twitter:@ccartmel

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 31 May 2016 06:51
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Atomic Time

I use JT65-HF HB9HQ-Edition and have configured time synchronization via SNTP (tab Internet on configuration window). This don't touch the system time. Only the program time would be corrected within 100 ms. That suffices.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2016.0.7598 / Virus Database: 4591/12333 - Release Date: 05/31/16

729. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Atomic Time

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-05-31 19:02:07 UTC
From: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE
Topic: 709 Message: 729
Attachments: 3 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

I’ve used the NIST “NistTime” since the days of Windows 95 (before it would automatically set the system time.)

It’s worked flawlessly. You can get it from http://nist.time.gov or more specifically http://www.nist.time.gov/aboutB.html They have a “gadget” version and a version that will directly set your computer clock. http://www.nist.gov/pml/div688/grp40/its.cfm way down at the bottom after they explain how everything works you can download the Windows version. It works fine with Windows 7 (32/64) and Windows 8.1 (32/64) but I don’t know about Windows 10.

73/Kevin, WB5RUE

I am VoltOhm of Borg! Resistance is E/I, you will be attenuated!

From: JT65HF@...m [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2016 2:56 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [JT65HF] Re: Atomic Time

Just a comment: Why would you not use an application to correct system time, then all time-synchronous software would automatically be configured correctly?

73

Charlie

www.G4EST.me.uk

Twitter:@ccartmel

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: 31 May 2016 06:51
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Atomic Time

I use JT65-HF HB9HQ-Edition and have configured time synchronization via SNTP (tab Internet on configuration window). This don't touch the system time. Only the program time would be corrected within 100 ms. That suffices.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2016.0.7598 / Virus Database: 4591/12333 - Release Date: 05/31/16

731. New to JT65 Seeking some guidance

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-06-27 16:08:12 UTC
From: davet354tfd@...
Topic: 731 Message: 731

Just getting into JT65. Have been running Digital for several years. Have been eyeing JT65 for about a year now and decided to give it a try.

I have been reading and using the JT65-HF Setup and Operations Guide for now.

I use HRD and DM750.

I have the setup I think correct as far as the "Station Setup" goes. I have it set up exactly like I set up the signalink USG.
Sound input: 01-Microphone (High definition Aud.)
Sound Output: 05-speakers (USB Audio CODEC)

In the "Rig Control/PTT" section is where I'm stumbling right now.
Here are my settings:
PTT Port: None
Ham Radio Deluxe enabled and Version 5 selected even though I am using the most current version 6.
It says "HRD Connected to IC-735"
I have "Use HRD for PTT" checked.

But when I try either of the "Test PTT" buttons, nothing happens. When I hit the "Test HRD PTT" I only get 2 beeps.

I am using a Signalink USB interface. I am assuming that that needs to be on which it is.

My screen is showing signals and my screen is populating them.

I think that is all I need for now. Just want to get it set up and get it running and see what happens after that.

Thanks

Bob
W8RID

732. Re: [JT65HF] New to JT65 Seeking some guidance

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-06-27 20:35:51 UTC
From: DAN REID
Topic: 731 Message: 732

Try changing your mic setting to usb codec. 73, kb6unc

----- Original Message -----

From: davet354tfd@... [JT65HF]<mailto:davet354tfd@...%20[JT65HF]>

To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com<mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>

Sent: Monday, June 27, 2016 10:08 AM

Subject: [JT65HF] New to JT65 Seeking some guidance

Just getting into JT65. Have been running Digital for several years. Have been eyeing JT65 for about a year now and decided to give it a try.

I have been reading and using the JT65-HF Setup and Operations Guide for now.

I use HRD and DM750.

I have the setup I think correct as far as the "Station Setup" goes. I have it set up exactly like I set up the signalink USG.
Sound input: 01-Microphone (High definition Aud.)
Sound Output: 05-speakers (USB Audio CODEC)

In the "Rig Control/PTT" section is where I'm stumbling right now.
Here are my settings:
PTT Port: None
Ham Radio Deluxe enabled and Version 5 selected even though I am using the most current version 6.
It says "HRD Connected to IC-735"
I have "Use HRD for PTT" checked.

But when I try either of the "Test PTT" buttons, nothing happens. When I hit the "Test HRD PTT" I only get 2 beeps.

I am using a Signalink USB interface. I am assuming that that needs to be on which it is.

My screen is showing signals and my screen is populating them.

I think that is all I need for now. Just want to get it set up and get it running and see what happens after that.

Thanks

Bob
W8RID

#ygrp-mkp { BORDER-TOP: #d8d8d8 1px solid; FONT-FAMILY: Arial; BORDER-RIGHT: #d8d8d8 1px solid; BORDER-BOTTOM: #d8d8d8 1px solid; PADDING-BOTTOM: 0px; PADDING-TOP: 0px;

PADDING-LEFT: 10px; MARGIN: 10px 0px; BORDER-LEFT: #d8d8d8 1px solid; PADDING-RIGHT: 10px } #ygrp-mkp HR { BORDER-TOP: #d8d8d8 1px solid; BORDER-RIGHT: #d8d8d8 1px solid; BORDER-BOTTOM: #d8d8d8 1px solid; BORDER-LEFT: #d8d8d8 1px solid } #ygrp-mkp #hd { FONT-SIZE: 85%; FONT-WEIGHT: 700; COLOR: #628c2a; MARGIN: 10px 0px; LINE-HEIGHT: 122% } #ygrp-mkp #ads { MARGIN-BOTTOM: 10px } #ygrp-mkp .ad { PADDING-BOTTOM: 0px; PADDING-TOP: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 0px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px } #ygrp-mkp .ad P { MARGIN: 0px } #ygrp-mkp .ad A { TEXT-DECORATION: none; COLOR: #0000ff } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc { FONT-FAMILY: Arial } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc #hd { FONT-SIZE: 78%; FONT-WEIGHT: 700; MARGIN: 10px 0px; LINE-HEIGHT: 122% } #ygrp-sponsor #ygrp-lc .ad { MARGIN-BOTTOM: 10px; PADDING-BOTTOM: 0px; PADDING-TOP: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 0px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px } #actions { FONT-SIZE: 11px; FONT-FAMILY: Verdana; PADDING-BOTTOM: 10px; PADDING-TOP: 10px; PADDING-LEFT: 0px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px } #activity { FONT-SIZE: 10px; FONT-FAMILY: Verdana; FLOAT: left; PADDING-BOTTOM: 10px; PADDING-TOP: 10px; PADDING-LEFT: 10px; PADDING-RIGHT: 10px; BACKGROUND-COLOR: #e0ecee } #activity SPAN { FONT-WEIGHT: 700 } #activity SPAN:first-child { TEXT-TRANSFORM: uppercase } #activity SPAN A { TEXT-DECORATION: none; COLOR: #5085b6 } #activity SPAN SPAN { COLOR: #ff7900 } #activity SPAN .underline { TEXT-DECORATION: underline } .attach { FONT-SIZE: 12px; FONT-FAMILY: Arial; WIDTH: 400px; PADDING-BOTTOM: 10px; PADDING-TOP: 10px; PADDING-LEFT: 0px; CLEAR: both; DISPLAY: table; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px } .attach DIV A { TEXT-DECORATION: none } .attach IMG { BORDER-TOP: medium none; BORDER-RIGHT: medium none; BORDER-BOTTOM: medium none; BORDER-LEFT: medium none; PADDING-RIGHT: 5px } .attach LABEL { MARGIN-BOTTOM: 5px; DISPLAY: block } .attach LABEL A { TEXT-DECORATION: none } BLOCKQUOTE { MARGIN: 0px 0px 0px 4px } .bold { FONT-SIZE: 13px; FONT-FAMILY: Arial; FONT-WEIGHT: 700 } .bold A { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DD.last P A { FONT-FAMILY: Verdana; FONT-WEIGHT: 700 } DD.last P SPAN { FONT-FAMILY: Verdana; FONT-WEIGHT: 700; MARGIN-RIGHT: 10px } DD.last P SPAN.yshortcuts { MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px } DIV.attach-table DIV DIV A { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.attach-table { WIDTH: 400px } DIV.file-title A { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.file-title A:active { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.file-title A:hover { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.file-title A:visited { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.photo-title A { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.photo-title A:active { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.photo-title A:hover { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV.photo-title A:visited { TEXT-DECORATION: none } DIV#ygrp-mlmsg #ygrp-msg P A SPAN.yshortcuts { FONT-SIZE: 10px; FONT-FAMILY: Verdana; FONT-WEIGHT: normal } .green { COLOR: #628c2a } .MsoNormal { MARGIN: 0px } o { FONT-SIZE: 0px } #photos DIV { WIDTH: 72px; FLOAT: left } #photos DIV DIV { OVERFLOW: hidden; BORDER-TOP: #666666 1px solid; HEIGHT: 62px; BORDER-RIGHT: #666666 1px solid; WIDTH: 62px; BORDER-BOTTOM: #666666 1px solid; BORDER-LEFT: #666666 1px solid } #photos DIV LABEL { OVERFLOW: hidden; FONT-SIZE: 10px; WIDTH: 64px; WHITE-SPACE: nowrap; COLOR: #666666; TEXT-ALIGN: center } #reco-category { FONT-SIZE: 77% } #reco-desc { FONT-SIZE: 77% } .replbq { MARGIN: 4px } #ygrp-actbar DIV A:first-child { PADDING-RIGHT: 5px; MARGIN-RIGHT: 2px } #ygrp-mlmsg { FONT: x-small Arial, helvetica,clean, sans-serif } #ygrp-mlmsg TABLE { FONT-SIZE: 100%; FONT-VARIANT: normal; FONT-WEIGHT: normal; FONT-STYLE: normal; LINE-HEIGHT: normal } #ygrp-mlmsg SELECT { FONT: 99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif } INPUT { FONT: 99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif } TEXTAREA { FONT: 99% Arial, Helvetica, clean, sans-serif } #ygrp-mlmsg PRE { FONT: 100% monospace } CODE { FONT: 100% monospace } #ygrp-mlmsg * { LINE-HEIGHT: 1.22em } #ygrp-mlmsg #logo { PADDING-BOTTOM: 10px } #ygrp-msg P A { FONT-FAMILY: Verdana } #ygrp-msg P#attach-count SPAN { FONT-WEIGHT: 700; COLOR: #1e66ae } #ygrp-reco #reco-head { FONT-WEIGHT: 700; COLOR: #ff7900 } #ygrp-reco { MARGIN-BOTTOM: 20px; PADDING-BOTTOM: 0px; PADDING-TOP: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 0px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px } #ygrp-sponsor #ov LI A { FONT-SIZE: 130%; TEXT-DECORATION: none } #ygrp-sponsor #ov LI { LIST-STYLE-TYPE: square; FONT-SIZE: 77%; PADDING-BOTTOM: 6px; PADDING-TOP: 6px; PADDING-LEFT: 0px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px } #ygrp-sponsor #ov UL { PADDING-BOTTOM: 0px; PADDING-TOP: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 8px;

```
MARGIN: 0px; PADDING-RIGHT: 0px } #ygrp-text { FONT-FAMILY: Georgia } #ygrp-text P {
MARGIN: 0px 0px 1em } #ygrp-text TT { FONT-SIZE: 120% } #ygrp-vital UL LI:unknown {
BORDER-RIGHT: medium none }
```

733. Re: New to JT65 Seeking some guidance

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-06-27 21:10:29 UTC
From: davet354tfd@...
Topic: 731 Message: 733

Just tried that.

Everything stayed the same. No changes.

Bob
W8RID

734. Re: New to JT65 Seeking some guidance

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-07-10 07:51:37 UTC
From: Brian Bush
Topic: 731 Message: 734

Hi Bob,

Have you actually tried transmitting a test transmission,
I have found the PTT test button never seems to work for me,
but when you transmit all is well.
Just put TEST in the free message box and select the radio button,
and enable TX and wait for the time to TX.
Don't need to be a long TX just to prove it works.

Hope this helps.

73 de Brian - G4WEY

735. Re: New to JT65 Seeking some guidance

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-07-10 09:34:45 UTC
From: davet354tfd@...
Topic: 731 Message: 735

Brian:

Thanks for the help.

After a few days of no replies I just bit the bullet and called CQ.

Everything worked fine.

I have made 3 pages of contacts.

Everything seems to be working fine.

Thanks

Bob
W8RID

736. Strange response

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-08-28 15:00:30 UTC
From: mikeearls@...
Topic: 736 Message: 736

I responded to a CQ from XE2xxx and 4 minutes later received a signal report from YC3xxx.

There had been nothing previous with YC3xxx.

Could someone explain this to a noob?

737. Recommended HF SSB bandwith setting?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-08-28 15:02:30 UTC
From: vrodboywannabe@...
Topic: 737 Message: 737

I got JT65 up and running and hopefully using this mode correctly as well.

I run JT65-HF into an ICOM 746pro and I'm looking for the recommended SSB bandwith setting while operating JT65?

Thanks for the advice.

73

Ed

738. Re: [JT65HF] Recommended HF SSB bandwith setting?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-08-28 15:08:32 UTC
From: Andrew O'Brien
Topic: 737 Message: 738

It depends if you and wanting to receive JT65A and JT9 at the same time.
If you want both, use a 4 kHz bandwidth , if just JT65, use 3 kHz .
Andy K3UK

On Sun, Aug 28, 2016 at 11:02 AM, vrodboywannabe@... [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> I got JT65 up and running and hopefully using this mode correctly as well.
>
> I run JT65-HF into an ICOM 746pro and I'm looking for the recommended SSB
> bandwith setting while operating JT65?
>
> Thanks for the advice.
>
> 73
>
> Ed
>
>
>
>

739. Re: [JT65HF] Strange response

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-08-28 15:13:13 UTC
From: Robert Nobis
Topic: 736 Message: 739

YC3xxx saw your response to XE2xxx, and possibly needed a QSL from your state or grid location. He politely waited until your QSO with XE2xxx was finished, and then transmitted to you, with a signal report. It would have been more correct if he had sent you a transmission that included his grid location, rather than a signal report.

73

Bob

> On Aug 28, 2016, at 08:00, mikeearls@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> I responded to a CQ from XE2xxx and 4 minutes later received a signal report from YC3xxx.
>
>
> There had been nothing previous with YC3xxx.
>
> Could someone explain this to a noob?
>
>
>
>

740. RE: [JT65HF] Strange response

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-08-28 15:54:35 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 736 Message: 740

Thanks. I’m new enough I didn’t realize you could address someone outside of the canned messages.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2016 10:13 AM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Cc: mikeearls@...
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] Strange response

YC3xxx saw your response to XE2xxx, and possibly needed a QSL from your state or grid location. He politely waited until your QSO with XE2xxx was finished, and then transmitted to you, with a signal report. It would have been more correct if he had sent you a transmission that included his grid location, rather than a signal report.

73

Bob

741. Re: Recommended HF SSB bandwith setting?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-09-02 10:37:24 UTC
From: marting0hdb@...
Topic: 737 Message: 741

I believe the IC746 Pro provides SSB 'data' operating modes (eg. USB-D) so you might find that the transmit audio bandwidth in those modes is limited to/fixed at something like 300 to 2700Hz.

Assuming this is the case then there won't be much point in having a receive bandwidth that extends much beyond that upper limit - if you try to transmit in response to a signal that's been decoded with an offset of say 2800Hz then there will be very little RF output from the rig because the audio you're trying to send will be outside the rig's Tx audio bandwidth.

You might be better restricting the upper edge of the receive passband to 2.6kHz or perhaps even a bit lower, to ensure that your transmitted audio is always within the rig's Tx audio passband.

HTH,
--
Martin G0HDB

742. Re: Recommended HF SSB bandwith setting?

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-09-02 12:03:37 UTC
From: mdblack98@...
Topic: 737 Message: 742

If you run split mode with WSJT-X you can set your bandwidth at 2200Hz. That's what I do and I run both JT65 and JT9. With split mode the audio is always in the 1500-2000 offset to help prevent side lobes.
I don't believe JT65HF offers that, do they?

When the new WSJT-X 1.7 comes out you may want to consider it....it decodes considerably better than JT65HF now. Should be a release candidate soon.

de Mike W9MDB

743. New file uploaded to JT65HF

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-09-15 23:35:04 UTC
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 743 Message: 743

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the JT65HF
group.

File : /psk31 awards sheet.xlsx
Uploaded by : ww8o1968 <ww8o@...>
Description : this will help it your our working for awards.

You can access this file at the URL:
https://groups.yahoo.com/neo/groups/JT65HF/files/psk31%20awards%20sheet.xlsx

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
https://help.yahoo.com/kb/index?page=content&y=PROD_GRPS&locale=en_US&id=SLN15398

Regards,

ww8o1968 <ww8o@...>

744. PTT on Kenwood TS590-SG

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-10-07 20:53:59 UTC
From: joseph_wiesenfeld@...
Topic: 744 Message: 744

Just installed JT65-HF Rev 1.0.9.3 on Dell Laptop with Windows 10 Pro. Seem to be able to receive but can not transmit. Rig is connected to PC via USB. Serial Port is COM3 as verified in Device Manager as the Silicon Labs USB to UART Bridge (software driver supplied by Kenwood and works fine for the Kenwood ARCP Rig Control Program. Never get the red light on the rig to light indicting transmitting. Tried using VOX but that didn't seem to work either. Is there anybody out there using this rig and if so, how are you configured. Thanks.

Joe
N1JIW

745. Error in Sound Output

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-10-22 21:15:00 UTC
From: FAZAMY@...
Topic: 745 Message: 745

I recently got desktop computer so-called optimized. I tried getting back on JT65, and I get "Error in sound output: Error opening the audio output has occurred". I have no problem using the mic for ssb. When I try to put out audio for making contacts nothing happens. I am also getting the same response when I try DM780.

I checked Device Manager and the drivers appear to be ok, up to date.
Rig Blaster works OK.

Evan

746. Re: PTT on Kenwood TS590-SG

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-10-23 06:46:37 UTC
From: martrah@...
Topic: 744 Message: 746

Hi Joe!

Did you solve PTT problem?

Mart
ES2MA

747. Re: Error in Sound Output

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-10-23 06:55:43 UTC
From: martrah@...
Topic: 745 Message: 747

Hi Evan!

What do you mean with "no problem using the mic for ssb"?
Is it your default windows sound output device? Do you hear windows sounds and music?

Mart

749. Re: [JT65HF] Error in Sound Output

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-10-23 11:57:35 UTC
From: k3ssb@...
Topic: 745 Message: 749

Good Morning Evan:

Confirm that you're using the proper driver for your sound card.

If so, I then suggest deleting the driver and rebooting your system and reinstalling the driver. I believe that should get things working once again.

Tom G, Sr.
K3SSB

On 22 Oct 2016 at 14:15, FAZAMY@... [JT65HF] wrote:

>
>
> I recently got desktop computer so-called optimized. I tried getting back on JT65, and I get "Error in
> sound output: Error opening the audio output has occurred". I have no problem using the mic for
> ssb. When I try to put out audio for making contacts nothing happens. I am also getting the same
> response when I try DM780.
>
> I checked Device Manager and the drivers appear to be ok, up to date.
> Rig Blaster works OK.
>
> Evan
>
>
>

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
https://www.avast.com/antivirus

750. Re: Error in Sound Output

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-10-23 11:58:33 UTC
From: FAZAMY@...
Topic: 745 Message: 750

Thanks for the reply Mart. Yes, I get windows audio & music. I can have a ssb contact. The mic settings on the TS480 are OK.

I was thinking about uninstalling & reinstalling the software.

Evan

751. Re: Error in Sound Output

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-10-23 18:14:44 UTC
From: martrah@...
Topic: 745 Message: 751

Ok, i would not use it as windows default sound device but if there isn't any other... I would try to enable/disable sound device, uninstall/reinstall sound device driver, set another sound device for JT software and change it back later, disable unnecessary features of sound device if there are-

752. Colors on incoming decodes in WSJT-X

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-11-11 19:49:03 UTC
From: Gary Woods
Topic: 752 Message: 752

I'm using v.1.6.0 and CQ calls come up in green with messages to me in red, but the new grid square and previously worked colors don't show up.
I've waited a few weeks before posting in the hope that I'd figure out what I was missing, but just wound up in a maze of twisty passages all alike.

I WSPR on 10 when nothing else is happening.

Help?

--
Gary Woods O- K2AHC Public keys at home.earthlink.net/~garygarlic, or get 0x1D64A93D via keyserver
fingerprint = E2 6F 50 93 7B C7 F3 CA 1F 8B 3C C0 B0 28 68 0B

753. Re: [JT65HF] Colors on incoming decodes in WSJT-X

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-11-11 20:48:12 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 752 Message: 753

I assume you mean WSJT-X since JT65HF doesn't have a version 1.6.0.Wrong list.
On the other hand you may find JTAlert does a lot more and you don't need to worry about the colors then.
de Mike W9MDB

From: "Gary Woods garygarlic@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2016 1:49 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Colors on incoming decodes in WSJT-X

I'm using v.1.6.0 and CQ calls come up in green with messages to me in red, but the new grid square and previously worked colors don't show up.
I've waited a few weeks before posting in the hope that I'd figure out what I was missing, but just wound up in a maze of twisty passages all alike.

I WSPR on 10 when nothing else is happening.

Help?

--
Gary Woods O- K2AHC Public keys at home.earthlink.net/~garygarlic, or get 0x1D64A93D via keyserver
fingerprint = E2 6F 50 93 7B C7 F3 CA 1F 8B 3C C0 B0 28 68 0B

754. Re: [JT65HF] Colors on incoming decodes in WSJT-X

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-11-11 21:14:54 UTC
From: Gary Woods
Topic: 752 Message: 754

On Fri, 11 Nov 2016 20:48:12 +0000 (UTC), you wrote:

>I assume you mean WSJT-X since JT65HF doesn't have a version 1.6.0.Wrong list.

Oops! Thanks, and I'll move listwise. And check out JTalert as well.

Cheers!

--
Gary Woods AKA K2AHC- PGP key on request, or at home.earthlink.net/~garygarlic
Zone 5/4 in upstate New York, 1420' elevation. NY WO G

755. On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-12-01 20:17:43 UTC
From: plogank@...
Topic: 755 Message: 755

In all my years of using JT-65 and other digital modes, I find that signal strength is measured in DB. DB, however, is a measure of gain or loss and without a reference it is meaningless. At one time I thought the reference was the noise level but in observing the graph it appears that this isn't so. Does anyone know what that reference is?

756. Re: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-12-01 20:31:59 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 755 Message: 756

It's SNRdB -- signal to noise converted to dB -- so it's self-referencing.
Signal to Noise Ratio | Radio Receiver SNR | Radio-Electronics.com

|
| |
Signal to Noise Ratio | Radio Receiver SNR | Radio-Electronics.com
Essentials of signal to noise ratio SNR, signal to noise measurement, and how to calculate SNR. Read it all here... | |

|

de Mike W9MDB

From: "plogank@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 2:17 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

In all my years of using JT-65 and other digital modes, I find that signal strength is measured in DB. DB, however, is a measure of gain or loss and without a reference it is meaningless. At one time I thought the reference was the noise level but in observing the graph it appears that this isn't so. Does anyone know what that reference is?

757. pskr reporting does not work

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-12-02 12:27:42 UTC
From: jrader30@...
Topic: 757 Message: 757

Thanks for accepting me to the group. I have a question about JT65-HF, I have tried everything I can locate to get the program to send the reception info to PSKR. I am using version 1.093 with a rtlsdr and also the MCHF-SDR. I cannot even set the frequency in the "dial qrg kHz window as it stays greyed out. This used to work ok but for some time now will not regardless what I do. I upgraded to the 1.093 version hoping this would be corrected, but no luck. There is no way to correct this to be found that I can find. In the rb-pskr window I have my call sign and the antenna I use, but no other entries exist. Does anyone know what is wrong that it will not send to pskr?
John, kd8paf

758. Re: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-12-02 21:15:00 UTC
From: Phil Logan-Kelly
Topic: 755 Message: 758

Thanks for your reply and the link to the great article about SNR.
It would seem, however, that the article does confuse me a bit considering what I see on JT-65 software. Almost all the signal readings are negative in the software. It would take a very strong signal to get into the positive. From the formulas for SNR in the article, it appears to me that all the signal reports should be positive as long as the signal is above the noise level..
Can you shed some light on this?
Color me confused. LOL
PhilKD7ZD

From: "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 12:31 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

It's SNRdB -- signal to noise converted to dB -- so it's self-referencing.
Signal to Noise Ratio | Radio Receiver SNR | Radio-Electronics.com

|
| |
Signal to Noise Ratio | Radio Receiver SNR | Radio-Electronics.com
Essentials of signal to noise ratio SNR, signal to noise measurement, and how to calculate SNR. Read it all here... | |

|

de Mike W9MDB

From: "plogank@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 2:17 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

In all my years of using JT-65 and other digital modes, I find that signal strength is measured in DB. DB, however, is a measure of gain or loss and without a reference it is meaningless. At one time I thought the reference was the noise level but in observing the graph it appears that this isn't so. Does anyone know what that reference is?

759. Re: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-12-03 06:18:58 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 755 Message: 759

It's a slightly different formula from that paper but same idea.
For JT65 this is from the WSJT-X code:10.0*log10(sync2) - 35

Where sync2 is a measure of the best symbol power seen.
So you can see where the negative values come in.
I do believe the target was 0dB being a saturated signal.
de Mike W9MDB
From: "Phil Logan-Kelly plogank@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 2, 2016 3:15 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

  Thanks for your reply and the link to the great article about SNR.
It would seem, however, that the article does confuse me a bit considering what I see on JT-65 software. Almost all the signal readings are negative in the software. It would take a very strong signal to get into the positive. From the formulas for SNR in the article, it appears to me that all the signal reports should be positive as long as the signal is above the noise level..
Can you shed some light on this?
Color me confused. LOL
PhilKD7ZD

From: "Black Michael mdblack98@yahoo.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: "JT65HF@yahoogroups.com" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 12:31 PM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

  It's SNRdB -- signal to noise converted to dB -- so it's self-referencing.
Signal to Noise Ratio | Radio Receiver SNR | Radio-Electronics.com

|
| |
Signal to Noise Ratio | Radio Receiver SNR | Radio-Electronics.com
Essentials of signal to noise ratio SNR, signal to noise measurement, and how to calculate SNR. Read it all here... | |

|

de Mike W9MDB

From: "plogank@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 2:17 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] On JT-65 signal strength is measured in DB

  In all my years of using JT-65 and other digital modes, I find that signal strength is measured in DB. DB, however, is a measure of gain or loss and without a reference it is

meaningless. At one time I thought the reference was the noise level but in observing the graph it appears that this isn't so. Does anyone know what that reference is?

760. JT65 on IC-7300

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-12-05 19:38:33 UTC
From: mattpatt@...
Topic: 760 Message: 760

Hi Everyone,

New IC-7300 owner here. I have managed to get WSJT-X setup and working with the 7300 thanks to a video from Youtube. However, I am curious what menu settings other 7300 users are using. Don't want to over drive the rig and put out a splattering signal.

73 Matt
W5LL

761. Re: JT65 on IC-7300

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2016-12-12 20:58:46 UTC
From: apsent2000@...
Topic: 760 Message: 761

I use Jt-65 on IC-7300 with no problems. I am using JT65-HF HB9HQX. The primary caveats are to keep power below 30 Watts and have the ALC set very low. I typically keep it about 3-4.

762. Unusual JT65 signal.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-08 14:58:56 UTC
From: FAZAMY@...
Topic: 762 Message: 762

Recently I saw an unusual 40M JT65 signal on the waterfall. A 90 degree vertical line is always at the left of a JT65 signal, however in these instances the line at the left was tilted and slightly curved to the left as if some invisible force was pulling it. Obviously, I couldn't read the signal. Why would a signal appear this way?

763. Re: [JT65HF] Unusual JT65 signal.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-08 15:08:20 UTC
From: Robert Nobis
Topic: 762 Message: 763

Most likely, the operator’s rig did not have good frequency stability and the VFO was drifting.

Bob Nobis
n7rjn@...

> On Jan 8, 2017, at 07:58, FAZAMY@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Recently I saw an unusual 40M JT65 signal on the waterfall. A 90 degree vertical line is always at the left of a JT65 signal, however in these instances the line at the left was tilted and slightly curved to the left as if some invisible force was pulling it. Obviously, I couldn't read the signal. Why would a signal appear this way?
>
>
>
>
>

764. Re: [JT65HF] Unusual JT65 signal.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-08 15:55:40 UTC
From: jeryl steinhardt
Topic: 762 Message: 764

MAYBE THEY WERE IN LSB INSTEAD OF USB

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> on behalf of FAZAMY@aol.com [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 8, 2017 2:58 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Unusual JT65 signal.

Recently I saw an unusual 40M JT65 signal on the waterfall. A 90 degree vertical line is always at the left of a JT65 signal, however in these instances the line at the left was tilted and slightly curved to the left as if some invisible force was pulling it. Obviously, I couldn't read the signal. Why would a signal appear this way?

765. Re: Unusual JT65 signal.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 03:13:50 UTC
From: FAZAMY@...
Topic: 762 Message: 765

Thanks for the theories/explanations.

To Jeryl: I think when the vertical line is on the right the the op was in LSB. I recall when I 1st got into JT65 in 2009 I used to see a lot of sigs with the line on the right and I posted the question about that. And that was the explanation.

To Bob:
Frequency drift because of vfo instability is an interesting explanation. When solid state contemporary rigs came on the scene one of the heralded features was frequency stability. So, maybe the op's rig was balky. I used to use a VF-1 with a DX40 back in the day, and I had lots of problems with that VFO. I got my share of OO reports back then.

766. Re: [JT65HF] Unusual JT65 signal.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 03:49:39 UTC
From: Robert Nobis
Topic: 762 Message: 766

I have seen this “drifting” happen on several occasions. Often it happens when an operator first turns on his rig and quickly starts a QSO. As the rig warms up the VFO drifts. The drift is quite noticeable when using JT9 and JT65, but less noticeable on wider bandwidth modes such as SSB.

73,

Bob Nobis
n7rjn@...

> On Jan 8, 2017, at 20:13, FAZAMY@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the theories/explanations.
>
> To Jeryl: I think when the vertical line is on the right the the op was in LSB. I recall when I 1st got into JT65 in 2009 I used to see a lot of sigs with the line on the right and I posted the question about that. And that was the explanation.
>
> To Bob:
> Frequency drift because of vfo instability is an interesting explanation. When solid state contemporary rigs came on the scene one of the heralded features was frequency stability. So, maybe the op's rig was balky. I used to use a VF-1 with a DX40 back in the day, and I had lots of problems with that VFO. I got my share of OO reports back then.
>
>

767. Upgrade to WSJT-x 1.7 problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 04:46:52 UTC
From: vrodboywannabe@...
Topic: 767 Message: 767

Since upgrading to WSJT-x 1.7 on Windows 7, my connection success has gone way done!! I've gone over the different parameters and all looks correct.

Any suggestions? I'm about ready to go back to my previous version.

73

ed ~ k6sdw

768. Re: [JT65HF] Upgrade to WSJT-x 1.7 problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 04:51:10 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 767 Message: 768

You're probably on the wrong list to ask about WSJT-X...but....
Since WJST-X 1.7 decodes much better than JT65HF something must be wrong with your setup.
Clock synced OK?Audio set to 48kHz?Audio levels calibrated correctly? See manual....
de Mike W9MDB

From: "vrodboywannabe@yahoo.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 8, 2017 10:46 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] Upgrade to WSJT-x 1.7 problem

  Since upgrading to WSJT-x 1.7 on Windows 7, my connection success has gone way done!!
I've gone over the different parameters and all looks correct.
Any suggestions? I'm about ready to go back to my previous version.
73
ed ~ k6sdw

769. JT65 and Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 21:32:39 UTC
From: mikef1234@...
Topic: 769 Message: 769

Somewhere I vaguely remember reading or hearing that soothing to the fact that you cannot use JT65 with Windows 10 because you cannot synchronize the time, like you would with 3rd party software like you would for older versions of Windows. Has anyone heard anything about this ?
73's
Mike / N8GBU

770. Re: JT65 and Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 21:37:00 UTC
From: mattpatt@...
Topic: 769 Message: 770

I''m running WSJT-X 1.7 and Dimension 4 on Windows 10 Pro without issue.

73 Matt
W5LL

771. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 and Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 21:39:26 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 769 Message: 771

Some had problems using the default Windows time sync as it wasn't terribly accurate at one point.
Whether or not it currently works can't tell you...but I think you'll find most use 3rd party time sync software....Meinberg NTP, Dimension 4, the two most popular.
de Mike W9MDB

From: "mikef1234@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 9, 2017 3:32 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] JT65 and Windows 10

Somewhere I vaguely remember reading or hearing that soothing to the fact that you cannot use JT65 with Windows 10 because you cannot synchronize the time, like you would with 3rd party software like you would for older versions of Windows. Has anyone heard anything about this ?73'sMike / N8GBU

772. Re: Upgrade to WSJT-x 1.7 problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 21:48:18 UTC
From: mikef1234@...
Topic: 767 Message: 772

I

I hate to ask a question to a question but, why did you upgrade to begin with? It comes back to the old saying if it aint' broke don't fix it. In my case I'am changing computers because my computer is causing some problems for me both on the receive and transmit side. I must admit I am literally addicted to JT65, as I have told of the members of the radio club I belong to and more that even when you think it is next to impossible to work somebody JT65 hasn't failed me yet.
73's
Mike/ N8GBU

773. Re: [JT65HF] JT65 and Windows 10

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-09 23:31:28 UTC
From: Ray Lowe
Topic: 769 Message: 773

No problem at all. Dimension 4 syncs the clock just fine on win10.
Also if you use HB9HQX edition of jt65 it will sync independent of the
system clock.
73
WD5DHK Ray

On Jan 9, 2017 3:32 PM, "mikef1234@... [JT65HF]" <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Somewhere I vaguely remember reading or hearing that soothing to the fact
> that you cannot use JT65 with Windows 10 because you cannot synchronize the
> time, like you would with 3rd party software like you would for older
> versions of Windows. Has anyone heard anything about this ?
>
> 73's
>
> Mike / N8GBU
>
>

774. Re: Upgrade to WSJT-x 1.7 problem

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-10 10:33:24 UTC
From: neilz@...
Topic: 767 Message: 774

The settings for the two programs can be different. WSJT-X requires the receive slider to be set at 30 db, the best time to do this is during the 'idle' period between the 48 sec mark and the top of the minute.

Then there's the time sync issue, you should have a good program running to keep your computer time accurate.

FInally, WSJT-X is very picky, you have to have a soundcard capable of 'recording' at 16 bits, 48000 Hz. The Windows sound properties for microphones or line-in jacks list this as DVD quality.

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <vrodboywannabe@...> wrote :

Since upgrading to WSJT-x 1.7 on Windows 7, my connection success has gone way done!! I've gone over the different parameters and all looks correct.

Any suggestions? I'm about ready to go back to my previous version.

73

ed ~ k6sdw

775. WSJT-X v1.7 based evaluation versions

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-01-26 17:06:14 UTC
From: martrah@...
Topic: 775 Message: 775

JTDX WSJT-X v1.7 based HF evaluation version by UA3DJY
http://jt65-dx.com/download/wsjtx-ua3djy.html

http://jt65-dx.com/download/wsjtx-ua3djy.html

JTDX WSJT-X v1.7 based HF evaluation version by UA3DJY
http://jt65-dx.com/download/wsjtx-ua3djy.html Home > JTDX WSJT-X v1.7 based HF evaluation version by UA3DJY @ JTDX WSJT-X v1.7 based HF evaluation version by UA3DJY @ UA3DJY Igor...

View on jt65-dx.com http://jt65-dx.com/download/wsjtx-ua3djy.html
Preview by Yahoo

Drag page down til the end

Some claim that 1.7.4 is huge improvement, some say that 1.6.4 still decodes better. Try!

776. JTDX Receive Error Message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-14 21:02:38 UTC
From: kg6mc@...
Topic: 776 Message: 776

At the Orlando Hamfest this last weekend the West Mountain Representative told me about JTDX. It supposedly decodes much better than JT65 or WSJT-X both of which I have been using for a number of years.

I downloaded the JTDX software, installed it and tried to run. On the first pass it seems to decode really well (Much better than WSJT-X), but then it locks up and will not continue to decode on the next or subsequent minutes.

I get an error message:
IEEE_INVALID_FLAG IEEE_DENORMAL
STOP CALL3.TEXT is too short or missed

Any one have a clue as to what is going on?

Bob, KG6MC

777. Re: JTDX Receive Error Message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-15 15:36:52 UTC
From: martrah@...
Topic: 776 Message: 777

Hi,

I've been using new JTDX for some time now and it is really worth trying.

About error message.

I think you should download and copy new CALL3.TXT file to ...Appdata/JTDX/ folder
If exists delete previous CALL3.txt file

73, Mart ES2MA

778. Re: [JT65HF] JTDX Receive Error Message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-15 15:49:13 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 776 Message: 778

You need to download it from the JTDX website and install the CALL3.TXT file.
It goes in %LOCALAPPDATA%\JTDXYou should see zero-length CALL3.TXT file there right now.
de MIke W9MDB

From: "kg6mc@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 3:02 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] JTDX Receive Error Message

At the Orlando Hamfest this last weekend the West Mountain Representative told me about JTDX. It supposedly decodes much better than JT65 or WSJT-X both of which I have been using for a number of years.
I downloaded the JTDX software, installed it and tried to run. On the first pass it seems to decode really well (Much better than WSJT-X), but then it locks up and will not continue to decode on the next or subsequent minutes.
I get an error message:IEEE_INVALID_FLAG IEEE_DENORMALSTOP CALL3.TEXT is too short or missed
Any one have a clue as to what is going on?
Bob, KG6MC

779. Re: JTDX Receive Error Message

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-16 03:14:25 UTC
From: neilz@...
Topic: 776 Message: 779

FWIW ... JTDX is a stripped down version of WSJT-X v1.7.0 with all the extra protocols (QRA64, MSK144) and support for VHF/EME/Meteor Scatter removed, and a different User Interface.

It should decode the same as WJST-X, since it uses the same decoder, except that the 'developer' has added support for 'hints' that the K1JT felt gave more false decodes than it should.

What version of WSJT-X were you using??

780. what sync program to use

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-22 22:25:20 UTC
From: larrylb@...
Topic: 780 Message: 780

hello and thanks for letting me join your group.
my name is Larry KB8EMD I am old in years but young in JT65
When I first downloaded JT65 HF it would not decode like I had seen
at a friends, I read somewhere that if the computers clocks are not synced
JT65 won't work correctly. I downloaded a sync program and bam things started to work
then I started getting virus warnings. Two days later the virus seems to be gone
I uninstalled both programs now I need help as to what is a safe location to get
JT65 and a sync program?
Thanks for your help
if this has been answered just point me to the location it's listed

Larry KB8EMD

781. Re: [JT65HF] what sync program to use

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-22 22:31:51 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 780 Message: 781

Meinberg NTP for your clockhttps://www.meinbergglobal.com/english/sw/ntp.htm

http://jt65-hf.com/downloads/

Though I'd like to recommend you consider WSJT-X as it has much superior decoding ability. Plus, the next version of JTAlert is only going to work with WSJT-X. If you're not using JTAlert you should be...it's invaluable for JT modes.https://sourceforge.net/projects/wsjt/files/wsjtx-1.7.0/

de Mike W9MDB

From: "larrylb@sbcglobal.net [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 4:25 PM
Subject: [JT65HF] what sync program to use

hello and thanks for letting me join your group.my name is Larry KB8EMD I am old in years but young in JT65When I first downloaded JT65 HF it would not decode like I had seenat a friends, I read somewhere that if the computers clocks are not synced JT65 won't work correctly. I downloaded a sync program and bam things started to workthen I started getting virus warnings. Two days later the virus seems to be gone I uninstalled both programs now I need help as to what is a safe location to getJT65 and a sync program?Thanks for your helpif this has been answered just point me to the location it's listed
Larry KB8EMD

782. Re: what sync program to use

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-23 03:45:28 UTC
From: jrader30@...
Topic: 780 Message: 782

Larry, you can sync your time with the clock on your desktop. Just left click on the clock on your task bar in lower right, select change date and time settings, in the popup screen click on internet time, at top. Another popup screen shows, click on change settings in lower right, in the middle of next screen a small window says
server, and shows in the smaller window "time.nist.gov"

783. Re: what sync program to use

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-02-23 03:50:30 UTC
From: jrader30@...
Topic: 780 Message: 783

Sorry got cut off, on the update now option left click, it will sync your clock with the national time standard. Sometimes it will error out but just click update now again and it will succeed. You have to do this each time before you start jt65, but you don't have to download any programs to sync the time.
John

784. Re: what sync program to use

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-03 12:02:22 UTC
From: larrylb@...
Topic: 780 Message: 784

A big thanks to Mike and J Radar. I will try doing mike's suggestion first since it doesn't require me to
do an update before using JT65, I'm old and might forget.

There is way too much for me to know about computers I don't
have a clue what to ask.

Again thanks I will give both a try one time or another

LARRY KB8EMD

786. Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-03 13:26:35 UTC
From: larrylb@...
Topic: 786 Message: 786

Trying to understand the whole transmit on the even minute receive on odd thing.

If I transmit at 12:00 and everyone is listening at 12:01 how do they hear my transmission?

If everyone's clocks are synced they should theoretically (big word) all sync at the same time right?
and everyone has to have a sync program to insure their clocks are in fact correct.....

So does JT65 really receive on the even minute?

Or should I just have fun and don't worry how things work?

LARRY KB8EMD

787. Re: [JT65HF] Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-03 13:59:28 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 786 Message: 787

Unless you have a full duplcx channel you can't transmit and listen at the same time.
 Common in FM modes (you'll find dual VFOs), but not HF modes....a bit expensive to do on HF rigs.
So...when you transmit on even the only people that will hear you are receiving on the even minute.Same goes for odd minute....only people receiving on odd will hear you.
Which minute is being used isn't of much concern at all. Only time you need to worry about it is if you're trying to get a QSO with somebody who's working someone else and you'll want to transmit on their receive minute.The 2nd time you might be concerned about it is if you see a bunch of decodes (are you using JTAlert?)...say the are more decodes on even then odd...which means you'd want to call CQ on the odd minute to increase your chances of being heard by those QSO partners on the even minute.
de Mike W9MDB

From: "larrylb@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 3, 2017 7:26 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Receive vs Transmit

  Trying to understand the whole transmit on the even minute receive on odd thing.
If I transmit at 12:00 and everyone is listening at 12:01 how do they hear my transmission?
If everyone's clocks are synced they should theoretically (big word) all sync at the same time right?and everyone has to have a sync program to insure their clocks are in fact correct.....
So does JT65 really receive on the even minute?
Or should I just have fun and don't worry how things work?
LARRY KB8EMD

788. Re: [JT65HF] Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-05 07:03:05 UTC
From: jeryl steinhardt
Topic: 786 Message: 788

you can transmit even or odd

have fun and send when you want

_____
From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> on behalf of larrylb@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 3, 2017 1:26 PM
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Receive vs Transmit

Trying to understand the whole transmit on the even minute receive on odd thing.

If I transmit at 12:00 and everyone is listening at 12:01 how do they hear my transmission?

If everyone's clocks are synced they should theoretically (big word) all sync at the same time right?

and everyone has to have a sync program to insure their clocks are in fact correct.....

So does JT65 really receive on the even minute?

Or should I just have fun and don't worry how things work?

LARRY KB8EMD

789. Re: Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-06 16:18:32 UTC
From: neilz@...
Topic: 786 Message: 789

Also .. you may want to think about getting another program to do JT65. JT65-HF has not been updated (other than 3rd party clones) since the developer got ill a few years ago.

Most up to date program, with the latest decoder is WSJT-X, which you can find at

https://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/k1jt/wsjtx.html
https://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/k1jt/wsjtx.html

790. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-06 17:18:05 UTC
From: Michael D Earls
Topic: 786 Message: 790

This isn’t to denigrate WSJT-X, but rather just my personal experience.

I’ve been using computers since the 8086 days, but at 65, learning new software can be daunting. Even more so when some programs have manuals hundreds of pages long and ‘getting started’ documents longer than some books.

I found JT65-HF completely intuitive and got started quickly.

I was completely overwhelmed by WSJT-X and soon gave up and abandoned it.

JT65-HF was fun. WSJT-X just frustrating.

Mike Earls

AF5MS

TSRA Life Member

NRA Benefactor Member

From: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com [mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com]
Sent: Monday, March 6, 2017 10:19
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Receive vs Transmit

Also .. you may want to think about getting another program to do JT65. JT65-HF has not been updated (other than 3rd party clones) since the developer got ill a few years ago.

Most up to date program, with the latest decoder is WSJT-X, which you can find at

https://physics.princeton.edu/pulsar/k1jt/wsjtx.html

_’_·___

_____

Posted by: neilz@...

791. RE: [JT65HF] Re: Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-07 01:27:47 UTC
From: neilz@...
Topic: 786 Message: 791

Mike,

I'm 65, and have been doing the same since computers ran on CP/M, but WSJT-X is not that much different than JT65-HF. The user guide is only 30 pages or so.

There are differences in the way the audio is setup, and you do need a soundcard capable of DVD quality sound recording, but all in all, the programs work much the same.

---In JT65HF@yahoogroups.com, <mikeearls@...> wrote :

This isn’t to denigrate WSJT-X, but rather just my personal experience.

I’ve been using computers since the 8086 days, but at 65, learning new software can be daunting. Even more so when some programs have manuals hundreds of pages long and ‘getting started’ documents longer than some books.

I found JT65-HF completely intuitive and got started quickly.

I was completely overwhelmed by WSJT-X and soon gave up and abandoned it.

JT65-HF was fun. WSJT-X just frustrating.

Mike Earls
AF5MS
TSRA Life Member
NRA Benefactor Member

Posted by: neilz@... mailto:neilz@...

792. Re: Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-09 14:24:39 UTC
From: larrylb@...
Topic: 786 Message: 792

thanks to all 1st mike I understand duplex, JTAlert not heard of it will look it up.
Jerry what I should do is what you said.
Neil I'll check in to WSJT-X
Mike Earls and Neil youngsters you be, I'm 75 and don't feel a day over 90.....

while new things are fun and they take me longer to figure out sadly to say
in a perverse way I enjoy trying to figure out new things, now remembering
them that's another thing.

Here's what I now understand
if I get decodes on the odd minutes
I should send on the even minutes

which brings up another question does the program switch minutes that
when I click on a CQ so I will send when they are listening?
I need to watch too. OH BOY more stuff to learn

Thanks guys
LARRY KB8EMD

793. Re: [JT65HF] Re: Receive vs Transmit

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-09 14:31:31 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 786 Message: 793

You will get decodes on both odd and even minutes. It's completely up to you which one you want to CQ on.When you run JTAlert and get it connected to your logging program JTAlert will show you information about all the calls. So you can use that to determine which minute might be better for calling CQ. If you have callsigns on the even minute you'd like to try then you call on the odd minute so they have a chance to see your CQ.
And yes...JT65HF and others automatically set up the correct minute to respond on which you click on a CQ to start a QSO.
de Mike W9MDB

From: "larrylb@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 8:24 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] Re: Receive vs Transmit

  thanks to all1st mike I understand duplex, JTAlert not heard of it will look it up.Jerry what I should do is what you said.Neil I'll check in to WSJT-XMike Earls and Neil youngsters you be, I'm 75 and don't feel a day over 90.....
while new things are fun and they take me longer to figure out sadly to sayin a perverse way I enjoy trying to figure out new things, now remembering them that's another thing.
Here's what I now understand if I get decodes on the odd minutes I should send on the even minutes
which brings up another question does the program switch minutes that when I click on a CQ so I will send when they are listening?I need to watch too. OH BOY more stuff to learn
Thanks guysLARRY KB8EMD

794. yaesu FT-875 & JT-65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-09 22:25:51 UTC
From: larrylb@...
Topic: 794 Message: 794

when I use the program JT-65 HF HB9HQX ver. 4.7
without hooking up my SignaLink I can hear the tones through my computer's speakers.

But when I hook up the signaLink and send a CQ all I hear through my radios speaker.
is a steady tone is this normal?
I've enlisted another ham to help me friday 3/10 until then.
Does anyone else have a Yaesu FT-857 and would be willing to share what settings I need
to enter into my radio?
It works with PSK31.

Larry KB8EMD

795. Re: [JT65HF] yaesu FT-875 & JT-65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-10 01:16:50 UTC
From: Unni Tharakkal
Topic: 794 Message: 795

If the signal link has a builtin soundcard you have to select that card for boh input and and output from JT65 settings Audio. See whether you on DIG mode of your rig.

VU2TE
Unni

On Fri, Mar 10, 2017 at 3:55 AM, larrylb@sbcglobal.net [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> when I use the program JT-65 HF HB9HQX ver. 4.7
>
> without hooking up my SignaLink I can hear the tones through my
> computer's speakers.
>
>
>
> But when I hook up the signaLink and send a CQ all I hear through my
> radios speaker.
>
> is a steady tone is this normal?
>
> I've enlisted another ham to help me friday 3/10 until then.
>
> Does anyone else have a Yaesu FT-857 and would be willing to share what
> settings I need
>
> to enter into my radio?
>
> It works with PSK31.
>
>
> Larry KB8EMD
>
>
>
>
>

796. Re: yaesu FT-875 & JT-65

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-11 11:59:16 UTC
From: larrylb@...
Topic: 794 Message: 796

Unni I do know that but thanks for reminding me to check and save config. I and my friend did try and send back and forth Friday and we were successful in doing so
the RX dial on my SignaLink is set about the 7 0:clock position it decodes great.
when I "CQ'ED" trying to reach my friend I received a reply from Pueblo Colorado
on 10 watts. My friend switch to JT-65 HB9HQX and it works for him and I.
I also used it with PSK-31 works great.
I now have JT-65 on two computers and they both work super.....YEA!

Larry KB8EMD

797. No waterfall showing up

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-28 19:21:27 UTC
From: Robert Hazen
Topic: 797 Message: 797

I downloaded the lastest version of jsjt-x of jt 65. I cannot receive the waterfall nor does the pull down menu allow me to pick it.iam running windows 10.I run and a signalink but I
have no problem running hb9hqx software everything works fine .i also had the same problem with jtdx.i just wanted to try another version so I could work jt 9 .I wonder if I have a software problem or some setting problem.

798. Re: No waterfall showing up

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-28 22:16:37 UTC
From: k2sg@...
Topic: 797 Message: 798

In the WSJT-X window, top menu bar, click on view and the first choice "Waterfall". Hope that does the trick for you.

73, Tony K2SG

799. Re: [JT65HF] Re: No waterfall showing up

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-28 22:30:56 UTC
From: Rob Hazen
Topic: 797 Message: 799

That's my problem,it will not allow me to pick the waterfall from the drop down menu. It acts like its inactive. I can view the other choices but not the waterfall. I thought maybe it's a software problem

Sent from my iPad

> On Mar 28, 2017, at 6:16 PM, k2sg@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> In the WSJT-X window, top menu bar, click on view and the first choice "Waterfall". Hope that does the trick for you.
>
>
> 73, Tony K2SG
>

800. Re: [JT65HF] Re: No waterfall showing up

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-28 22:45:42 UTC
From: Anthony DeBiasi
Topic: 797 Message: 800

Did you try uninstalling and reinstalling? It’s worth a try. I don’t know anyone using WIN7 or WIN10 that’s having a problem.

73, Tony K2SG

801. Re: [JT65HF] Re: No waterfall showing up

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-28 22:49:54 UTC
From: Robert Hazen
Topic: 797 Message: 801

Yes I did that too and I bought the new computer with windows 10 a couple of weeks ago.

Sent from my iPad

> On Mar 28, 2017, at 6:45 PM, Anthony DeBiasi k2sg@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Did you try uninstalling and reinstalling? It’s worth a try. I don’t know anyone using WIN7 or WIN10 that’s having a problem.
>
> 73, Tony K2SG
>
>

802. Re: [JT65HF] Re: No waterfall showing up

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-29 14:56:53 UTC
From: jrader30@...
Topic: 797 Message: 802

Might be a useless question, but, did you set the audio sources in setup? There has to be a valid audio source before the waterfall will work. Go to settings, audio and check your input source.
John, kd8paf

803. Re: [JT65HF] Re: No waterfall showing up

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-03-29 15:05:53 UTC
From: Robert Hazen
Topic: 797 Message: 803

Yes it's all set thanks

Sent from my iPhone

> On Mar 29, 2017, at 10:56 AM, jrader30@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
> Might be a useless question, but, did you set the audio sources in setup? There has to be a valid audio source before the waterfall will work. Go to settings, audio and check your input source.
>
> John, kd8paf
>
>

804. FT-1000MP Setup Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-04-04 14:48:29 UTC
From: jack.ference@...
Topic: 804 Message: 804

Hi,

I am new to the group and need help setting up my FT-1000MP to work on JT-65.

I am currently using the PKT rear jack and an Signalink USB interface to provide signals to the software.

I am able to decode the display QSOs, but I am unable to transmit.

Can anyone provide any help or things to try?

Thanks in advance,
Jack W3KX

805. Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-04-04 14:53:23 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 804 Message: 805

Does this help?
http://www.tigertronics.com/ft1000mp.htm
de Mike W9MDB
From: "jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 9:49 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Hi,
I am new to the group and need help setting up my FT-1000MP to work on JT-65.
I am currently using the PKT rear jack and an Signalink USB interface to provide signals to the software.
I am able to decode the display QSOs, but I am unable to transmit.
Can anyone provide any help or things to try?
Thanks in advance,Jack W3KX

806. Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-04-04 16:19:11 UTC
From: JOHN Ference
Topic: 804 Message: 806

Mike,

Thanks for your suggestion. I will give it a try. There are so many unexpected results with this attempt that I am not sure what is causing what. I can receive and decode messages but not transmit. I really need to find an MP user who has been successful in making JT-65 work with that rig. I really wish I understood why the USER mode setting are made the way they are and how this group of setting make the Packet Mode, which is normally LSB, to USB. Beyond my pay grade I guess.

Thanks for your help. I will continue.

73,

Jack W3KX

> On April 4, 2017 at 10:53 AM "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> Does this help?
>
> http://www.tigertronics.com/ft1000mp.htm
>
> de Mike W9MDB
>
>
> ---
> From: "jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 9:49 AM
> Subject: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help
>
>
>
>
> Hi,
>
> I am new to the group and need help setting up my FT-1000MP to work on JT-65.
>
> I am currently using the PKT rear jack and an Signalink USB interface to provide signals to the software.
>
> I am able to decode the display QSOs, but I am unable to transmit.
>
> Can anyone provide any help or things to try?
>

> Thanks in advance,
> Jack W3KX
>
>
>
>
>

>

807. Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-04-06 14:01:40 UTC
From: JOHN Ference
Topic: 804 Message: 807

Hi Mike,

After more fumbling and then taking your advice, I was able to make my first JT-65 QSO last night. However, the solution in the link is not perfect, as my radio jumps out of the USER mode every time I transmit and I have to press the button back again to resume decoding. It is a good start, but I still would like something that does not require constant vigilance on the radio mode.

Thanks again for your help to get me started!

73,
Jack W3KX

> On April 4, 2017 at 10:53 AM "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> Does this help?
>
> http://www.tigertronics.com/ft1000mp.htm
>
> de Mike W9MDB
>
>
> ---
> From: "jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 9:49 AM
> Subject: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help
>
>
> Hi,
>
> I am new to the group and need help setting up my FT-1000MP to work on JT-65.
>
> I am currently using the PKT rear jack and an Signalink USB interface to provide signals to the software.
>
> I am able to decode the display QSOs, but I am unable to transmit.
>
> Can anyone provide any help or things to try?
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Jack W3KX
>

>
>
>
>

>

808. Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-04-06 14:18:11 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 804 Message: 808

Why don't you try WSJT-X and see how it behaves for you.It does a better job decoding than JT65HF anyways so is worth the change over.
de Mike W9MDB

From: "JOHN Ference jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 9:02 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Hi Mike,

After more fumbling and then taking your advice, I was able to make my first JT-65 QSO last night. However, the solution in the link is not perfect, as my radio jumps out of the USER mode every time I transmit and I have to press the button back again to resume decoding. It is a good start, but I still would like something that does not require constant vigilance on the radio mode.

Thanks again for your help to get me started!

73,
Jack W3KX

On April 4, 2017 at 10:53 AM "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Does this help?
http://www.tigertronics.com/ft1000mp.htm
de Mike W9MDB
From: "jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 9:49 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Hi,
I am new to the group and need help setting up my FT-1000MP to work on JT-65.
I am currently using the PKT rear jack and an Signalink USB interface to provide signals to the software.
I am able to decode the display QSOs, but I am unable to transmit.
Can anyone provide any help or things to try?
Thanks in advance,Jack W3KX

809. Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-04-06 16:39:51 UTC
From: JOHN Ference
Topic: 804 Message: 809

Mike,

I am actually using WSJT-X software, should have been more specific to avoid confusion.

Thank you again!

73,

Jack W3KX

> On April 6, 2017 at 10:18 AM "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]"
<JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
>
>
> Why don't you try WSJT-X and see how it behaves for you.
> It does a better job decoding than JT65HF anyways so is worth the change over.
>
> de Mike W9MDB
>
>
>
> ---
> From: "JOHN Ference jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 9:02 AM
> Subject: Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help
>
>
> Hi Mike,
>
> After more fumbling and then taking your advice, I was able to make my first JT-65 QSO
last night. However, the solution in the link is not perfect, as my radio jumps out of the
USER mode every time I transmit and I have to press the button back again to resume
decoding. It is a good start, but I still would like something that does not require
constant vigilance on the radio mode.
>
> Thanks again for your help to get me started!
>
> 73,
> Jack W3KX
>
>
> > > On April 4, 2017 at 10:53 AM "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]"
<JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:
> >
> >

> >
> > Does this help?
> >
> > http://www.tigertronics.com/ft1000mp.htm
> >
> > de Mike W9MDB
> >
> >
> > ---
> > From: "jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
> > To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 9:49 AM
> > Subject: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Hi,
> >
> > I am new to the group and need help setting up my FT-1000MP to work on JT-65.
> >
> > I am currently using the PKT rear jack and an Signalink USB interface to provide
signals to the software.
> >
> > I am able to decode the display QSOs, but I am unable to transmit.
> >
> > Can anyone provide any help or things to try?
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> > Jack W3KX
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
>
>
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

810. Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-04-06 16:41:55 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 804 Message: 810

Well you're on the wrong list then. You want the wsjt yahoo group.
In WSJT-X ensure you have "None" selected for Mode in the radio settings. You probably have USB checked.
de Mike W9MDB
From: "JOHN Ference jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 11:39 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

  Mike,

I am actually using WSJT-X software, should have been more specific to avoid confusion.

Thank you again!

73,
Jack W3KX

On April 6, 2017 at 10:18 AM "Black Michael mdblack98@yahoo.com [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  Why don't you try WSJT-X and see how it behaves for you.It does a better job decoding than JT65HF anyways so is worth the change over.
de Mike W9MDB

From: "JOHN Ference jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 9:02 AM
Subject: Re: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

 Hi Mike,

After more fumbling and then taking your advice, I was able to make my first JT-65 QSO last night. However, the solution in the link is not perfect, as my radio jumps out of the USER mode every time I transmit and I have to press the button back again to resume decoding. It is a good start, but I still would like something that does not require constant vigilance on the radio mode.

Thanks again for your help to get me started!

73,
Jack W3KX

On April 4, 2017 at 10:53 AM "Black Michael mdblack98@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Does this help?
http://www.tigertronics.com/ft1000mp.htm

de Mike W9MDB
From: "jack.ference@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 9:49 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] FT-1000MP Setup Help

Hi,
I am new to the group and need help setting up my FT-1000MP to work on JT-65.
I am currently using the PKT rear jack and an Signalink USB interface to provide signals to the software.
I am able to decode the display QSOs, but I am unable to transmit.
Can anyone provide any help or things to try?
Thanks in advance,Jack W3KX

813. QSO Relay (Version 1.2) is now available

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-05-13 07:04:41 UTC
From: bruce@...
Topic: 813 Message: 813

Please be advised that a new release of QSO Relay (Version 1.2) is now available at http://www.vk2byi.com.au/qsorelay/ http://www.vk2byi.com.au/qsorelay/
We wish to thank all users for their positive feedback and patience in working with us to resolve some of the issues experienced.
Release Notes for Version 1.2
Improved Database Synchronisation performance; Added Database Synchronisation reports to users “Documents\QSORelay” folder; Added caching of contacts that could not be relayed to HRD Logbook, to allow relay during a subsequent database synchronisation; Improved message description on unique constraint violation exceptions; Floating point values in float columns that should only contain integer values, are converted to integers; Added row count to Delete Contact dialog caption bar; Added QsoDate and Call search feature to Delete Contact dialog; Added multiple attempts to check contact has been successfully logged in HRD Logbook; Added support for different decimal place characters for different cultures; Added support to convert invalid floating point values to integers values; Added diagnostic tracing statements that can be captured to a session log file;
Please ensure that you download and read the new documentation.
If you are in need of a more detailed explanation on how to correctly configure QSO Relay when HRD Logbook is using a Microsoft Access (.mdb) database, we have added a new document called "Configuring QSO Relay for Microsoft Access Databases".

DE Bruce
VK2RT

814. QSO Relay version 1.3

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-05-17 03:26:22 UTC
From: bruce@...
Topic: 814 Message: 814

Please be advised that a new release of QSO Relay (Version 1.3) is now available at http://www.vk2byi.com.au/qsorelay/ http://www.vk2byi.com.au/qsorelay/ http://www.vk2byi.com.au/qsorelay/
QSO Relay (v1.3) addresses the following issues:

Modified to work with JTAlertX 2.9.7 onwards using its ‘Standard ADIF File’ and ‘Last QSO API’ logging features; Added HRD Logbook duplicate checking and reporting during Database Synchronisation; Added more support for globalization of digit grouping and decimal symbols. Please ensure you also download and read the latest documentaion.
Again we wish to thank all users for their positive feedback and patience in working with us to resolve some of the issues experienced.

We also acknowledge and thank beta testing support from Mikko OH8EJW and Bob K1RI and others who have offered and will no doubt be used in the future.
DE Bruce
VK2RT

815. JT65 Report.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-05-17 17:27:50 UTC
From: n9y4nw@...
Topic: 815 Message: 815

Ladies and Gentlemen please on the e-QSL Please write the report as sent. I am receiving 599 reports for JT65 Contacts.Regards
9Y4NW
Neil

816. New user

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-21 00:38:45 UTC
From: Joe K2UF
Topic: 816 Message: 816

New subscriber. Making sure I am connected.

73 Joe K2UF

817. Looking for advice

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-21 01:18:33 UTC
From: Joe K2UF
Topic: 817 Message: 817

Hi All,

I have been licensed for 60 plus years. By far mostly CW operator. Chasing DX and contesting is my favorite ham radio pastime. I am currently stuck at 326 DXCC countries and 9 band DXCC.

I am looking to get into this new fangled digital stuff. I think JT65 on 6 meters is my only chance at 10 band DXCC. ;o))

I am looking for advice on hardware and software choices. I have an Elecraft K3 and a Win 10 pc. Any help would be appreciated. If you have info for me you can e-mail me off reflector to save bandwidth.

Thanks es 73

Joe K2UF

818. Icom IC-7100 no PTT

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-22 14:25:30 UTC
From: joe_word@...
Topic: 818 Message: 818

I am trying to set up my Icom IC-7100 to use on JT65-HF, but can not get PTT to work... any one know how?

Using Windows 7, radio is attached by USB cable, WSJT-X will do PTT with same setup. Just starting with JT65 and JT65-HF looks simpler to setup than WSJT-X.

Thanks,

Joe N9VX

819. Re: [SPAM] [JT65HF] Icom IC-7100 no PTT

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-22 15:20:46 UTC
From: Lloyd Westerman
Topic: 818 Message: 819

The rj-45 connector configuration to the mic connection is unique for the 7100. You need to get signalink usb SLCABRJ4

k2jvx

On Jun 22, 2017, at 10:25 AM, joe_word@...<mailto:joe_word@...> [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com<mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>> wrote:

I am trying to set up my Icom IC-7100 to use on JT65-HF, but can not get PTT to work... any one know how?

Using Windows 7, radio is attached by USB cable, WSJT-X will do PTT with same setup. Just starting with JT65 and JT65-HF looks simpler to setup than WSJT-X.

Thanks,

Joe N9VX

820. Re: [SPAM] [JT65HF] Icom IC-7100 no PTT

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-23 22:50:36 UTC
From: joe_word@...
Topic: 818 Message: 820

The IC-7100 does not need a sound card interface, it is built in.

Joe N9VX

821. JT65-HF-Comfort-3 Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-27 01:20:48 UTC
From: kc2prb@...
Topic: 821 Message: 821

Hello All
Been using the JT65-HF for a while now and it is great. Want to try out the JT65-HF-Comfort. I installed it and the settings are exactly the same as the JT65-HF. The Comfort receives fine but will not transmit. The software shows that it is transmitting but my rig does not key up. The settings are the same as the JT65-HF which works flawlessly. Anyone else have this problem and if so, how did you fix it.

Thanks
John

822. IC-7410 and JT65.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-27 09:04:03 UTC
From: jonasbjarnason@...
Topic: 822 Message: 822

I have the JT65-HF-HB9HQX-Edition with a direct USB connection to my IC-7410. The computer is a PC with Windows 10 and I use the HRD version 6.4.

I have a problem to transmit. When I monitor my own signal the red transmit light comes on the 7410 and I can see the current go up to 5A on the power supply (but nothing shows on the meter in the rig). I can also hear some very, very low JT65 audio tones…

Is it possible that I need to download special ICOM drivers or change the 7410 menu settings?

73 de Jónas, TF3JB.

823. Re: [JT65HF] IC-7410 and JT65.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-27 12:08:44 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 822 Message: 823

Do you have the rig in SSB-D mode?
de Mike W9MDB

From: "jonasbjarnason@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>
To: JT65HF@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 4:04 AM
Subject: [JT65HF] IC-7410 and JT65.

Ihave the JT65-HF-HB9HQX-Edition with a direct USB connection to my IC-7410. Thecomputer is a PC with Windows 10 and I use the HRD version 6.4. Ihave a problem to transmit. When I monitor my own signal the red transmit lightcomes on the 7410 and I can see the current go up to 5A on the power supply(but nothing shows on the meter in the rig). I can also hear some very, verylow JT65 audio tones… Is it possible that I needto download special ICOM drivers or change the 7410 menu settings? 73de Jónas, TF3JB.

824. Re: [JT65HF] IC-7410 and JT65.

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-06-27 16:00:31 UTC
From: jonasbjarnason@...
Topic: 822 Message: 824

Yes. Sorry I forgot to mention that...

825. Missing dial QRG

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-07-03 09:32:13 UTC
From: jonas.bjarnason.hag@...
Topic: 825 Message: 825

Hello all

I have had a few QSO's already and like the program a lot.

But now, I have the following problem messages:

Missing dial QRG - No CAT - PTT disabled

Any idea how to fix?

73 de Jónas, TF3JB.

(Icom IC-7410, PC w/Windows 10 and HRD 6.4.0.647).

826. Re: [JT65HF] Missing dial QRG

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-07-03 10:47:35 UTC
From: Brian Clark
Topic: 825 Message: 826

Just make sure that you check the frequency box for the band you are on and the message will go away. You will get the same message on other programs such as HB9HQX if the frequency is left blank. The band needs to be checked whether you are just monitoring or transmitting.

Brian..VE1JBC

On Mon, Jul 3, 2017 at 6:32 AM, jonas.bjarnason.hag@... [JT65HF] <
JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

>
>
> Hello all
>
>
> I have had a few QSO's already and like the program a lot.
>
>
> But now, I have the following problem messages:
>
>
> Missing dial QRG - No CAT - PTT disabled
>
>
> Any idea how to fix?
>
>
> 73 de Jónas, TF3JB.
>
>
> (Icom IC-7410, PC w/Windows 10 and HRD 6.4.0.647).
>
>
>
>
>

827. Re: [JT65HF] Missing dial QRG

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-07-04 00:02:36 UTC
From: jonas.bjarnason.hag@...
Topic: 825 Message: 827

Best of thanks for good advice.

73 de Jónas, TF3JB.

828. Synchronizing with PST rotator

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-08-17 07:04:29 UTC
From: unnitharakkal@...
Topic: 828 Message: 828
Attachments: 1 described; binary payloads are missing from this source WARC

When I select automatic tracking in wsjtx I am getting an error report as shown in the attached screen shot.
What is the remedy ?

73s
Unni
VU2TE

829. JT65 Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-10-26 19:33:16 UTC
From: onlineorders22@...
Topic: 829 Message: 829

Hello all,

I am new to this group because I am also new to JT65.

It has been about 3 1/2 months since I started playing with JT65.

In that time I have worked all 50 States and 10 countires.

All of that using a screwdriver antenna mounted on the ladder rack of an RV and running abt 15 watts from an IC-7300.

My question is: On the left side of the waterfall display of the 7300, I occasionally see "squarish" that must be another digital mode. They look like postage stamps.

Can anyone identify them for me?

Larry
KK5AB

830. Re: [SPAM] [JT65HF] JT65 Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-10-26 20:03:14 UTC
From: Lloyd Westerman
Topic: 829 Message: 830

That would be FT-8

On Oct 26, 2017, at 3:35 PM, onlineorders22@...m<mailto:onlineorders22@...> [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com<mailto:JT65HF@yahoogroups.com>> wrote:

Hello all,

I am new to this group because I am also new to JT65.

It has been about 3 1/2 months since I started playing with JT65.

In that time I have worked all 50 States and 10 countires.

All of that using a screwdriver antenna mounted on the ladder rack of an RV and running abt 15 watts from an IC-7300.

My question is: On the left side of the waterfall display of the 7300, I occasionally see "squarish" that must be another digital mode. They look like postage stamps.

Can anyone identify them for me?

< /span>

Larry

KK5AB

831. Re: JT65 Question

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-10-29 19:39:48 UTC
From: onlineorders22@...
Topic: 829 Message: 831

Lloyd,

Thank you. I was kinda thinking that it may be FT8.

Guess I'll have to try FT8 next. JT65 sure has been fun.

73,

Larry
KK5AB

832. Re: Missing dial QRG

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2017-12-26 11:51:56 UTC
From: mikef1234@...
Topic: 825 Message: 832

Make sure your com port hasn't changed for whatever your using for CAT and if you are using a serial to USB adapter is working. I had one go bad on me.

73's

Mike / N8GBU

833. Transmit Audio Problems

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2018-01-01 16:39:17 UTC
From: mikef1234@...
Topic: 833 Message: 833

Just when I thought I had everything going good I developed this problem. I have Windows 7 pro with a Kenwood TS-2000 and Signalink. Once in a blue moon it will transmit audio when I switch modes or bands I loose it. I checked the sound on the computer settings through the control panel and it shows it is generating audio and other digital programs (FLDIGI) work fine. I removed Advanced System Care which was causing the program to crash and everything worked fine for awhile. Any ideas?

73's

Mike / N8GBU

834. ft-8 / ft-9

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2018-01-02 18:33:08 UTC
From: mikef1234@...
Topic: 834 Message: 834

I was wondering how you keep up with the fast pace of FT-9? I assumed thats what was cluttering up the side of my waterfall while running JT-65 New Year Day. I tried to give it a go but it was simply to fast for me to point and click. Is that normal? I really wouldn't know since I'am used to the slow pace of JT-65.

73's

Mike / N8GBU

835. Re: [JT65HF] ft-8 / ft-9

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2018-01-02 18:36:56 UTC
From: Black Michael
Topic: 834 Message: 835

I assume you mean FT-8? And yes...due to band crowding many tranmit up into the lower part of the JT65 band.
WSJT-X has autosequencing for FT-8 to solve that problem. You just click once and it will usually sequence to the end. Sometimes you have to repeat the last RRR or 73 so watch out for it.

de Mike W9MDB

On Tuesday, January 2, 2018, 12:33:27 PM CST, mikef1234@... [JT65HF] <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

I was wondering how you keep up with the fast pace of FT-9? I assumed thats what was cluttering up the side of my waterfall while running JT-65 New Year Day. I tried to give it a go but it was simply to fast for me to point and click. Is that normal? I really wouldn't know since I'am used to the slow pace of JT-65.

73's
Mike / N8GBU

836. PTT with TS950 and Rigblaster

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2018-02-09 00:22:37 UTC
From: pcb4u@...
Topic: 836 Message: 836

Hi to all...very new to digital modes. Been CW, AM, SSB and RTTY guy (since '59) but QTH move has me with a real poor antenna system. So maybe try another mode

I have a TS950 with a Rigblaster Advantage working fine for RTTY (PTT via the mic connection to RB)

Also runing the show with DXlab and almost all the suite components.

Also running the NaP3 software with LP-Pan 2 panadapter successfully using virtual COM ports (4 and 5 with a dummy K3 in between primary and secondary CAT control)

All software (Dxlab Spot Collector and NaP3) can talk back and forth to the 950

Dxlab Commander also launches QRZ.COM for me...nice software suite

Back to issue

1) Receiving JT65 signals OK.....the RB RCV LEVEL can set the audio to zero. No issue
2) QRG readout fine using Commander
3) No PTT

I am using USB port (COM15 assigned by Win7 Pro)) to talk to the RB which has a 232/USB chip in its connector..no issues with RB when using RTTY with MMTTY...PTT line controlled just fine as the RB controls the PTT line internally over to the mic PTT line

I have a serial card talking to the 950 (COM2) which Commander handles and its thru Commander and the virtual COM ports all the other stuff plays fine...

So, the picture is:

a) COM15 talking to RB..PTT working fine via RB internals with mic PTT line
b) Commander set to COM2 which is looking at TS950

So if COM2 is in use for Commander to get the QRG, how can the JT65 software get thru my committed port COM2?

Update: I tuned off Commander which should have opened up COM2 and still no PTT

Hmmm

Tnx for any help

73
Jay
W2IJ

837. Re: [JT65HF] PTT with TS950 and Rigblaster

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2018-02-09 16:43:17 UTC
From: Jay Kobelin
Topic: 836 Message: 837

Anyone out here today?Well, this morning COM15 toggled the PTT...holds it for a second then drops it..is that normal?JayW2IJ

On Thursday, February 8, 2018 6:22 PM, "pcb4u@... [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Hi to all...very new to digital modes. Been CW, AM, SSB and RTTY guy (since '59) but QTH move has me with a real poor antenna system. So maybe try another mode
I have a TS950 with a Rigblaster Advantage working fine for RTTY (PTT via the mic connection to RB)
Also runing the show with DXlab and almost all the suite components.
Also running the NaP3 software with LP-Pan 2 panadapter successfully using virtual COM ports (4 and 5 with a dummy K3 in between primary and secondary CAT control)
All software (Dxlab Spot Collector and NaP3) can talk back and forth to the 950
Dxlab Commander also launches QRZ.COM for me...nice software suite
Back to issue
1) Receiving JT65 signals OK.....the RB RCV LEVEL can set the audio to zero. No issue2) QRG readout fine using Commander3) No PTT
I am using USB port (COM15 assigned by Win7 Pro)) to talk to the RB which has a 232/USB chip in its connector..no issues with RB when using RTTY with MMTTY...PTT line controlled just fine as the RB controls the PTT line internally over to the mic PTT line
I have a serial card talking to the 950 (COM2) which Commander handles and its thru Commander and the virtual COM ports all the other stuff plays fine...

So, the picture is:

a) COM15 talking to RB..PTT working fine via RB internals with mic PTT lineb) Commander set to COM2 which is looking at TS950
So if COM2 is in use for Commander to get the QRG, how can the JT65 software get thru my committed port COM2?
Update: I tuned off Commander which should have opened up COM2 and still no PTT
Hmmm
Tnx for any help

73JayW2IJ

838. Re: [JT65HF] PTT with TS950 and Rigblaster

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2018-02-09 18:17:27 UTC
From: Gary Woods
Topic: 836 Message: 838

On 09 Feb 2018 00:22:37 +0000, you wrote:

>I have a TS950 with a Rigblaster Advantage working fine for RTTY (PTT via the mic connection to RB)

Is this a 590S? At any rate, why are you using an external sound device when the radio has a perfectly good one built in? JT65 can connect to the "USB Codec" pretty seamlessly, and you can either use Kenwood's driver to make a virtual com port over the same connection or turn on usb audio VOX in the radio.
I'm in favor of using the least added hardware possible, and it's not (only)

This email has been checked for viruses by AVG.
http://www.avg.com

839. Re: [JT65HF] PTT with TS950 and Rigblaster

Yahoo group: JT65HF
Date: 2018-02-09 19:12:15 UTC
From: Jay Kobelin
Topic: 836 Message: 839

Gary...goit it working this morning...now the silly question...what does one doGot the 950 synced to a standard ..seeing under .3 DTI did a CQ...my grid went in
So I do the following?
1) If anyone calls back, double click them2) "Answer Caller"3) "Send RRR"4) "Send 73"
Is that it?JayW2IJ

On Friday, February 9, 2018 12:17 PM, "Gary Woods garygarlic@earthlink.net [JT65HF]" <JT65HF@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

  On 09 Feb 2018 00:22:37 +0000, you wrote:

>I have a TS950 with a Rigblaster Advantage working fine for RTTY (PTT via the mic connection to RB)

Is this a 590S? At any rate, why are you using an external sound
device when the radio has a perfectly good one built in? JT65 can
connect to the "USB Codec" pretty seamlessly, and you can either use
Kenwood's driver to make a virtual com port over the same connection
or turn on usb audio VOX in the radio.
I'm in favor of using the least added hardware possible, and it's not
(only)

This email has been checked for viruses by AVG.
http://www.avg.com